1						
2						
3						
4	ALASKA M	IIGRATORY BIRD CO-MANAGEMENT COUNCIL				
5		April 9, 2009				
6		Volume 2 of 2				
7		Taken at: Kaloa Building				
8	1689 C Street Anchorage, Alaska					
9						
10						
11	Council members pre	esent:				
12	Molly Chythlook	Bristol Bay Native Assoc.				
13	Joeneal Hicks	Copper River Native Assoc.				
14	Sandra Tahbone	Kawerak, Inc.				
15	Peter Devine	Aleutian/Pribilof Islands Assoc.				
16	Herman Squartsoff	Sun'aq Tribe of Kodiak				
17	Mike Pederson	North Slope Borough				
18	Randy Mayo	Tanana Chiefs Conference				
19	Ida Hildebrand	Chugach Regional Resources Comm.				
20						
21	Dale Rabe	Alaska Department of Fish & Game				
22	Doug Alcorn	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service				
23						
24						
25						

Ţ	MR. ALCORN: Okay. Thank you all for coming.
2	And I'm going to ask you all to take your seats.
3	We're going to pick up the agenda from yesterday.
4	We have a new court reporter, Britney is her
5	name, introduce her to you all. And I've asked her
6	to stop us if she needs us to slow down, clarify or
7	identify ourselves.
8	I don't see any new faces here other than
9	Britney, so I think we'll just move into the agenda,
10	pick up where we left off yesterday. We are on item
11	11, new business. We held off taking action on
12	items A and B, proposals 1 and 2, because we were
13	waiting for Sky to return from a different meeting
14	he was attending. He's here now. So we will follow
15	the process that's in front of tab 1 in your binder
16	that has how we go through each of the each of
17	the proposals and consider them one at a time.
18	And we begin with an introduction of proposal by
19	the proponent. And I'll give Sky the floor to
20	introduce proposal number 1. Sky.
21	MR. STARKEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, while the
22	proposal seeks to amend the regulation to allow the
23	use of a boat, and so
24	MR. ALCORN: Can I interrupt you? We need a

motion to bring on the floor.

1	MS. TAHBONE: I make the motion, Mr. Chairman.
2	MR. DEVINE: Second.
3	MR. ALCORN: We have a motion and second which
4	brings proposal number 1 on the floor. I'll turn it
5	over to Sky. I'm sorry, Sky.
6	MR. STARKEY: So the proposal seeks the the
7	use of boats. And I think the particular issue's
8	with scoters. There was some questions on the
9	proposal in terms of Fish and Wildlife, what kind
10	of what, kind of, migratory waterfowl people were
11	talking about asking for in the in the proposal.
12	And in your briefing book you see that Tim Andrew
13	prepared an introduction in the history issues
14	related to utilization of motor boats. And
15	basically Tim focused on scoters.
16	So beyond that, Mr. Chairman, I don't have more
17	to say. And we'll address the questions as they
18	come up as best I can.
19	MR. ALCORN: Okay. Thank you, Sky.
20	We have the second and third steps in this
21	process we do simultaneously, as patterned after
22	yesterday. So I'll invite the Alaska Department of
23	Fish and Game and U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
24	technical representatives come to the table,
25	identifying yourselves for Britney.

Ţ	We'll begin with Eric, since we started with him
2	yesterday, we'll start with Eric.
3	MR. TAYLOR: My name's Eric Taylor. I'm with
4	U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, Division of Migratory
5	Bird Management.
6	Good morning Mr. Chairman, good morning council.
7	The proposal the addresses the current
8	prohibition that currently reads: Using any type of
9	vehicle, aircraft or boat for the purpose of
10	concentrating, driving, rallying or stirring up any
11	migratory bird; except boats, may be used to
12	position a hunter.
13	So that's a current prohibition and the current
14	regulations as it reads. If this proposal was
15	passed, the regulation would add: In the
16	Yukon-Kuskowkwim Delta a person may use a boat to
17	pursue and harvest waterfowl.
18	So that is what I have based my comments on this
19	morning in terms of current proposal. So in
20	essence, if passed, this regulation would allow
21	residents of the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta to herd
22	flightless ducks and geese or chase waterfowl
23	capable of flight.
24	The Fish & Wildlife Service is concerned that
25	concentrating and shooting particularly flightless

Τ.	birds could result in Significant take of a
2	particular species. We are particularly concerned
3	with several species that are vulnerable to
4	potential harvest because they are currently in
5	decline or they're currently a protected species or
6	they've not met their population objectives.
7	In specific I'll just go through these. And the
8	figures that I will cite come from the 2008 Pacific
9	Flyway data book that's put together by the Pacific
10	Flyway Council. Black Brant, currently the
11	three-year average is 138,000 birds. That's 8
12	percent below the population objective, as
13	identified in the Yukon-Kuskokwim Goose Management
14	Plan, as well as the Pacific Flyway data book, which
15	is 150,000.
16	Emperor Geese, the current three-year average,
17	73,000. That's 51 percent below the population
18	objective in terms of the Pacific Flyway book in the
19	Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta Goose Management Plan, which
20	is set at 150,000. Cackling geese, currently the
21	three-year average is 193,000. That species is 23
22	percent below the population objective, which is
23	set, again, in both documents at 250,000.
24	As Sky mentioned, the proposal has been
25	additional information was provided by Tim Andrew

2.4

1	relative to scoters, which may be the species of
2	interest. I have two population estimates for
3	scoters. It's a bit more complicated, but I'll try
4	to go through it.
5	This is based on the breeding pair continental

This is based on the breeding pair continental survey that the Fish & Wildlife Service does in Alaska as well as throughout the North American breeding area. The Alaska breeding population of scoters, and in this case it's important to say that we don't separate out the three species of scoters, they're all combined, so Black, Surf Scoters and White-winged Scoters are all combined. The Alaska breeding population is of 385,000. And that's again the three-year average, that's the estimate. That's two percent above the 1955 to '07 average, which is 377,400.

So again, the important point is, based on our estimate in Alaska, the breeding population for scoters is two percent above that 50-year average.

However, continental-wide the breeding population estimate is around 928,000 birds. That's 31 percent below the 1955 to 2007 average, which during that time period, the average was 1,350,000. So despite Alaska showing a slight increase, the continental-wide, and certainly I think management

1	agencies, as well as the Sea Duck Joint Venture, has
2	been scoters, along with many other species of sea
3	ducks, are of concern.

In terms of other species that could be harvested on the Y-K Delta, long-tailed ducks, the breeding population in Alaska, the three-year average estimated at 44,700. That's 81 percent below the 1955 to 2007 average of 228,000. If you look continental-wide for long-tailed ducks, the breeding pair population or the breeding estimate is a 156,955. That's 57 percent below the 1955 to '07 average, which was 385,000.

And finally in terms of scaup, other species that occur, breeds on The Y-K Delta that could be harvested for Alaska, the breeding population is estimated, three-year average at 1,048,000. That's 14 percent above the 1955 to '07 average. So Alaska our estimates for the last three years is 14 percent above the 1955 to 2007 average of 919,000.

However, just like with scoters, the breeding population over all in the continent is estimated at, for the last three years, 3,479,000. That's 32 percent below 1955 to '07.

So again there's a difference between Alaska and relative to the continent. But overall scaup have

2.4

1	been	a sp	pecies	of	se	rious	con	cern	to	the	Fish	&
2	Wildl	ife	Servi	ce :	in	terms	of	their	: de	eclir	ne.	

In addition, as I mentioned, to those species that are in decline or have not met their population objective, species, particularly Spectacled Eider, which occur on the Y-K Delta, could be subjected to additional harvest as a result of this proposal.

Finally, the last issue or concern that the Service has is that this proposal would allow the take of flightless birds, birds that are in their summer molt period. That's a period of typically four to six weeks. It's been recognized as one of the most energetically costly time periods in the annual cycle of birds. They replace their entire wing feathers as well as portions of their body plumage.

Typically they reduce anywhere from 80 to 90 percent of their fat reserves during that time period. The result of the fat decline is because of increased metabolic rate and because of the cost associated with regenerating the feathers.

Also it's no surprise, the birds have lost their primary defense mechanism, that is they are incapable of flight, and therefore subject to additional harvest and herding.

1	Finally, the other potential impact of this
2	proposal would be inefficient shooting associated
3	with chasing birds from a boat. And we would
4	probably expect higher rates of wounding and may
5	result in increased loss and waste of birds.
6	That concludes my summary of my comments.
7	MR. ALCORN: Dan?
8	MR. ROSENBERG: Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of
9	the council. In reading Mr. Andrews' briefing on
10	proposal one, it's a little unclear to me if this
11	proposal is directed primarily at scoters and that
12	period from May 15 to June 15, or the intent is over
13	the entire course of the summer season, as Eric
14	addressed. So I wasn't really sure what I was
15	commenting on, if this includes all populations
16	throughout the Delta or are they really focused on
17	Black Scoters.
18	Secondly, it makes it sound, in my
19	interpretation, is that this practice is already
20	going on. So the question is not whether there will
21	be additional harvest or not, the question is just
22	if the current rate of harvest is acceptable. And I
23	may be wrong on that, but that's how I read this,
24	was that this practice is going on and this proposal
25	is really just to make this practice legal.

2.4

But Eric addressed the overall population thing, so I'm just going to talk mostly about what I know a little bit about Black Scoters, which nest in

Western Alaska and the Seward Peninsula Selawik area and the largest population on the Yukon-Kuskokwim

Delta and also in the Bristol Bay region the north side of the Alaskan Peninsula, up around Kvichak and Nushagak River drainages in that area.

The population estimate in those areas from some recent Fish & Wildlife Service survey is dedicated to looking at the breeding population of Black Scoters for all those areas is something in the neighborhood of 110,000 birds. And the harvest just on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta is in the neighborhood of 7,000 birds.

Now, there are -- those are breeding birds.

There are more birds on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta.

There are non-breeding Black Scoters that go there briefly in the spring. And what happens is you've got, Black Scoters nest relatively late. And the Black Scoters that breed on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, you know they come from, perhaps, as far south as Oregon. We've had birds go to Oregon from there. Probably throughout the Gulf of Alaska, definitely from Kodiak and all along the north side

1	of the Alaska Peninsula where these birds winter.
2	And they move, up to they leave Kodiak in mid to
3	late April, early May, spend about three weeks or so
4	before they get to the Delta, which is usually
5	towards the end of May, and then go about either
6	nesting or they soon depart. So presumably these
7	birds are being hunted on these river systems just
8	prior to nesting, in staging prior to nesting.
9	Now, Black Scoters in the winter are generally
10	at their lowest weight, their worst body condition
11	in late winter right before they depart these
12	wintering areas. So they need to put on weight.
13	And they probably do put on some weight, like when
14	they leave Kodiak, those staging areas, like Pilot
15	Point, Egegik Bay, these areas, Kvichak and then the
16	Kuskokwim shoals and then on to the Y-K Delta.
17	So, it's probably a vulnerable time for these
18	birds if they are being harassed just prior to
19	nesting at a time when they need to either maintain
20	or put on additional weight. And if they are,
21	indeed, nutritionally compromised at that point in
22	their lifecycle that can affect their nesting
23	success.
24	Now if, indeed, there are fewer than a 100,000
25	birds, breeding birds on the Delta and if and the

2.4

populations do seem to have declined substantially, there's figures that they've declined as much as 67 percent since the 1970s, and there's no indication that -- there's some indication now that they have stabilized, but we don't know the longevity of that, whether this is just an interim period in a further decline or whether it's a reversal, we really don't know.

So again my concern is that, you know, that there are breeding birds there. Now these are case-selected species that we've talked about with common loons the other day, or Yellow-billed Loons. Not as extreme case as Yellow-billed Loons because scoters don't live as long, they lay more eggs and they breed at two to three years of age. And they may not breed every year. So the limiting factor in population growth to these types of species --

Well, one more thing before I get to that.

Scoters are -- they're -- their sex ratios are heavily skewed in favor of males, they've got a lot more males in the population than females. And these populations are limited in their growth by adult female survival. So if you may be compromising breeding success, but also if you may be harvesting a relatively high proportion of adult

1	females, it makes population recovery very
2	difficult. And being a very long and protracted
3	period, even without additional mortality. So
4	that's just something to keep in mind as we address
5	this.
6	MR. ALCORN: Thanks, Dan. Any questions of
7	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Yeah, Mr. Chair, I've got a
8	couple here for both of them.
9	Eric, I didn't get to really clearly hear, if
10	you could repeat what the current methods were, I
11	didn't
12	MR. TAYLOR: Current methods?
13	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Yeah, for the ducks about the
14	motorboat
15	MR. TAYLOR: You want me to read the regulation?
16	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Can you read the regulation?
17	MR. TAYLOR: Sure. The prohibition reads at
18	present, using any type of vehicle, aircraft or boat
19	for the purpose of concentrating, driving, rallying
20	or stirring up any migratory bird, except boats may
21	be used to position the hunter.
22	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Thank you. I didn't catch that
23	last part. I didn't know if you were reading the
24	sports one or the
25	MR. TAYLOR: No. That's the current regulation.

1	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Thank you.
2	Also you mentioned that the scaup were 14
3	percent above, that was from what, '55 to '07,
4	something?
5	MR. TAYLOR: Uh-huh.
6	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Then you were talking about the
7	scoters, and you said they were below and you
8	mentioned there was concern about the scaup at that
9	time because it was below, whatever. I got confused
10	on that there.
11	MR. TAYLOR: Okay. Let me go through the
12	scoters again with you. Because there's two
13	estimates for scoters.
14	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Yeah, I didn't know if you were
15	talking about the scaup being low or the scoter when
16	you said there was a concern. I'm curious why there
17	was a concern about the scaup if they were reporting
18	14 percent above.
19	MR. TAYLOR: That's for Alaska. In Alaska our
20	estimates are 14 percent above that 1955 to 2007
21	estimate. However, continental-wide, when you look
22	at the entire North American breeding range of
23	scaup, they're 32 percent below the 1955 to '07.
24	Scoters are very similar in the sense of that
25	discrepancy, where Alaska we're showing a slight

1	increase, two percent above that 1955 to 2007
2	average. But again, as Dan mentioned, you know,
3	continental-wide they're 31 percent below. So
4	Alaska data are slowing a slight increase, but
5	continental-wide there's concern.
6	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Right. Okay. And then
7	okay. Then or no, I think I don't know if you
8	or Dan mentioned about the chasing of the birds
9	increases the increase of waste of the birds, I
10	think you mentioned that.
11	MR. TAYLOR: Right.
12	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Because I kind of disagree with
13	that, because if you can get in there and get at
14	them ducks, you're going to have a lot of less waste
15	because you're going to get your cripples.
16	Especially Surf Scoters, they have a tendency, when
17	they're crippled, they have a tendency when they're
18	crippled just to come up with just their beak
19	showing. And if you're there right away, you'll get
20	them. And if you're not, you won't. Then you'll
21	have that waste. But if you're able to move in
22	right away and get them, you'll be able to get them.
23	MR. TAYLOR: The basis of my statement, just to
24	clarify, is that shooting from a moving boat as it
25	moves into the a flock of birds, it's that's

1	lifting off the water would, at least at first
2	light, seem to be more difficult than if one was
3	standing still. The combination of a moving boat,
4	as well as a moving bird makes it a more difficult
5	target.
6	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Yeah, we've had that. We do
7	pretty much, almost close to the same situation,
8	what they're asking for, because we'll get up to the
9	birds, you know, and then cut the motor and shoot
10	them because we know we're going to be able to get
11	them easier or we'll be within range and we won't
12	have any cripples and if we have the boat, we can
13	get them right away. Thanks, Eric.
14	Dan, I have just a couple for you. You
15	mentioned, let's see, I've got it. The birds aren't
16	being harassed, they're being harvested. So, I
17	
	mean, I want to clarify, it's a little offensive to
18	mean, I want to clarify, it's a little offensive to me.
18 19	
	me.
19	me.  MR. ROSENBERG: No, I apologize.
19	<pre>MR. ROSENBERG: No, I apologize.  MR. SQUARTSOFF: We're harvesting them. We're</pre>
19 20 21	me.  MR. ROSENBERG: No, I apologize.  MR. SQUARTSOFF: We're harvesting them. We're  not harassing them. That's two different things.

seen them up there in Raspberry Strait, Kupreanof in

1	June or July. So not all of them leave, there are a
2	few that stay.
3	MR. ROSENBERG: Now, are you talking about
4	White-winged or Black Scoters?
5	MR. SQUARTSOFF: White-winged scoters.
6	MR. ROSENBERG: There are very few White-winged
7	Scoters on the Delta, mostly it's Black-winged
8	Scoters. And the White-winged Scoters are from
9	Kodiak. Now there are White-winged Socters that do
10	leave and return fairly quickly. In other words,
11	as as they may go up to a breeding area and spend
12	only a few weeks in that breeding area.
13	For example, White-winged Scoters from Prince
14	William Sound, males, not females now, will depart
15	the Sound in late spring, might go up to up to
16	Northern Canada, and some may return in June to
17	molt. They're not there very long. As soon as
18	incubation starts, they often depart.
19	And there probably are some Black Scoters. But
20	most of the Black Scoters, for instance, from
21	Kodiak, a lot of those that are non-breeding, end up
22	on the Kvichak shoals between Nushagak and the
23	Kvichak over there.
24	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Right. There's a decent flock.

Okay. Yeah, thank you.

1	MR. ALCORN: Okay.
2	MS. TAHBONE: I just wanted some clarification
3	from each of you. At the current level of harvest
4	is there concern at the current level being
5	harvested right now?
6	MR. TAYLOR: For what species, Sandra?
7	MS. TAHBONE: The species that you spoke about.
8	MR. TAYLOR: Well, for populations that have not
9	met their management objective and for populations
10	that are showing a decline, you know, the service
11	is, you know, the regulations are set to try to get
12	those populations to recover. In essence the
13	current population harvest is not a concern at the
14	present time.
15	However, nonetheless, the service is trying to
16	determine what factors are responsible for keeping
17	species below their management objective.
18	MS. TAHBONE: So your answer is no, at the
19	current, level there's no concern?
20	MR. TAYLOR: That's correct.
21	MR. ALCORN: I have a hand raised in the
22	audience. Stan, are you going to address the
23	question or is this another something else?
24	MR. PRUSZENSKI: I'd like to address her
25	question and supplement or add to

1	MR. ALCORN:	I'd like	to invite	Stan	Pruszenski
2	he's our special	agent in	charge of	Fish	& Wildlife
3	Service.				

MR. PRUSZENSKI: Stan Pruszenski with U.S. Fish & Wildlife, Office of Law Enforcement. I'll spell it for you later.

Just one comment with Sandra's question. And then with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game's initial comment was that -- it was mentioned that -- and Sky had mentioned that this is an ongoing practice. So what we would be doing would be legalizing an ongoing practice.

As we all know now, the current practice of pursuing and chasing, driving and rallying is illegal. So if we are going to now legalize an activity that is prohibited, we have to assume right now that there is some level of compliance, so there are some people out there that are not doing this activity, because it's prohibited. So when we say that the current level of harvest would be maintained if this proposal goes through, I don't necessarily know if that's the case or not. We would potentially assume that if an illegal activity now becomes legal, then more people would be participating in that activity, so to say that

1	7,000 - I think that was the scoter harvest - II
2	7,000 is the current harvest, if that would be
3	maintained if this method was legalized.
4	That's all I had.
5	MR. STARKEY: Can I ask a question?
6	MR. ALCORN: Sure, you may.
7	MR. STARKEY: Do you mind, Stan? I'm just
8	trying to get clear on how enforcement approaches
9	the current regulations with hunters in a boat. Do
10	you have to be completely without forward movement
11	in a boat and not to not get how does that
12	work? How do you guys enforce this regulation?
13	What do you see as the problem that they're trying
14	to address in terms of enforcement?
15	MR. PRUSZENSKI: The problem
16	MR. STARKEY: Do you think people are going full
17	speed down the river, chasing ducks and shooting
18	them, or what's happening? What, from your
19	perspective, is the issue that people are trying to
20	address here?
21	MR. PRUSZENSKI: First of all, I'm not trying to
22	fix any problem with enforcement, I'm trying to
23	enforce the regulations as they currently are.
24	MR. STARKEY: I'm just trying to see how they're
25	enforced.

1	MR. PRUSZENSKI: The current regulations I see,
2	pretty much, are in two parts. One is what we're
3	doing to the birds, the harvest or the hunter,
4	chasing, driving, rallying, concentrating; those are
5	all prohibited. The second portion of that
6	regulation is that you can use a boat to position a
7	hunter. So you, as a boat operator and a hunter in
8	the boat, you can drive and you can operate that
9	boat and you can be positioned by the boat. But
10	that does not mean that you can drive, concentrate,
11	pursue, rally birds. I mean, that's the illegal
12	part of it.
13	MR. STARKEY: So if you're going down the
14	Kuskokwim River and you see some scoters or some
15	other ducks and they take off and you want to follow
16	wherever they go to try to get another shot at them,
17	that's illegal?
18	MR. PRUSZENSKI: You're following the birds, are
19	they being driven by you?
20	MR. STARKEY: They take off and go down the
21	river
22	MR. PRUSZENSKI: As they typically would.
23	MR. STARKEY: Right. And you follow down, you
24	see them, you're going down, you're hunting. And
25	maybe they haven't quite landed or they're getting

1	ready to take off and you're moving and you shoot;
2	that's a violation, right?
3	MR. PRUSZENSKI: I would have to see that in
4	I'd have to see what the birds are doing. If we're
5	pursuing the bird downriver, I would say yes. I
6	know from my activity on the river, I mean, they fly
7	and they go several bends and they may stop. So if
8	we're in the boat and we're going down the slough or
9	the river for miles and miles and miles and the bird
10	is still flying, then I would say at that point, you
11	know, we've got an issue.
12	MR. STARKEY: Okay. It's kind of ambiguous,
13	though
14	MR. PRUSZENSKI: It's a case-by-case, certainly.
15	MS. TAHBONE: So, Stan, I'm assuming, like,
16	herding is driving, that's what driving is? Like
17	when you herd, that would be under drive, right?
18	MR. PRUSZENSKI: Right. Typically that would be
19	flightless birds as opposed to okay, I wouldn't
20	say that herding is Sky's
21	MS. TAHBONE: Well
22	MR. PRUSZENSKI: down the river with a
23	couple
24	MS. TAHBONE: I'm just saying with the when
25	we were when our council was discussing there,

```
1
           and several of the council members had time in the
 2
           Yukon-Kuskokwim area they went out hunting, so they
 3
           observed the technique strategy. And so they were
           saying it was like herding. So I was just wondering
 5
           under which one of these would that fall under,
            concentrate, drive? Be more like the drive.
 7
               MR. PRUSZENSKI: Drive -- driving.
               MR. ALCORN: Okay. I'm -- Patty's had her hand
 8
 9
           up for awhile. This is kind of diverging. We have
           a technical --
10
               MR. PRUSZENSKI: I didn't mean to open Pandora's
11
12
           box.
13
               MR. ALCORN: We can invite Stan, if you have
            questions pertaining to the issues Stan is talking
14
           about, I'll be glad to bring him up. But let's have
15
16
           Eric and Dan answer questions.
17
               Is your --
               MS. TAHBONE: He didn't -- Eric answered, but
18
            Dan didn't answer my question.
19
20
               MR. ALCORN: Okay. Let's get an answer to your
21
            question from Dan.
22
               MR. ROSENBERG: And this was your question about
23
           harvest?
               MS. TAHBONE: At the current harvest levels are
24
25
            you concerned?
```

1	MR. ROSENBERG: For Black Scoters, yes.
2	Concerned that I just think we need to look at it
3	more all the time, to follow it closely.
4	MS. TAHBONE: At what point are those concerns
5	and anticipation of further regulations going to
6	come before this council?
7	MR. ROSENBERG: Concern that I just think we
8	need to look at the just Fish & Wildlife
9	Service just started flying some surveys dedicated
10	to try to get a population estimate of Black
11	Scoters. They've been doing it now for four years,
12	I believe. They've come up with a more refined
13	estimate that still has noise in it, still has
14	variations for Black Scoters in Western Alaska and
15	on the Delta.
16	And now we've got some of those numbers and
17	we've got some harvest estimates coming out with new
18	harvest surveys that hopefully will give us better
19	harvest estimates, so hopefully we'll have better
20	population estimates. I think that's something that
21	we need to look at in the future. Because we have a
22	population that apparently has been going down
23	significantly over time. And we don't know why.
24	And it could be because of ten different things all
25	interacting, it could be because of one thing.

1	MS. TAHBONE: So through this analysis with what
2	you're providing here today, did you look at any
3	possible, like, possible increase in harvest and
4	what that increased percentage would be and how it
5	would impact? Do you have any of that kind of
6	information?
7	MR. ROSENBERG: I don't even from pursuing a
8	practice that's already ongoing, I don't know. Now,
9	Stan made the point that it's going to potentially
10	increase harvest. This is very nebulous information
11	to work from. I cannot come up with an estimate.
12	MS. TAHBONE: Even if, providing at a worst-case
13	scenario, if it increases by so much, it's going to
14	have this kind of impact, and it could possibly
15	increase by so much and it will I mean, you know,
16	that type of information would be really helpful for
17	me to be able to provide a better
18	MR. ROSENBERG: Yeah.
19	MS. TAHBONE: decision.
20	MR. ROSENBERG: That can be done through a
21	population modeling exercise, which I have not done.
22	In the future, if that's something that the council
23	finds important, we can do that.
24	MR. ALCORN: The question Sandy is asking is
25	very complex, from my very rudimentary understanding

1	of population modeling, and there's lots of factors
2	that drive the ultimate population number up or
3	down, and Dan alluded to that. Eric did raise his
4	hand when you were speaking, so I'm assuming Eric's
5	going to respond to the question or okay.
6	MR. TAYLOR: Yeah. Sandy, I just went through
7	the list that I gave in terms of potential concerns.
8	And I realized there is one particular species that
9	is closed to harvest on the Y-K Delta, and yet we're
10	still seeing birds occur in the subsistence harvest
11	survey, and that's Emperor Geese. So we are very
12	concerned about the harvest of the closed species.
13	MR. ALCORN: Thanks, Eric.
14	Patty, thank you for being patient.
15	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: That's okay.
16	Eric, can you explain the services and look at
17	population objective versus population average
18	between 1955 and 2007, if the average is down I
19	mean, how do those two compare, or is it the same
20	thing? If they don't meet the I mean, if they're
21	below the average does that mean it doesn't meet its
22	population objective, or is that just something you
23	need to start looking at?
24	MR. TAYLOR: There are four species of geese
25	relative to in terms of population objective that

Τ	I've mentioned for the Y-K Goose Management Plan and
2	the Pacific Flyway Council. And the species that I
3	mentioned were Emperor Geese and Cacklers here,
4	relative to their population as well as brant
5	population objective and that they have not met what
6	both the signatories of the Goose Management Plan
7	feel were appropriate objectives as well as the
8	Pacific Flyway Council.
9	And so there's harvest regulations that are set
10	that try to get those populations back to population
11	objective. So we're still allowing harvest, with
12	the exception of Emperor Geese, but for brant and
13	cacklers we're still allowing harvest, but
14	nonetheless, we're still recognizing that they
15	haven't met their population objective.
16	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: What about scoters? I
17	think the proposal is specifically scoters, isn't
18	it, Sky?
19	MR. STARKEY: It's focused on the scoters.
20	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: But could it be
21	other
22	MR. STARKEY: It's worded broadly. But when Tim
23	answered the questions that were asked to get more
24	specific, then he focused it on scoters.
25	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: So you have two percent

1	increase in Alaska but a 38 percent decrease
2	continental-wide, so what's the population objective
3	for scoters and is it being met?
4	MR. TAYLOR: Just to correct the record, it's
5	actually only 31 percent
6	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: I'm sorry.
7	MR. TAYLOR: That's all right.
8	31 percent below the 1955 to 2007 average.
9	I don't think, I might ask Bob Trost to correct
10	me if I'm wrong, but I don't believe there's a
11	population objective set for scoters for the
12	continent; is that correct?
13	MR. TROST: Actually, there is one. It's in the
14	North American Waterfowl Management Plan, but I
15	don't know it right off the top of my head.
16	MR. TAYLOR: I don't either, Patty.
17	MR. TROST: But I know that we are below it. I
18	can say that.
19	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: Okay. Then my next
20	question is what is the population distribution of
21	scoters? Is it just the western half of the
22	continent or do they occur in the eastern coast as
23	well, or where are they?
24	MR. TAYLOR: In terms of all three species?
25	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: Yeah.

Ţ	MR. TAYLOR: I might ask for additional
2	expertise here, because I don't have range maps in
3	front of me. But Black Scoters, White-winged
4	Scoters, as well as Surf Scoters, if I remember
5	correctly, their breeding range is throughout
6	subarctic North America, throughout almost all
7	provinces, if I'm not mistaken, all the way to
8	the I'm not for sure if they breed in Nova Scotia
9	or not, but they certainly breed as far east as
10	Quebec.
11	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: As far south only as,
12	like, Oregon is that
13	MR. ROSENBERG: White-winged Scoters used to
14	breed down into North Dakota and that far south, but
15	they no longer do. Now their southern distribution
16	of White-winged Scoters is probably in Alberta,
17	Manitoba Area, Saskatchewan, that area. But Black
18	Scoters are Alaska, Canada. The furthest south in
19	Alaska is probably, there's probably some in, maybe
20	even the Copper River drainage, but not very many.
21	But The majority are in the Bristol Bay, Yukon Delta
22	and Selawik. And then there's some across the top
23	of the Arctic Coastal Plain and then Canada.
24	So scoters are and of course Black Scoters
25	also go there may be Black Scoters wintering in

Alaska in the Aleutians. And then Surf Scoters are throughout Interior and Coastal Alaska and into Canada.

MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: Okay.

2.4

MR. ROSENBERG: There's some that winter on the Atlantic coast that come up that way and some that winter on the Pacific coast. So they sort of meet halfway, in a very rough sense.

MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: Then I just had one question for Sky or whoever can answer this. What actually is the method, I mean, it's called herding or whatever. But what are they actually doing, what speed do they go, how often is this occurring? I'm not familiar with herding flightless birds. So, I mean, we don't do that in the Prince William Sound. So I'm not sure -- do you know the answer to that question?

MR. STARKEY: I don't know. I don't know.

MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: Because I know we, as a council, put that regulation in place. And we did it for a reason. But at the same time, I don't want to shut down an opportunity for a hunt that's already -- you know, it puts us in a difficult position, because I don't feel like I understand the harvest method very well.

Τ	MR. STARKEY: I don't I've joined a nunt.
2	And what I've seen is what I was trying to describe
3	where the birds fly and people, you know, follow
4	them.
5	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: But they don't
6	MR. STARKEY: But I haven't seen anything that I
7	would be called herding but Frank might help.
8	MR. ALCORN: Let me call on Frank. He's had his
9	hand up. If there are no other questions at this
10	time from the Council, let me ask Frank to come to
11	the table. Before you come up, are we through
12	asking the two agency do you still have more
13	questions?
14	Okay. Frank, is your comment directly related
15	to her question?
16	MR. WOODS: Yes.
17	MR. TAYLOR: Do you mind if I just address
18	Patty's question real quick just to clarify, because
19	I think
20	MR. ALCORN: Since you have the floor, you're
21	welcome to.
22	MR. TAYLOR: This proposal would allow both the
23	shooting of flight birds that are capable of flight.
24	So the scenario that Sky talked about where a boat
25	was going downriver, a flock would get up and a boat

1	could chase it until you get within range and then
2	shoot the birds as they're flying, as the proposal's
3	also written, they also could herd flightless birds
4	that are flightless for four to six weeks that
5	typically group together, most waterfowl species, or
6	many waterfowl species, because it's a time when
7	they've lost their defense mechanism of flying, will
8	herd together. Typically geese do that, many sea
9	ducks do that, like long-tailed ducks, for example.
10	So this proposal would also allow herding of
11	flightless birds into a group and then harvesting
12	them, so.
13	MR. ALCORN: All right. I'm going to invite
14	Frank Woods to the table.
15	You were going to address Patty's question,
16	right, Frank?
17	Identify yourself.
18	MR. WOODS: Frank Woods, BBNA subsistence. I
19	appreciate the deliberations here. It's a
20	complicated topic. It has a lot of ramifications
21	throughout the state, I think. Mainly for Yukon
22	Delta's proposal, I thank them for bringing it
23	forward, because this is not only an existing
24	practice, but I think in regulation it says
25	positioning yourself with a motorized boat.

Т	And especially on coast, I can tell you for
2	Togiak and our coastal villages where there is no
3	rivers or there is no cover, you have to position
4	yourself with a boat to get the birds. And it is
5	probably even going to come right down to
6	eventually, you know, it's the discretion of the
7	officer and how they read, interpret the language.
8	I think this is where Y-K is bringing it up that,
9	you know, that we don't want to leave any big holes
10	out there for enforcement to have a broad make it
11	easier for at least a user, subsistence user to go
12	out and harvest and make it easier for them to
13	distinguish on how they interpret the law.
14	But, you know, like Patty, she said we don't
15	want to discourage any or opportunity for people
16	to harvest. And and if I heard the scientists
17	right this morning got two of the best bird guys in
18	the state, you know, we don't have a decline in
19	population in Alaska, but nationwide we do in the
20	Pacific Flyway and the council has been really
21	active in that management along with this council.
22	That's our job.
23	Alaska is doing their part. And if we look at
24	the big picture, maybe you can elaborate on that,
25	but a harvest you know, especially the Y-K in

1	Alaska since I've been involved, they've always
2	taken conservation measures to increase the
3	population, the brant, you know, the nesting
4	sanctuaries that they had for years. I know U.S.
5	Fish & Wildlife is doing a whole bunch of work with
6	foxes and nesting and actually doing predator
7	management in that arena eliminating foxes to help
3	increase the nesting of this.

I think that the concern here is, is that we might introduce a legislation or law that would be looked -- frowned upon. I wish I could go out and show you how to -- I mean, you got to go out there and actually do it. And a lot of people that go out there and do it, it's posturing yourself and positioning yourself to get to the best effectiveness of the bird.

On the other hand, somebody's talking about nesting birds. Native people, they don't want to harvest nesting birds, but there are some opportunities where, you know, I've heard elders say that at some point in time that they will harvest a few -- it's taboo in our area to harvest any nesting birds, let's put it that way. But there are times when people have to subsist. And migratory birds are when they've had a long winter, a shortage of

1 red meat, and it's an opportunity to put food on the

2	table, and that's all we're doing.
3	But there are some times when I've heard of
4	that practice before, actually catching, you know,
5	goslings, basically, young geese. And, you know, I
6	couldn't imagine doing it, because it would be
7	against my my grandmother didn't raise me that
8	way. You catch them, you know, in the fall and
9	springtime when they're adults only.
10	Anyway, with that, I hope I answered your
11	question. It's positioning and there's a whole I
12	mean, you could position yourself in different ways.
13	If I shot something and I need to position myself to
14	get in front of the herd, then I'll run up against
15	and herd, flock anyway. Okay.
16	(Laughter)
17	MR. ALCORN: All right. Thanks. We're going to
18	appreciate that, Frank. And we did I believe
19	there were other questions of the two agency
20	analysts that we have, Bob Trost has raised his
21	hand.
22	Is this to address the specific question, Bob?
23	MR. TROST: I would like to try.
24	MR. ALCORN: Come to the table and introduce
25	yourself, if you would.

1	MR. TROST:	My name's Bob Trost.	I'm with the
2	Fish & Wildlife	Service, and I'm the	Pacific Flyway
3	representative.		

Just briefly, one of the things that I wanted to point out about this proposed regulation is, I think most of you recognize that a lot of the ways in which we capture birds to mark them are based on some traditional hunting methods. In fact, we've tried to learn all we can from you folks and how to go about doing that.

I'll illustrate, early on in my career I was asked to analyze all the scaup data from Alaska. I took a look at it. And it turns out that in the early days they used this same technique that they had learned by folks who used to hunt to drive flightless scaup into areas to band.

So when you talk about the magnitude, it's not an issue, I don't think, of someone chasing the duck down the river in a boat. They caught 20, 50,000 birds at one fell swoop by using this particular technique, because birds were molting and are flightless at that time of year, can be very susceptible to harvest.

So this can be a very effective means to take very large numbers of birds. And that, I think, is

Τ	where the conservation concern comes in here. We
2	have real practical records of being able to take
3	tens of thousands of birds at a single time using
4	this methodology. Thank you.
5	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: Thanks, Bob.
6	MR. STARKEY: Can I ask Bob a question?
7	MR. ALCORN: You're certainly welcome to.
8	MR. TROST: To point out, Russ just said, these
9	were captured to be banded and released, not to be
10	harvested.
11	MS. TAHBONE: Not to harvest, but to capture as
12	many as you could?
13	MR. TROST: Right.
14	MR. ALCORN: Bob, there are a few questions, I
15	think, from the board, if you'd stay at the table.
16	Sky?
17	MR. STARKEY: I just wanted to ask Bob, because
18	I'm familiar with his expertise in these things.
19	These Black Scoters
20	MR. TROST: Scoters.
21	MR. STARKEY: are they just Pacific Flyway?
22	Are they
23	MR. TROST: They winter on both coasts, but
24	they're predominantly western in their distribution.

MR. STARKEY: Why do we hear an average that

1	uses the years 1955 and 2007?
2	MR. TROST: Because that is the period of time
3	in which we have done a standardized survey. It
4	began in 1955, and that was through the most current
5	year somebody had available to them.
6	MR. STARKEY: So if you took the last ten-year
7	average, what's that look like?
8	MR. TROST: In general for almost all of the sea
9	ducks and for all of the boreal nesting diving
10	ducks, the general population trend is downward.
11	And
12	MR. ALCORN: Ask and you shall receive.
13	MR. TROST: Yeah, now if I could just read my
14	own table.
15	They were down 31 percent overall in that
16	period. And the Alaska region truthfully is down in
17	the last from the last year 12 percent and
18	from it would appear, the most recent ten-year
19	average in that neighborhood, down ten percent.
20	One of the things this group obviously struggles
21	with is when is an issue of a conservation concern
22	and when is it just a regulatory stumbling block, so
23	to speak. And in the case of these particular
24	groups of birds there is the potential to take very
25	large numbers of them, which is a concern because,

_	as a group, energ populations are not doing werr
2	right now.
3	And we don't believe that is directly related to
4	harvest. We think this is part of the global
5	warming and changing environment thing that they're
6	facing. But this group of birds as a whole is just
7	not doing as well as we would like.
8	MR. ALCORN: Any other questions of Bob before
9	we thanks Bob.
10	Are there any questions of the two biologists
11	from the agencies before we move to the
12	Okay. The technical committee is the next to be
13	invited to the table to provide their analysis of
14	their.
15	MR. OSTRAND: Bill Ostrand with Fish & Wildlife
16	Service. I'm presenting the report from the
17	technical committee. The technical committee report
18	is in your binders, if you haven't seen it. So the
19	technical committee agreed that it wasn't sufficient
20	data, or they were not aware of data available to
21	evaluate the potential impacts of legalizing driving
22	birds as a harvest method.
23	The group did agree that for there were
24	conservation concerns and maybe potential negative
25	impacts and should the regulation be passed, the

1	committee suggested there may be two possible
2	approaches to avoid negative impacts.
3	The first would be to develop a list that would
4	be closed to harvest via this method. And the
5	second is the flip side, develop a list that would
6	be open to harvest via this method of take. Tom
7	wrote the, and Russ volunteered to come up with
8	those lists.
9	Then the discussion moved on and the committee
10	discussed those points that seemed unclear to them
11	and developed questions to ask of AVCP. I'll read
12	you those questions.
13	One is: What are the species that are
14	traditionally taken by this method? Are motorized
15	or nonmotorized craft used for driving birds? And
16	number three, are the harvested birds flightless
17	molting birds, young broods or other groups of
18	birds?
19	And I was directed to finalize these questions
20	and send them to AVCP and then I was to route them
21	to the committee and then distribute them to you
22	all.
23	I didn't hear back from Tim, but Tim did later
24	submit his brief on the proposal. And I believe he

answered two of the three questions. He did not,

1	you know in answer to number one, he identified
2	what species are principally taken and he did
3	indicate that motorized boats are used for this.
4	But we didn't get an answer to the third question:
5	Are the harvested birds flightless, molting birds,
6	young broods or other groups of birds.
7	So so that's our report.
8	MR. ALCORN: All right. Thank you.
9	Any questions of the technical committee?
10	Cochair? Hearing none, thanks, Bill.
11	I'm going to ask Stan, if you would come to the
12	table, there were some discussions that I thought
13	maybe we could cut short. And Dale has expressed an
14	interest in asking questions regarding this
15	proposal, so I'll turn it to Dale.
16	MR. RABE: Be more than happy to get it started,
17	Mr. Chairman.
18	Stan, the some of my questions will, without
19	a doubt, sort of fall into a legal interpretation
20	and I recognize that your role is enforcement. So
21	to that extent, you're required to sort of interpret
22	what the intent was to the language.
23	And as such, the prohibition has been read a
24	couple of times but the relevant words in that
25	prohibition talk about using a boat to concentrate,

1	drive, rally or stir up any migratory birds. And
2	the amended language refers to, other than the
3	geographic, that a person may use a boat to pursue
4	and harvest waterfowl.

And I'm really curious, in terms of what does it mean from an enforcement standpoint, not given the legal interpretation that we may need to go through to get to this point, what does it mean to you to use a boat to pursue and harvest waterfowl that's different from the current regulation?

MR. PRUSZENSKI: Stan Pruszenski with Office of Law Enforcement, Fish & Wildlife.

I think this is similar to the question that

Sandy had, in that pursuing, in my view, would be -would be driving. So if we're pursuing it, again,
is this something that the hunter and the boat are
doing or is this something that is happening to the
bird? Am I pursuing it in my boat, a stationary
bird? Am I pursuing it using my boat to get to that
bird, positioning myself closer to that bird? Or at
what point does that bird now become the pursued,
and run and be chased and -- and rallied or
concentrated in -- in Bob's instance, that they were
actually being concentrated.

And this comes to a point that, yes, the Fish &

1	Wildlife Service I am not an attorney, but I do
2	have the responsibility to pretty much have the
3	first impression of the regulations for the service.
4	So my office and officers interpret the regulations
5	and do the best we can with trying to figure out
6	what this body was trying to do to conserve birds
7	and/or to make a a method of harvest legal.
8	This one, in my view, the difference between
9	pursuing, if we legalize that, there's a very
10	there's a very fine line and then it becomes very
11	obvious when we have changed from a pursuit to these
12	other methods that are illegal and that presumably,
13	under this amendment, would still be illegal.
14	So we can legalize pursuit, but then I'm not
15	sure that the regulated public will have a clear
16	understanding of what's illegal and what's legal.
17	And certainly officers will, as has been mentioned
18	earlier today, will have the discretion, have the
19	ability, the authority, the requirement, to
20	interpret it more than what's in black and white in
21	the regulation.
22	So I guess, in my view, you know, the simpler,

the better, for both the regulators and the

regulated public. If we're having these debates,

you know, about the words, I can't imagine what some

23

24

Ţ	nunter in an 18-feet Lund boat going down the river
2	is you know, am I pursuing this or now am I
3	driving it or rallying it? That's
4	MR. RABE: Thank you. And you're getting to
5	exactly the point. Because conceptually this is
6	something that that is to go forward, I and it
7	may be that in our process we can deal with wording
8	changes outside of a decision on the concept. But
9	the term "to pursue waterfowl," to me, could simply
10	mean the same thing as the word "to position," "to
11	position a hunter." I mean, that is pursuing
12	wildlife.
13	And that's I would think we need, at the very
14	least, to get a better discussion of what is the
15	range of activity that is the intent here and the
16	scope. And there's there's this issue, there's
17	the issue of of the species and potentially the
18	time frame that that still seems to be unresolved
19	in the simple words that are put forward in this
20	proposal. That to me, if if adopted would the
21	intent would be to open it up for everything during
22	the entire period and it would be up to a legal
23	interpretation of what the word "pursue" means,
24	where it's different than harassing.

So thank you. Your explanation was helpful.

1	MR. ALCORN: Thank you.
2	Any other questions of Stan?
3	Okay. Molly and then Patty.
4	MS. CHYTHLOOK: Yeah, I don't know if any of
5	your law enforcements have ever ticketed anybody.
6	And if they did, they they probably would I
7	don't know how they came to determine, you know, all
8	these. I don't know, I'm not a hunter. But I've
9	in my in my lifetime, I've gone with my dad and
10	my brother. And there's a difference between
11	positioning yourself in the open water, like like
12	the Bay, Bristol Bay, like between Togiak and
13	Nushagak, all that open water.
14	I to position yourself to harvest like eider
15	ducks that used to fly along the coast, and
16	positioning yourself in the boat. I've sat in boats
17	when my dad or my brothers would position themselves
18	to harvest those eiders. And then then going
19	moving to Aleknagik where we have rivers that aren't
20	open and and going with, even today with my
21	husband, going up up these rivers, Muklung River
22	and and seeing these ducks take off, what what
23	do you do? Just stop and not not go after
24	these go after birds anymore? Because if we did,

25 then we'd -- we'd be considered, I guess, pursuing.

1	Because there's not only that group, there's
2	other other ducks, you know, along the way. And
3	so, if if when we're going up these rivers and
4	one group of ducks take off and in flight, we
5	know that up ahead around the bend are other
6	other ducks. So we're not pursuing this one one
7	group, or we're not it would be hard as a law
8	enforcement if I was a law enforcement, I think
9	I'd have a hard time giving a ticket to to
10	hunters unless I unless I was in there in the
11	skiff with them, you know, just present there.
12	So we need we need to have a clarification or
13	these. Unless your law enforcement are going to be
14	sitting there when they write a ticket to explain to
15	these hunters what exactly they're they're doing,
16	the process of why, you know, they were ticketing
17	for pursuing, or whatever else, they think they're
18	ticketing the hunters for. Thank you.
19	MR. ALCORN: Thank you.
20	Patty?
21	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: I was going to ask a
22	similar question. Also, we didn't, we don't have
23	the actual proposal in our we got this additional
24	information from Tim, but where is the actual
25	language?

1	MR. ALCORN. It's not in your binder:
2	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: No.
3	MR. ALCORN: It's flip that page over, I
4	think you have it there.
5	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: No, the next one is
6	the oh, okay.
7	MR. ALCORN: Okay. Herman and then Randy. Stan
8	did raise his hand, he was going to respond to the
9	question.
10	MR. PRUSZENSKI: I believe there were questions
11	in there that
12	MR. ALCORN: Okay. You can respond to that
13	question and then I'll
14	MR. PRUSZENSKI: Well, thank you for the
15	appreciation of the hard job that my folks have. It
16	is difficult. And unfortunately, I think for my
17	officers to have to describe and explain the
18	regulations when they're sitting there trying to
19	write a ticket to an individual, that's too late for
20	everybody to understand what the rules and what the
21	regulations and what's legal and what's not. That's
22	way too late. We need to know what it is way before
2.3	we get to that position.
24	Our officers have written citations for for
25	prohibiting the this method of take, using boats

2	issued in Minto Flats up in the Interior. And we've
3	had citations issued down on Johnson Slough south of
4	Bethel, just this past spring.
5	MS. CHYTHLOOK: Were these for flightless birds
6	or just ducks that were flying?
7	MR. PRUSZENSKI: These were, I can't answer the
8	Minto Flat birds, whether those were flightless at
9	this time. This was Fourth of July weekend, so I
10	would have to have the biologist say whether they
11	were flightless at that time or not.
12	The Johnson Slough citations, those were not.
13	Those were earlier in the season. And, in fact, we
14	were attempting to get to areas in the Delta over
15	near the tundra villages where there are quite a few
16	black scoters that do concentrate themselves when
17	they are flightless. We've had information over the
18	last several years of harvest over there.
19	So that's actually where we were attempting to
20	get to. But weather was such that we weren't able

to pursue and drive and rally. We've had a citation

MR. ALCORN: I'm needing a break. I don't know about the rest of you. I'd like to -- okay. If

and dealt with those as we needed to.

to get there. So in our travels to where we were

trying to get, we came across these other violations

21

22

23

1	it's not going to take long.
2	MR. PRUSZENSKI: I'll come back.
3	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Addressing both Molly and Stan.
4	You know, Stan, I'm going to use the word follow,
5	what Molly was saying she was doing. It would be
6	hard for your enforcement guys, they'd have to be
7	observing for a while these people pursuing or
8	following these ducks instead of, where they could
9	just come right up on and see somebody going after a
10	duck and thinking they're chasing them or harassing
11	them and write a citation. They should be able to
12	observe it for a while before they do anything, is
13	the point I want to get across.
14	MR. PRUSZENSKI: It's very different on the
15	slough when you're going like this. And the birds
16	stay kind of within the corridor of the slough, as
17	opposed to in the country where you may be where
18	it's wide open ocean where the birds may take off.
19	And if they go around the point, you, as the hunter,
20	do you go around the point with them or do you break
21	it off and look for other opportunities?
22	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Oh, I'll go around. If we've
23	got some particular ducks, we'll follow them. But I
24	want to make sure that the point across is that they

shouldn't consider somebody harassing or something

1	soon as they see them. I mean, like in the
2	specially in the slough, or something like that,
3	they come upon them, you know, oh, hey, look, these
4	guys are harassing these ducks, chasing them, or
5	whatever. Things like that need to be taken into
6	consideration.
7	MR. PRUSZENSKI: Right. The process
8	MS. CHYTHLOOK: It's not even following.
9	We're when I was in the skiff with my husband and
10	my brothers, we were traveling, and the ducks were
11	just taking off in front of us.
12	MR. PRUSZENSKI: I actually believe that several
13	years ago when this proposal was initially brought
14	in, that was the, you know, the intent was that if
15	you're traveling, incidental to your travels, a bird
16	pops up, that's when you're able to harvest that.
17	MS. CHYTHLOOK: But my understanding earlier was
18	that when, you know, I think it was you that made
19	the comment, if if when from Sky's
20	questioning was when you're traveling and ducks take
21	off and you're still traveling, sounds like your
22	determination was that they were pursuing.
23	MR. PRUSZENSKI: I think there's a difference
24	between what would a good term be? Startled. If
25	you come around the corner and you startle these

1	birds and they attempt to fry and you take them at
2	that point, that's not prohibited, as I read the
3	regulations.
4	MS. CHYTHLOOK: That's also different,
5	there's the rivers, you know, the winding rivers and
6	then the Kuskokwim River that's straight.
7	MR. PRUSZENSKI: You may startle it a quarter
8	mile away.
9	MS. CHYTHLOOK: Just because you're still
10	traveling, it doesn't mean that you're still
11	pursuing that flock of birds that were startled.
12	MR. PRUSZENSKI: This is where Herman is
13	correct, my officers, the Refuge officers are going
14	to have to observe that and say, no, they weren't in
15	fact, traveling. The birds went over to this side
16	of the river, so they went over there, that's not
17	MS. CHYTHLOOK: And upon traveling, you see
18	another group of birds.
19	MR. PRUSZENSKI: I guess I would say that most
20	people in this area of the river travel on this side
21	of the bank, because it's deeper. The birds are on
22	this side, so we divert over there. My question to
23	the officers is, you know, did you ask them why did
24	they divert? Everyone always goes on this side of
25	the bank, now they're over here. Are they chasing

the birds? Well, yeah. That's where the birds

2	went, so that's where they move their boat. So at
3	that point I would say that they're
4	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Following them.
5	MR. PRUSZENSKI: Or pursuing.
6	MR. ALCORN: Let's Randy, you had your hand
7	raised.
8	MR. MAYO: Up in our region that, we're unclear
9	as to this proposal that but what I'm gathering
10	here is that the intent of this proposal is, you
11	know, like with the other speakers are saying that
12	up in the Yukon Flats, you know, that this like
13	what Molly was describing, that's what we engage in
14	you know. But it sounds like this harassment and
15	driving birds and the language is being inserted
16	into this proposal from these communities and kind
17	of confusing the issue for us, you know, for me.
18	And but it's becoming clear to me as to

what's happening here that, you know, up in our
region that when you come upon black ducks that you
do -- you can motor up to them quietly and position
yourself, as opposed to trying to take long range
shots and, you know, further in, you know, wounding
birds and waste. If you can get up close to them
and take them without wasting them, you know,

that's that's what I do, as a hunter. And that's
the practice in my community, position yourself with
the boat so you're not out of range shooting at them
and having them taking pellets and flying off later
on and dying, you know.

That's, you know what we're -- we don't -- you know, we don't drive and round up flightless birds like Mr. Woods was explaining earlier. You know, in our area we don't do that. Maybe what you're talking about up in my region, maybe that might have been a couple of isolated incidents by, if it was some young Native hunters not really well versed in our contemporary traditional hunting methods, I think that's probably what happened, you know.

But just listening to the going back and forth here it's kind of kind of clear to me what's going on here. So what I gather out of this proposal, without the maker of the proposal being here, that -- that this would just formalize an ongoing practice. So that's what I am getting out of this debate here.

And if so, then -- because this is what we do in our area, you know. We're not harassing, we're not driving. You know, this is a takeoff of us getting our food and meeting or needs, you know. You know,

1	the contemporary day and age, you know.
2	MR. ALCORN: Thank you for your comments.
3	We can take up this discussion. Stan's willing
4	to come back to the table. We will recess until
5	10:30. And I would like to invite those of you that
6	have enjoyed donuts and coffee for the last couple
7	of days, please contribute, because these are not
8	hosted by the Fish & Wildlife Service. Donna and
9	Bill take money out of their pockets to buy these
10	for us. So please pay your fair share. I would
11	recommend over a couple days about \$5. The cup is
12	over there. So please contribute.
13	10:30.
14	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken, after
15	which the following proceedings were had at
16	10:39 a.m.:)
17	MR. ALCORN: I'm going to ask members to take
18	their seats. We'll reconvene, take up our
19	discussion of Proposal Number 1. We were asking
20	Stan Pruszenski to answer questions regarding law
21	enforcement components of this potential.
22	Any questions of Stan before we have council
23	discuss its proposal? Peter?
24	MR. DEVINE: It's not a question to Stan, but
25	it's just a comment that, you know, as an avid

1	hunter, I've used this practice myself for 32-plus
2	years. And we don't use it on all species of duck.
3	The freshwater ducks, you know, go into rivers, so,
4	you know, they're pretty easy to get. But in the
5	open ocean, we do pursue sea ducks. And, you know,
6	harvest them with that method. But, you know,
7	that's only in the open seas.

And sometimes, you know, if we can stage a hunter on a point or something, you know, try to drive toward the birds, but we do use that method in our area.

MR. ALCORN: Thanks, Peter.

I have a question to Stan. The proposal, the way the proposal reads, the language that would change and it would say in the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta a person may use a boat to pursue and to harvest waterfowl. So the proposal is for all waterfowl species, but the analysis and the brief that Tim wrote focuses on scoters.

So if this were to be a species-specific, scoters or other species, if it evolved into that kind of a regulation, would it cause problems from an enforcement perspective?

MR. PRUSZENSKI: On the Yukon-Kuskokwim where I think birds probably tend to commingle more than

1	maybe what Peter was talking about, you know,
2	freshwater ducks going up the rivers and then sea
3	ducks being out on the open ocean, that would be
4	fairly easy to distinguish and we would know which
5	birds we're pursuing.
6	If we were running up the rivers or the sloughs
7	or in some of those large freshwater ponds on the
8	Delta where birds are commingled in lots of times of
9	the year, and if we start to pursue birds and
10	shooting, I don't know if, one, the enforcement
11	folks would be able to distinguish which birds are
12	being shot at and/or if the hunters would be able to
13	distinguish which birds are being shot at. So I
14	think there would be a potential for disagreements
15	and conflicts between the public and the enforcement
16	officers as to what actually was going on.
17	MR. ALCORN: Thanks.
18	I have no further questions.
19	Anyone else have other questions? All right.
20	We have
21	MR. STARKEY: I've got a question.
22	MR. ALCORN: Okay. Sky.
23	MR. STARKEY: Can you talk about the particular,
24	not the people involved, but the circumstance for
25	which people were cited on the Johnson, over

1	Johnson? What was the circumstance, was it the
2	flighted birds, you said?
3	MR. PRUSZENSKI: Again, I think this was fairly
4	early in the season last year and there were
5	flighted birds, Johnson Slough. Again, just I
6	was not the officer involved. I saw reports, you
7	know, ten months ago, maybe or so. So I don't know
8	the particulars of it, but they were, again, driving
9	birds.
10	MR. STARKEY: I'm really I'm having, myself,
11	just having a hard time distinguishing driving. Do
12	you mean herding, or do you mean that the birds were
13	in flight, they were in a boat following the birds
14	and shooting?
15	MR. PRUSZENSKI: Correct.
16	MR. STARKEY: That's it?
17	MR. PRUSZENSKI: Correct.
18	MR. STARKEY: That's thank you, by the way.
19	Mr. Chairman, I did talk to Tim over the break
20	to get clarification. I was understanding the
21	proposal right. It doesn't have to do with herding,
22	I think, which was what Bob was talking about. It
23	does have to do with particularly scoters and sea
24	ducks. And it does have to do with the ability to
25	be in a boat and following birds and being able to

2	flighted birds.
3	But that is the proposal. I mean that's if
4	that clarifies. I think that's why in the suggested
5	regulatory language it was maybe used to pursue and
6	harvest. And I don't maybe pursue is too broad
7	of a word for enforcement. I, you know, don't know
8	how to capture. But if it was driving, is is the
9	technical term. And it's actually, driving is
10	considered you're in a moving boat, you're following
11	a bird, probably, I'm imagining on the slough, they
12	were probably moving up the slough, the birds were
13	in front flying and they were on the move and there
14	was somebody in the boat that was not they
15	probably weren't technically positioning in a
16	stationary they were probably

hunt them on the run, pursuing them. And it's only

MR. ALCORN: Moving birds.

MR. STARKEY: I don't know if other regions do that, or maybe that's exactly what happened up the Minto. But anyway, that is the clarification.

MR. ALCORN: Thanks.

Any questions for the questions of Stan? Okay.

I'm going to -- we will take public comments and
then the council will then have discussion of this
proposal before we call for questions.

Τ	Any comments from the audience? Jon, come and
2	identify yourself to the at the table, please.
3	MR. DYASUK: How much do I have?
4	MR. ALCORN: Be I mean, say what you need to
5	say. Be as brief as you can be, but I'm not going
6	to cut you off.
7	MR. DYASUK: My name is Jon Dyasuk. I work as a
8	liaison in the refuge in Togiak, the Togiak Refuge.
9	You know, one thing we were talking about a
10	little while ago is this proposal. And since I work
11	as a contact person for the villages in my region, I
12	hear a lot of stories. And the stories that
13	that what Molly had just said is the way that we
14	do. But one thing that I knew is that in other
15	different regions, you see that Pete Abraham our
16	chief from Togiak, he's originally from Nelson
17	Island. So in the region when he was growing up, it
18	was different, you know, that was 70, 80 years ago.
19	And when they in their region, including my
20	grandmother, she was born in Oscarville, that's
21	where she's from.
22	And little while ago when Donna and I were
23	talking about how we harvest the birds. You know,
24	imagine this is a pond and long time ago, this is
25	when they used to have a hard time, imagine

1	yourself, all from from here all the way over
2	here, you guys are all old. Imagine yourself like
3	that. What they used to do is the pond is right
4	here, the younger people, my age kind of group, you
5	know, like 60s and 50s and 40s and 30s, herd from
6	here all the way to the side over here. There's a
7	big net over here, super big net right over here on
8	this side. And they herd all night long until they
9	get to that, over to this side completely, and they
10	got stuck into the net.

And then the people that take all these waterfowl kill all these birds, and then distribute all the waterfowl to the elderly people, people that have no -- women that have no husband, they stack those up equally, each and every one of them.

That's what they did.

The people my age that have wives and children and everything else that are well-to-do, they give themselves the very least. In some stories that old man is sitting and the waterfowl piles up to the point where he cannot be seen anymore. And these are the stories that came from Pete Abraham.

You know, at times when I -- because I work for the service, I'm always neutral. I don't take no sides, whichever might be. And that always has

1	been. And sometimes when we see these methods that
2	were once we used are being used now by the
3	biologists, the USGS cannot capture birds when
4	they're going to be putting transmitters on the
5	waterfowl, they use nets like we did. You know,
6	they raise it up or they put a buoy on each of
7	the each of the on each of the on each of
8	the net. The buoys over here with the net going up
9	like that. They're the same kind of methods that we
10	used, you know, that if you leave the net overnight
11	you capture all kind of marine birds. You know,
12	only the marine birds, everything that the Eskimo
13	take, shore birds including. And what we do is when
14	we leave that up like that, it captures almost just
15	about everything. And that's what our people did.
16	These are the methods that they had.
17	And then the new times came, you know, that the
18	motorized skiff. And to talk about my grandmother,
19	she moved from Oscarville to Osviak, you know, Cape
20	Newenham area, Cape Peirce Area. She moved there.
21	And then the method that they take are different in
22	my region now, that I call my region, which is
23	Togiak.

And when you look at -- imagine this is nothing

but Emperor Geese, nothing but in the ocean. It's

24

2.4

like this, nothing but -- nothing but Emperor Geese that are sitting here. When -- when you run from my village all the way down straight, you go a hundred miles or so, I'm talking about my cousin Frankie, when he ram into the -- to the Emperor Geese, the Emperor Geese takes off like this. Like this, take off like this, like that, all the way through like that. It doesn't fly up. It takes off like that and keep on doing that. And all of a sudden you're right in the middle of the birds.

One of the methods that Frank had used, is shotgun including -- have you ever seen a dip net before? You guys have seen the dip net, right?

They use dip net and hold it like this and you ram into the birds like that. And you keep on holding the dip net until it becomes full. And flop it back. And you take another one and pick it up and hold it like that again until it become full again.

And you keep on doing that. And that is when the Emperor Geese are migrating to Y-K Delta.

My grandmother, when she was alive, she used to tell us, no, you're not going to do that. We waited for her to pass away, we waited to the point where she'll die, the method that we were doing. But her grandmother -- I mean, her younger sister was still

alive living in Bethel. This is the time when our cousin used to come over and say, well, my sister wants, you know, my mother - this is when two cousins were talking to reach other - my mother wants, you know, Emperor Geese before others do, before other -- other people in Y-K Delta get birds, we -- she wants one. So that's what we did.

This is long before I started working for the service. That was almost 23 years ago. And the people that were now 23 years old, there were people that were almost my age, 50, 60 years old, they're gone now. And when we pursued the waterfowl, they'd take off like that. And we use the dip net and also the shotgun. You shoot everywhere. And then we did that after she passed away, because she used to tell us that you cannot pursue the waterfowl. You take it in the stationary -- stationary -- you know, imagine this is a boat. You ran up like that and then stopped and you turn around. And then you -- you hunt the waterfowl.

But at times when you are too excited and also you keep on going, especially in the Togiak Bay, you can see that it's wide open area. What happens, that the skiff when it's coming through here and the birds start taking off like that, you're right in

the middle of it. These are the methods that the villagers did at -- at one point.

2.4

And then when we become same age as our grandmothers were, our attitude changed. We, just like my grandmother used to say, well, Cape Peirce and Cape Newenham, you don't commercially fish there. And then my grandmother was still alive, we didn't do that. We never commercial fished in that area. And then when she passed away, my cousin, Frank, went over there and commercial fished over in that Cape Peirce, Cape Newenham area.

And then overnight he got 200 sea birds, Murres, and then he came home and said: Well, you know, my grandmother was right, our grandmother was right.

You know, we cannot do that. At that point he wanted to develop a marine sanctuary in Cape

Newenham, Cape Peirce area for that reason, just so that the others — other people in different areas will have the opportunity to hunt waterfowl and also marine birds. And because of that attitude that he had, he was voted out, almost same day, same day that he make that suggestion, he's make a sanctuary in my area, in fact, he was kicked out because he had the tendency to be overly protective of the resource that he takes. He always say I've

2.4

experienced it, you know, I don't want people to do
that like I did, to capture the marine birds like we
did before. I don't want that to happen. Because
I'm taking other people's opportunity to hunt in the
different region.

And like when my grandmother was alive, because she's from Y-K Delta, she used to tell us that:

Well, one of the things that you have to remember all the time, to think about others in the different areas for that region, limitation of our activities, including marine mammals. We were very capable of taking all of everything we need, of bowhead, the walrus. Because Togiak is a place where the walrus live right there and would stay there in the summertime.

And all these things that our grandparents would tell us that: Hey, don't take them. Other people in the northern counterparts are the one that dwell on them. So what we did was we limit ourselves from doing that.

So talking about the use that we had before, you can take it either I'm supporting it or I'm not supporting it. I'm just telling you a story that, the methods that had existed before until the motorized vehicle came around. But in time of need,

1	when people need to having a hardship, you know,
2	that, it happened this winter. You probably heard
3	about that little town called Emmonak this winter.
4	Long time ago that used to happen in same area where
5	Molly was talking about, which is Alakanuk, Kipnuk
6	area, on that side used to face starvation.

In fact, the waterfowl is the one that normally saved people those days, because it's not like Interior. It's not like upper regions where -- where -- it gets harder and harder from Bristol Bay on up toward, it gets harder and harder. It was harder those days. Other regions from Cape Newenham on up used to face super hardships. Because I go up with my grandparents, I know all these stories. I heard them and I've seen them.

Like what Molly had said, I think it was yesterday when we -- they were talking about there wasn't no stores in those day. The area, complete regional area is a store. So people just take certain species of birds, certain species of land animals and certain species of marine mammals, this is what they did.

And at times when you encounter people then, other people came. The people that stayed behind are the ones that disappeared into our culture.

1	That's why sometimes when you when you see
2	people, Eskimo people, sometimes you see them as a
3	Native and they look like I am. But next
4	generation, sometimes when they intermarry all of a
5	sudden it's a blonde and blue-eyed kid came out. No
6	different from I think my grand my grandchild
7	is fairer than you are. He's blonde and blue-eyed.

And here it is, I'm 59 years old and I'm talking for my grandkid. I sure like to see that my grandchild had the opportunity to hunt later on just so that, you know, that I sure like to see that he have the same principle I might have, same attitude, thinking about others in different regions.

See what happened, when Frank chased those birds, when they start folding going like that in the open sea, hundred miles out of Cape Newenham, Cape Peirce, he harassed and stressed those birds out. They become really stressed out. And his cousin, our cousin, the one, my grandmother's sister that lived in Bethel area, requested: I want marine birds before others did. She was dying then, but when that happens, you know, we did that only one time, you know, that -- like when we talk about our own counterpart, like our cousin, our relatives, sometimes we like to voice for them, just because I

1	work fo	r the	refuge	, I hav	re to d	isperse	information
2	to voii	auvs s	O VO11 (	auvs wi	ll have	e it in	record.

I'm not supporting either way. I'm just telling you what I have seen and what I have heard and what I have seen. And these are the experiences I had with the marine birds. And to take it lightly, I think if this passes, you know, that in a lot of ways, it might tumble down to a younger generation that may not have a same kind of insight I had. And if it passes, others — other village members, like my village will say, well, if they could do it, we should do it, too. And they're going to stress the marine birds all the way through. And I think that's what's going to happen.

And people who make these proposals will have tougher time later on. They're going face a shortage, they're going to have — the population will not be there anymore, including the North Slope and all other regions will have a tougher time.

Because when I talk about marine birds, I'm talking about all the marine birds that migrate all the way to the area.

So the people that might support the reason behind this, you know, it's going to -- they're making this proposal, probably not knowing that --

2.4

1	what repercussions they're going to have. That's
2	one of the things that you will have to always
3	remember, is think about others, especially from my
4	region.

If this should happen, it should only pertain to certain areas, not to other areas. Because if it should happen, you know, that, as you get older, you -- usually stops. You don't hunt as much as you did before. But the younger generation, if you want it, you keep on telling them, I want a certain species, like the story that I was talking about, my aunt, my mother's aunt, the one that requested: I want a sea bird before others did.

And that's why that Frank had, Frank, my cousin had to pursue birds like that, drive right into the middle of the birds and then start killing and taking as much as he could. And he, himself, after he got all those marine birds, it didn't even last him one day, because he gave it all away, because that's the method that we -- we did. It was a method that took care of the elderly people and women that have no husbands. That's what they did those things for.

And I think it should be allowed at certain point, at certain times when there's going to be a

1	hardship, because if a person of my $$ a person that
2	is not from that come from not come from my
3	own race will face hardship.

I know that when -- when some couples, because you have seen a lot of women that moved over here to Anchorage, they come home with husband. There's hardly any Eskimos here. But when they do come home, they try to the life we did, like we have, always have lived. And they face hardships. And to prevent people from becoming thieves, we, able men in my village, used to pursue waterfowl like that. Especially for a younger -- younger generation, they may not have ability to hunt themselves, and also they do that, they did that to women that have no husbands with lots of kids, you know, it happens in the villages like that.

And Frank pursuing waterfowl like that, he didn't really want to do that, did not want to hunt waterfowl like that. But he have no choice, he does not have no -- doesn't have a choice. He -- he's an able man. He's almost my age.

MR. ALCORN: Thank you, Jon. I'm going to need to have you wrap up, if you could.

MR. DYASUK: That's what I'd like to transmit the information to you guys, so you guys could

Τ	decide what heeds to be decided.
2	MR. ALCORN: All right. Thank you for your
3	comments.
4	I have one more comment from the audience, one
5	hand here. Frank?
6	MR. WOODS: Keep it real short. Frank Woods.
7	Keep it real short.
8	Method and means have changed, our culture has
9	changed. Like you said, some of the problem might
10	be with young, inexperienced hunters. About 10
11	years ago, maybe more than that now, 15 years ago, I
12	knew we were catapulted in the 21st century when I'm
13	driving up a skiff, like Molly said, driving up the
14	river. And there's a bunch of ducks right next, on
15	the next slough right up, so I pull up alongside,
16	start shooting like heck. And I'm wondering, what
17	the heck? They're still sitting there. Then two
18	guys stood up, started screaming, waving their arms.
19	They were decoys sitting in the middle of the river.
20	So, to our embarrassment, we got scolded pretty
21	hard for
22	AUDIENCE MEMBER: How did they taste?
23	(Laughter)
24	MR. WOODS: Anyhow, I like the draft language.
25	And our recommendation for subsistence is pursue.

1	Because an analogy, if I'm seal hunting and I'm
2	driving a skiff and I see a seal pop up on the
3	shoot, I'm going to pursue that seal until I get it
4	And that same thing's happening with subsistence.
5	know the means and method have changed and our legal
6	definitions have got to be defined a little more.
7	But, you know, pursue is and chase and harass is,
8	like enforcement says, a real fine line. And you
9	almost have to have a video camera to decipher that
10	But as a traditionalist like Jonny, I'll make it
11	real short, we have a we don't waste, at least
12	in I've been taught. You know, and that's up to
13	our generation to keep that tradition going.
14	With that, I'll shut up. But you guys got a
15	tough job ahead of you.
16	MR. ALCORN: Thanks, Frank.
17	Any other comments from the audience?
18	Did you have a question to Frank or to Jon?
19	MR. MAYO: No.
20	MR. ALCORN: We're going to have council
21	discussion as soon as I close the opportunity from
22	the audience. I don't see any other hands.
23	So I will now engage in step 6 of this process,
24	which is council discussion, before I call for the
25	question. And you had your hand raised, Randy, then

1	Sky had his hand raised.
2	MR. MAYO: I just wanted to comment on the
3	discussion going on around here. That, you know, if
4	we can some of the on the scientific
5	biological side and on the, you know, traditional,
6	you know, hunter viewpoint, and that's a side I come
7	from. I'm a traditional person. And, you know, I'm
8	not a scientist, you know. I don't learn by, you
9	know, going back on numbers and figures on paper.
10	But the point I want to make is that, you know,
11	that that the inherent cultural and spiritual
12	practice, as far as where I'm from, and we
13	personally haven't changed, the means and methods
14	over the years have changed but, you know,
15	traditionally we're conservationists. We don't take
16	more than we need in our area. We're not
17	sportsmens. You know, what we take is in a
18	culturally, spiritually relevant manner. But the
19	methods and means have changed throughout that time.
20	But as far as I'm concerned, you know, the main core
21	traditional value for us, where I'm from, hasn't
22	changed, you know.
23	The other part that I want to make, too, is
24	that, you know, there's seems to me like there's
25	a big focus on what scientists call subsistence

1	take, you know. But what about all the other
2	factors out there in the world? Is this such a
3	concentrated focus on some of those areas, too, you
4	know, that whether it's industry, pollution or
5	loss of habitat where they over-winter, you know,
6	that, you know, there's one set of rules and for
7	one side and for the people out here getting
8	something to eat seems like there's a big focus on
9	our activity, you know. So I just wanted to point
10	that out, too.
11	But speaking to this proposal that, also the
12	other discussion around the table here, that I see
13	what this proposal is getting at. And we engage in
14	the same thing where I'm from, too. It's been
15	ongoing. So those are just a couple of comments I
16	wanted to make, from what I've been gathering here.
17	MR. ALCORN: Thank you.
18	Sky, you had your hand raised?
19	MR. STARKEY: I want to call just for a brief
20	five-minute recess before we go to deliberations,
21	not to caucus, but to get a sense of things.
22	MR. ALCORN: We can do that after discussion.
23	I'd like to conclude discussion.
24	MR. STARKEY: What do you mean by discussion?

MR. ALCORN: Well, discussion before I ask if

1	we re ready for the question.
2	MR. STARKEY: I'm not talking about the
3	question. I want to see if I can save some time.
4	MR. SQUARTSOFF: I follow him on that, too,
5	Mr. Chair. I think we should caucus so all know
6	what we're
7	MR. ALCORN: So are we do you want to caucus
8	now or do you want to continue discussion?
9	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: Are we asking for a
10	caucus?
11	MR. STARKEY: No, I'm asking for just a
12	five-minute break. I'm talking five minutes.
13	MR. ALCORN: Okay. We'll recess for five
14	minutes. We'll be back at 11:20. Promptly.
15	(Thereupon, a brief recess was taken, after
16	which the following proceedings were had at
17	11:23 a.m.:)
18	MR. ALCORN: Let's have everyone take their
19	seats again. Thank you for being prompt. We will
20	continue discussion of item 11 B, Proposal Number 1
21	Anyone have any comments?
22	MR. STARKEY: With the permission of the Chair,
23	I'd like to move and ask unanimous consent that we
24	defer this proposal in order to work out some of the
2.5	issues that have been raised until the next

Τ	regulatory cycle.
2	MR. ALCORN: Do I have a second?
3	MR. SQUARTSOFF: I second it.
4	MR. ALCORN: I have a first and a second. I'll
5	take discussion of the proposal, not the proposal to
6	do the reg, but the proposal to table until the next
7	regulatory session.
8	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Defer.
9	MR. ALCORN: Defer.
10	Any discussion?
11	Sandy?
12	MS. TAHBONE: Yeah. Within that, can we kind of
13	outline the information that's going to require us
14	to be able to make a make the decision? Have a
15	little bit of discussion on that?
16	MR. ALCORN: I think that's a fair question.
17	Would anyone like to offer what kind of
18	additional information discussion would be needed
19	during the course of the next year?
20	Sky?
21	MR. STARKEY: I'm comfortable that I understand
22	the issues. I'm comfortable that I understand what
23	I need to work with. And I will definitely be
24	encouraging AVCP and, as well as myself to be
25	keeping the Native caucus apprised of all the

discussions and apprised and get there -- it's not

2	just an AVCP issue, obviously.
3	So, you know, this will have to be something
4	that we'll further discuss. I'm hopeful that what
5	we'll maybe do is be able to put this on the work
6	session agenda for December and then be able to talk
7	about it, further flesh it out, see what other
8	questions there are, and then have it ready and
9	further refined for the April meeting.
10	MR. ALCORN: This is for the work session that
11	we approved yesterday?
12	MR. STARKEY: No. As an agenda item for
13	December, but not as a take-action item.
14	MR. ALCORN: Got it. Got it.
15	MS. TAHBONE: I would like some within the
16	analysis provided to us, a percentage or level of,
17	if there's a species of concern, what is an
18	acceptable harvest level, what are current with the
19	best harvest information that we have with those
20	species that they're targeting or harvesting with
21	the methods and means that they're proposing, if we
22	have that information available to us so we can
23	include that within our deliberations.
24	MR. ALCORN: I'm not sure if that exists. I can

defer to Eric, Russ or Dan, see if that information

1	can be generated.
2	Russ, would you come to the table and identify
3	yourself.
4	MR. OATES: Russ Oates, Fish & Wildlife Service.
5	I would just say that that is no small task I $$
6	I can't guarantee. It involves modeling. It
7	involves using the best estimates that we have.
8	We've just been through a similar process in working
9	with Steller's Eider with regard to the situation
10	for the hunt on the North Slope.
11	And it for the one species, it consumed tens,
12	if not hundreds of staff hours to try to get this
13	analysis going. And, you know, there's some all
14	I'm saying is we'll do the best we can. There's
15	probably some species that we can get better
16	information than what we have now, but it's not a
17	small task.
18	And, you know, I guess I'll have to ask my
19	supervisor about what our highest priorities are at
20	the time. We'll do what we can. I'm speaking for a
21	fair number of people that have a lot on their plate
22	already. So just be advised, it's not a small task.
23	MR. ALCORN: Thanks.
24	Bob?

MR. TROST: One quick caveat, too.

1	MR. ALCORN: Identify yourself.
2	MR. TROST: Bob Trost, Fish & Wildlife Service,
3	Pacific Flyway representative.
4	Just a quick caveat, I think, to the question
5	that Sandra asked to begin with. That is it would
6	also be appropriate to define the scope of this,
7	particularly if you get into impacts, whether this
8	applies to a single region or if it would be
9	statewide. Because in the course of this discussion
10	I've heard a great many other regions say, well, we
11	do something similar. So it's important for this
12	group to decide what the geographic scope of this is
13	going to be, in order to make those kind of
14	assessments.
15	MR. ALCORN: I'm not quite sure, then, how we
16	would respond to that suggestion. I think the
17	question that Sandy is asking is, an analysis of
18	potential impacts; isn't that right Sandy?
19	MS. TAHBONE: Yes.
20	MR. TROST: Yes, and you had to define the
21	potential impact area.
22	MR. ALCORN: So do you want to define your do
23	you want to refine your request?
24	MS. TAHBONE: Like, when we're going to
25	deliberate on whether or not, and the two staff

analysts from both ADFG and Fish & Wildlife, they
had concerns, but they weren't able to provide us,

it was like "maybe's" or "could be's," so if we had
some, you know, more. Like you're taking 10 percent
now, and if it increases up by 15, 20 percent, you
know, just so we can have some, like, just a level
of --

MR. ALCORN: As much information as we can conceivably put together, given the tasks that Russ has identified. Whatever seems reasonable, we will try to provide.

MS. TAHBONE: We know that it's occurring. I mean, they're using these methods and means, and they're being cited for using these methods and means. So we know to some extent that it's occurring. But I'm not, you know, sure if it comes on line that it's going to require in-season management to -- or whatever -- however they're going to propose to monitor the harvest of this take or utilizing these methods and means. Because, you know, within other management tools that are used with other species, you know, not birds but other ones, they ask your types, you know. I mean, you know, if it's somewhere down the line, you know, if it's an issue, you know, if we're going to ask, was

1	this bird taken by this method, so if you know,
2	so there's all kinds of different ways we can gather
3	information. Anyways, I'm rambling.

4 MR. ALCORN: All right.

2.4

Eric, did I want to respond to that?

MR. TAYLOR: Eric Taylor, Fish & Wildlife

Service, Migratory Bird Management.

I just want to follow up on comments from

Sandra, Bob Trost and Russ Oates. If this proposal
is going to go and consider other regions, it would
be crucial to have a list of species that would be
taken by this method. As Russ mentioned, he didn't
mention my name specifically, but he looked at me,
because I will be doing the work.

It's no small task to assess and provide the types of estimates that Sandra is requesting, which I think are reasonable, but that takes a significant amount of work in terms of looking at subsistence harvest, looking at fall harvest, looking at populations and looking at geographic-specific populations within specific geographic areas.

Another point I would like to make in terms of method, we've heard, what I've heard anyway is a wide range of methods of take where the person from the Interior, Mr. Mayo, said he approaches the flock

1	slowly. That, to me, is acceptable in the current
2	regulations, as they are written now. Versus,
3	another method may be out in the open ocean where
4	birds are pursued, they land, they are pursued
5	again. Or that example that Stan gave where a group
6	of birds are on one side of the river where the deep
7	channel is, the birds move over to the shallow
8	channel and the person then turns their boat and
9	follows after them.
10	So we would like an idea of the description of
11	the methods, in terms of what it actually will be
12	used, to help us assess what would be the essential
13	take of that, using that sort of method.
14	MR. ALCORN: Thanks. I think I heard Sky say
15	you're willing to work to provide some of that
16	information?
17	MR. STARKEY: I think we have a couple of
18	choices. I think we can either try to identify
19	everything that needs to happen right here in this
20	discussion or we can
21	MR. ALCORN: We don't have to do that, Sky.
22	MR. STARKEY: To me it doesn't seem, aside from
23	Sandra's suggestion, which I fully understand and
24	support, that we get a better feel for the concerns
25	that we seem to hear repeatedly in terms of what the

impact of any particular subsistence practice, is i	t
going to be on migratory waterfowl. And now what	
really concerns me, frankly, about hearing the	
report is that we are all of a sudden being told	
about continent-wide conservation issues in a very	
broad sense without understanding anything in the	
report about what that has to do with our impact on	
any particular aspect of the continental-wide	
harvest goal.	

So to me that's not -- it's not nearly enough information, if you're going to talk about continental-wide goals and, you know, we need to understand what -- our part. It's confusing to me to hear, you know, talk about a continent-wide goal and averaging versus an Alaska goal, Alaska averaging that's higher, and yet we're still having concerns. So I fully support what Sandra said, but I also believe that we can probably, you know, identify what we need to talk about and figure it out and do that.

MR. ALCORN: I agree. We don't need to resolve that here. But we do -- we do need to understand the question. And I think that we're getting a better feel for that and we would continue to do that after we've taken action on this.

1	MR. SQUARISOFF. MI. CHAIL, I d like to call for
2	a question on this point.
3	MR. ALCORN: All right. I had one hand raised
4	before you made that suggestion.
5	Dale, you raised your hand and Joeneal. They've
6	not had their discussion.
7	MR. RABE: Just briefly, my concern, I think,
8	was targeted when Stan was up here, was that I'm
9	having a difficult time interpreting what how the
10	language relates to to implementation in the
11	field in terms of changes and practices, whether
12	we're talking about something substantially
13	different than what is currently allowed or whether
14	or not these are relatively minor changes. And it's
15	a fine line between what's currently being enforced
16	and some other traditional ways of doing it that may
17	or may not have any significant impacts on harvest.
18	That, to me, is the first level of the
19	clarification that's needed before I think staff
20	could even do a reasonable assessment. And I would
21	admit that any assessment is going to likely be very
22	difficult to try and figure out the population
23	effects. But there were a lot techniques discussed.
24	And through all of that, it wasn't clear to me, and
25	still is not clear, what the language is really

1	trying to do.
2	In some sense, it could be interpreted as
3	basically just opening it up and say, in a very
4	liberal sense, anything in a boat is fair now, you
5	know, there are no issues of harassment or other
6	things like that. And and I think those things
7	need to be clarified in terms of what the proposer
8	intended the modification to establish this change.
9	MR. ALCORN: Thank you.
10	Joeneal, you had your hand up.
11	MR. HICKS: Yes. I just wanted to say that,
12	well, absent the originator of the proposal to
13	specifically ask questions and stuff like that,
14	there's still some remaining issues that I would
15	like to question him about. It seems to me like,
16	stuck between a rock and a hard place here as to
17	making a good decision here. So in that regards,
18	you know, I'm kind of reluctant to say yes and also
19	say no. I mean
20	MR. ALCORN: Well, we have the motion is to
21	defer, which would maybe help resolve
22	MR. HICKS: Yes. Okay. I'm getting to that.
23	MR. ALCORN: I'm sorry.
24	MR. HICKS: It's just that I just don't feel
25	comfortable with the particular proposal at this

I p	artıcul	ar poin	t.

2.4

In regards to, say, fair chase, if that's what we're talking about, I'm not also an advocate for that either. I don't necessarily see chasing ducks or herding ducks as, you know, in my opinion as something that is a way of subsistence activity.

Although I do know that it occurs, but I'm not a hunter in the particular area where the area proposal is for, Prince William Sound. So I have no idea just how it is that they operate.

But I do know that it occurs up in the Delta area Wood-Tikchik Lake area. I do know that it happens up there. But, again, I'm not very familiar with that particular process, so I can't really speak to that effect.

Now, like I said, I don't live in the area, so again, I'm -- I'm just guessing, I guess, you could say at this point, whether I should say yes or no.

The other thing, too, if it were to go statewide or even be adopted, I mean, there hasn't even been any discussion as to -- well, let's say if it was adopted, I mean, it would grow bigger than it already is today. And there's no -- I haven't heard any discussion as to the dangers or the side effects to it. In other words, there's people running

1	around with shotguns and boat going 30 miles an
2	hour, whatever, like that, if that's the case, I
3	mean what about somebody shooting each other's head
4	off? That could happen. I mean, you're chasing a
5	duck and you're shooting like this, you could shoot
6	the driver's head off with the shotgun without even
7	realizing it. I mean, there's no discussion to that
8	effect.
9	Like I said, I just don't feel comfortable with
10	it. So that's my comment.
11	MR. ALCORN: All right. Thank you.
12	Are we ready for the question on the motion to
13	defer action on this until the next regulatory
14	cycle? If no one opposes, I'm going to call for the
15	question.
16	I'm going to call for the question for the
17	motion to defer. Does anyone oppose deferring this
18	until the next regulatory cycle? I see no one
19	opposing it, so we'll consider this deferred until
20	the next regulatory cycle.
21	Before we recess for lunch, we do have an
22	individual from Yakutat who has asked to address the
23	board. He is here to speak about the proposal that
24	we approved last year, that is we approved the
25	year before that was put in practice last year, the

egging on the Aleutian and the Arctic Tern colony, 1
believe. Would you like to come to the table and
identify yourself and introduce yourself. MR.
ADAMS: All right. Thank you for taking the time.
My name is Bert Adams, Junior. I'm the general
manager of the Yakutat Tlingit Tribe. I've been
with the tribe for 11 years, and 6 years as the
general manager. I was born and raised in Yakutat
and I'm a Luknaxadi from the Dry Bay area.

I was asked to come in and talk to you guys about last year's, I believe it was last year's proposal, which our wildlife biologist submitted for harvesting Aleutian Arctic Tern eggs. And the way I understand them, from reading the minutes, it's the black sands specifically, not outside of that. And if I'm wrong, hopefully someone here can clarify that.

But to make it short, the tribe doesn't have an interest in continuing this proposal. And reading the minutes, it was approved by this council for a year-to-year, and this year was supposed to relook at it. And, from what I understand, the harvest survey is not supposed to start until this spring.

The reason we don't want to continue it is our wildlife biologist who put in the proposal who was

1	going to do the harvest surveys resigned about two
2	weeks after you guys met last year. And our the
3	tribe's plan was she would be doing the survey, we
4	would pay for the survey through our dollars and
5	salaries that pay her. And since we no longer have
6	a wildlife biologist on staff, we can't continue
7	with our obligation or in the agreement to do the
8	survey.

Before coming here, because I know very little about what it entails, I did talk to our elders.

I've talked to commercial fisherman who fish around that area. And I've talked to the district ranger of the Forest Service, and they do population surveys for that area. I frankly don't think there is really much of a harvest or an interest of a harvest, in talking to our elders.

The biggest reason, from what I understand is -is they're -- a lot of elders who like to harvest
the eggs have passed on. And we don't really have a
lot of elders in our community in the first place.
And the younger generation, they don't really have,
I don't know, the liking for this.

If I am wrong, then I will hear about it from our community if they wanted this to continue. But really in talking to the Forest Service and the

1	elders, it doesn't sound like there is much of a
2	harvest in the first place. And I think this was
3	not legal until you guys passed it last year. Is
4	that right?
5	MR. ALCORN: We passed it two years ago, if I
6	recall, because last year I think the egging
7	occurred, if I'm not mistaken.
8	MS. TAHBONE: No, it's this year.
9	MR. ALCORN: Oh, then you're right. I am off by
10	a year.
11	MR. ADAMS: So this year, '09, this spring we
12	would be, according to the agreement, we would be
13	doing the harvest surveys and then reporting back to
14	you guys.
15	MR. ALCORN: So you are not anticipating any
16	egging activity, then?
17	MR. ADAMS: I'm not anticipating any at all. In
18	fact, this was not legal up until you guys passed
19	it. And our biologist resigned two weeks after you
20	guys passed it. So even the word out to our
21	community that this is even a legal activity, I
22	don't even think that word even got out. If it
23	would have got out, it would have came in our tribal
24	newsletter. And I looked back, a year back to this
25	date and we had no no information giving it out

1 to our tribal members.

25

2	MR. ALCORN: Okay.
3	At this point I think that we're going to
4	it's not on the agenda to take this action item up.
5	It was not submitted as a proposal. I think we're
6	going to have to suspend the ruling today to
7	consider this. And I would need a motion in order
8	to do that.
9	Okay. Fred has pointed out that it is in the
10	consent, but there are other regulations that are
11	covered in the consent agenda for Southeast and we
12	don't have a Southeast tribal or Southeast
13	regional representative here, that's the problem.
14	So I'm going to ask if anyone is willing to move
15	that we suspend the rule today so we can just have a
16	discussion.
17	MS. TAHBONE: So move.
18	MR. STARKEY: Second.
19	MR. ALCORN: Okay. We have a motion and a
20	second to suspend the rule today.
21	Anyone want to speak to that motion? All right
22	Hearing none, I'm going to ask anyone oppose the
23	motion? No opposition.
24	Let's engage in a discussion. And maybe, if you

have questions -- I forgot your name, I'm sorry.

```
1
               MR. ADAMS: Bert Adams.
 2
               MR. ALCORN: Okay. Bert, thank you.
 3
                Any questions of Bert on this particular
 4
           proposal? Frankly, I'm going to admit that I'm not
 5
             -- I'm a little stumped on how we might go about
            this procedurally.
 7
                MR. STARKEY: Move to amend the regulations to
            delete the regulations as requested by the original
 8
 9
           maker of the proposal.
                MS. TAHBONE: Is that a motion? We're in a
10
           motion right now.
11
12
                MR. STARKEY: The motion's been adopted.
13
                MR. ALCORN: So now we have another motion.
                MR. STARKEY: I just move -- I mean, to me it
14
           makes sense. I don't see why we can't to it.
15
               MR. ALCORN: Do we have a second?
16
               MR. STARKEY: I'm moving to pull it from the
17
            consent agenda -- first of all, I'll just move to
18
19
           pull it from the consent agenda for discussion.
20
                MR. ALCORN: Okay. I think that's the
21
            appropriate way to go about that. I do see Donna
           raising her hand.
22
23
                MS. DEWHURST: I guess, because I'm the one that
24
           writes the regulations, do you want to actually
25
            remove it from this year, so that it never starts in
```

1	the first place, or do you want it to happen this
2	year and remove it from next year?
3	MR. ADAMS: We're I want it to be removed so
4	it doesn't start. Because according to the
5	agreement we are supposed there's supposed to be
6	a harvest this spring and the tribe is suppose to
7	survey the harvest with our staff. We don't have
8	the staff to survey the harvest for this spring. So
9	my suggestion is that it be removed, so we don't
10	have it.
11	MS. DEWHURST: It can be done
12	MR. ALCORN: Donna, can you state your name for
13	her?
14	MS. DEWHURST: Donna Dewhurst, Fish & Wildlife
15	Service, D-E-W-H-U-R-S-T.
16	It can be done. It would be a rush, but your
17	timing is about as tight as you're getting it in
18	right under the wire. We could change the final
19	rule, because it hasn't gone very far. And we'll
20	just say that the tribe requested pulling it.
21	MR. ALCORN: Let me make something clear. The
22	final rule for the 2009 season is already in surname
23	mode, we're not going to pull it back. So if you're
24	telling us that your, harvesters are not going to
2.5	harvest, then that's between you and your

Τ	narvesters. It's a legal egging opportunity.
2	There was a commitment from the tribe, I
3	believe, to work with the Forest Service, possibly
4	the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service to do monitoring.
5	And we understand that that's probably not now going
6	to occur.
7	MR. ADAMS: You're talking about monitoring
8	MR. ALCORN: Monitoring the harvest.
9	MR. ADAMS: Yeah.
10	MR. ALCORN: Or developing the methodology and
11	then monitoring. But if there's no harvest, there's
12	obviously no need to monitor.
13	What we're considering here today is, and your
14	request is to pull the proposal for the 2010 season,
15	because that's what we're taking action for to make
16	a recommendation.
17	And we have a motion on the table, or on the
18	floor for consideration to to retract or pull
19	back
20	MR. STARKEY: The motion's only to pull out of
21	the consent agenda so we can discuss action.
22	MR. ALCORN: Pull it back. We'll take that up
23	when we get to item 11(e) after lunch. That's the
24	motion. And I guess I would ask if everybody's
25	ready for the to call the question

MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: It hasn't been

```
2
           seconded.
 3
               MR. ALCORN: I thought we had a second. I'm
 4
            sorry.
 5
               MR. DEVINE: I'll second.
 6
               MR. ALCORN: Okay. Now we have a second.
 7
               All right. Discussion. Sorry, you got me
 8
           confused.
 9
               All right. Are we ready for the question to
           pull this when we get to 11(e)?
10
               MR. DEVINE: I just have one question. Where
11
12
           did the original proposal come from, you know, for
13
           us to act on it? I mean, was this just something
           that we included ourself?
14
               MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: No, Fish & Wildlife.
15
               MR. ALCORN: I think Bert probably can answer.
16
17
               MR. ADAMS: I think, the way we understand it is
           our wildlife biologist submitted the proposal to do
18
           the harvest and that the tribe would monitor the
19
20
           harvest.
21
               MR. HICKS: That was several years ago, wasn't
           it?
22
23
               MS. TAHBONE: I think it was last spring.
               MR. ALCORN: Do you have an answer to that
24
25
            question? Come to the table and identify yourself.
```

1	MR. OATES: Possibly. Is it an appropriate time
2	for me to provide a comment?
3	MR. ALCORN: If you can address the specific
4	question how the proposal came.
5	MR. OATES: The proposal was submitted by the
6	wildlife biologist representing the tribe.
7	MR. ALCORN: Okay. So we have an answer to that
8	question.
9	Remember we have a motion and second to pull
10	this out of the consent agenda. Are we ready for
11	that question? Hearing no opposition, then I'm
12	going call the question, all in support or anyone
13	opposed to deferring this or pulling this out of the
14	consent agenda? I see no opposition. We'll take up
15	that particular item as a specific discussion when
16	we get to that point.
17	Anyone have anything else to say before we
18	recess for lunch?
19	Sandy?
20	MS. TAHBONE: I'd like to see the Chairman and
21	the Chairman of the SOP committee prior to departing
22	for lunch.
23	MR. ALCORN: You're welcome to.
24	All right. Let's stand in recess until one
25	o'clock this afternoon. Thank you.

1	(Thereupon, a brief function recess was
2	taken, after which the following proceeding
3	were had:)
4	MR. ALCORN: I'd like to ask you all to take
5	your seats. I know that Molly and Herman are going
6	to be a little bit late coming back. I'd like to
7	pick up where we left off with the discussion. The
8	rule of day is still suspended because we were
ç	talking about the proposal and the issue that Burt
10	brought to our attention about the Yakutat project.
11	And it's my determination that it's too late to
12	retract it from this year's regulation because we'r
13	already in surname of the final rule. Herman and I
14	talked and he felt very uncomfortable with the
15	council taking action without a Southeast
16	representative here at this meeting, which we don't
17	have. He was very concerned about that.
18	So I did look at the minutes from the meeting
19	when we did approve this a year ago, Wenona helped
20	me, she has those on her computer. And she found
21	that, in fact, we had approved, and Herman made the
22	motion, we had approved the proposal, caveated that
23	it would return to the council for rediscussion or
24	reconsideration and discussion annually until we
25	folt more comfortable with what the level of harves

1	would be.
2	So given that we don't have another proposal for
3	this coming season, it sunsets. It was a one-year
4	proposal. It's in this year's regulation. And so
5	the issue is moot. We don't have to take action.
6	If we take no action, it sunsets after this year.
7	So I'm suggesting that we just continue to move
8	on, we don't even need to take action. So I would
9	entertain a motion to unsuspend the rule of the day
10	and go back to the agenda as we have approved it.
11	MR. HICKS: So move.
12	MR. ALCORN: Oh, I'm sorry. I forgot Russ. He
13	came to me before. And since we are in suspended
14	we've suspended the rule, Russ has asked, he has a
15	commitment with his daughter, a family commitment
16	later on today. And we've gone later than he had
17	originally planned. And he has asked that he give
18	his presentation that he scheduled under other
19	business, 12(a). He has asked if he if we would
20	allow him to do that now before we take action on 11
21	and proposal number 2, 11(a) 11(b) excuse me.
22	Is there any objection to allowing him to do
23	that now that even though we have the rule
24	suspended?

Okay. Let's let him do that. Go through that,

2.4

1	Russ.	And	then	we	will	pick	up	our	discussion	from
2	that po	oint.	•							

MR. OATES: It will take about two or three minutes here to get set up. But this -- I forgot exactly how it's described in the agenda there, I think it's issues, something like that. But the -- those of you that have been in this group for more than a year are familiar with the status review that Tom Rothe and I do at -- have done over the years at all of these meetings that we lovingly refer to as the Tom and Russ show.

But as everybody knows, Tom is not here, nor will he be back at another one of these meetings in an official capacity, I don't think anyway. This is, in fact, probably the last one of these that I'm going to do as well. I'll probably be here in the future, but sitting off in a corner somewhere.

But at any rate, this is basically, we just like to try to provide an overview of the status and some of the species that we've had a lot of management action on and some of the species that we have some concerns about. And so I just want to provide that. And I think you'll see Eric doing this presentation next time.

As a matter of fact, this is a modified, a

1	partially modified version of a presentation that
2	was provided to the Kawerak Region just a couple of
3	weeks ago. So, Sandy, I won't be offended if you
4	take a nap while I do this.

5 MS. TAHBONE: In fact, I was going to go make 6 some phone calls.

MR. OATES: That's fine. I've shortened parts of it. Expanded other parts of it to make it applicable to other regions. But it was all 11th-hour kind of stuff, because Eric and I both have spent a lot of our office time lately working on the eider issue. And I just kind of pieced this together in odd moments. So it does not represent perfection, but Eric has begun revising the whole presentation. And there's some significant improvements that he has made that we're going to --you're going to see a little bit of evidence of, I hope. And then there's some of the old slides that Tom and I have shown before.

But at any rate, now that I've mumbled along,

I'll go ahead and fire this thing up and get

started. And I didn't even get all of my tables

inserted in the presentation, so I've got a handout

here for one of them, because I don't -- I couldn't

get it, first of all electronically and then, second

T OI GII, III CIIC DICECIICACIOI	1	of	all,	in	the	presentation
----------------------------------	---	----	------	----	-----	--------------

Anyway having mumbled along, this is our usual status of geese, sea ducks and loons that we provide. One of the new wrinkles is we've explained to you all that we get most of our data from aerial surveys. And so in an effort to help you recognize us when we're out there flying around, these are the four U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Migratory Bird Management survey aircraft that you may see while you're out in spring, summer, fall or winter, these four aircraft, and that we use to collect much of the data that you will see represented in the various charts and graphs that you're going to see here in this presentation. So anyway, those are the aircraft.

I'm just going to dive right in and start out talking about the species a little bit. Everyone is familiar with Emperor Geese and colors aren't showing real well here. But the wintering areas are in orange along the Alaska Peninsula, the Aleutian Islands, some around Kodiak.

The principal breeding area is the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, indicated by the star. Historically they bred on St. Lawrence Island and on the Seward Peninsula. We think maybe a small number

still breed there, but relatively insignificant.

2	And there are small pockets of them that breed on
3	the Chukotka Peninsula of Russia there.
4	The molting areas are principally well, the
5	successful breeders and some of the unsuccessful
6	breeders molt on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta.
7	Unsuccessful breeders and sub-adult, some of them
8	molt on St. Lawrence Island and the rest over in
9	Russia. And the staging areas are the light gray
10	that you can barely see along the north side of the
11	Alaska Peninsula, which is where we do the principal
12	spring survey as they gather up and migrate toward
13	the breeding areas in the spring.
14	The population status, yellow line, yellow line,
15	yellow bar with the black arrow pointing to is
16	population objective. Based on and we use the
17	spring survey that we do every year. And it's kind
18	of been bouncing around, but relatively stable and
19	level. We'd like to see the population increase and
20	we've done a lot of studies on the species,

When Sandy Tahbone was asking us, well, what kind of harvest levels can a population sustain?

primarily USGS, and they published some papers,

they've done some population modeling that we refer

21

22

23

24

25

to.

2.4

And we've had some modeling done on that. And they've looked at survival, monthly survival all across the year. And they found that two of the high months of mortality for these birds are in August and May, spring and fall.

And so one of the things that they did was they looked at mortality of birds during that time period. And they did some modeling based on the reported subsistence harvest. And we know there's properly several thousand, despite the closed season, there's properly several thousand Emperors harvested every year. We have the data from the harvest survey.

And we did some modeling and one of the things it showed was of the several thousand harvested.

One of the models showed that 700 fewer were harvested, that the actual -- the population could actually begin to grow again. And, if I remember the number correctly, if -- over a ten-year period the population projection would be a 14 percent increase. So if we could get better compliance with the closed season on Emperor Geese we believe we could begin to recover the population.

And our objective here in saying this is to have enough Emperor Geese so that we could actually open

the season again and it wouldn't have to be closed
anymore. That's our goal here. It's not to just
keep the season closed forever. We want to have
this population restored to historical levels and so
we can open the season and people can hunt them
without fear of being in violation.

So we're going to be trying to improve our outreach program on this and increase outreach in the areas where we haven't done as much in the past and help people understand what we're trying to accomplish.

The study that I described that showed this mortality has been published in a peer review journal. I've actually got about 7 or 8 copies here, if folks would like to see the study and read the science associated with -- with the results.

And I've got about 8 copies here, and I would say, feel free to -- council members, feel free to take a copy.

I'm going to switch to Black and Western High
Arctic Brant now. Conversation I had with Sandy a
week or so ago, she told me that, what we call
Western High Arctic Brant or Gray-belly Brant, folks
in the Kawerak area call them Brown Brant. So I was
very pleased to learn something new there the other

1	day.
2	And I was, I think it's interesting to note that
3	the local folks there were noticing the difference
4	between the two brants and recently the Pacific
5	Flyway has recognized the Brown Brant as a separate
6	population. And let me see yeah, I can do
7	this. The Brown Brant or Gray-belly or Western High
8	Arctic nest principally on Melville and Prince
9	Patrick Islands. And they nest in a separate area
10	from the Black Brant, which nest all across on these
11	islands here, the Canadian high arctic islands in
12	the Northwest territories, the North Slope. And the
13	principal breeding ground is on the Yukon-Kuskokwim
14	Delta. A few birds also nest on Wrangell Island and
15	the Russia mainland.
16	But these birds and maybe Sandy can tell me,
17	because I don't know, do they migrate at a different
18	time than the Black Brant or do they intermingle?
19	MS. TAHBONE: I don't know that.
20	MR. OATES: Okay. I'll bet somebody does back
21	home.
22	MS. TAHBONE: Yep.
23	MR. OATES: Anyway, all the birds stage up in
24	the Izembek Lagoon, which is a critically important

area for these birds to feed and fatten up in

preparation for their migration flight. Most of the
birds, the Black Brant spend the winter in the
lagoons and bays on the Baja Peninsula and the
Mexican mainland. And some of our people are lucky
enough to actually get to go down there and fly the
survey and count them in wintertime in January. I
have to sit in my office in Anchorage during that
time. But the Brown Brant principally spend the
winter in Puget Sound.

Some of the other black spend the winter in Humboldt Bay and Morro Bay and other bays, like Yaquina Bay in Oregon and also in San Juan -- what do you call that? San Juan Island area up here. But most of them, the Black Brant are down here and most of the gray bellies are there.

And population status, I think that's the next slide -- oh, yeah. Another thing that we do, and it's really important, is to count the number of nests in the primary nesting colonies, five primary nesting colonies on the Youkon-Kuskokwim Delta. And over time those colonies have been declining, which is a cause for concern.

And we've been, there's a couple of issues here.

One of them is the predators that, foxes and gulls

and such, that feed on the eggs in the colonies.

1 And the other is human take of eggs, adults and 2 disturbance in the colonies.

2.4

And we've worked closely with the AVCP region and they have been actively involved in managing and reducing the human disturbance, particularly in the Kokechik Bay colony. And so there have been some successes there. But we're not totally there yet, but we've made progress.

And we have been involved to some extent, too, with our cooperators with University of Nevada Reno, the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta and USGS in doing some fox control in the colonies during the breeding season, which has been shown to be very beneficial to hatch success.

And I think, for purposes of clarity, I'm just going to go to the next slide here, which is the result of the January winter survey. When the birds are congregated in their winter flocks in their wintering areas, they are much easier to get a winter count on. So we do aerial surveys in Alaska at Izembek Refuge where some over-winter, and in Mexico and the states of California, Washington and Oregon, in cooperation with the Fish & Wildlife Service do counts in the winter areas on the California, Washington and Oregon coast.

2.4

But this winter survey we have a population objective of 150,000 Black Brant, based on a running three-year average of a winter survey. And an interesting thing is the last several years the count has gone up and actually is approaching the objective. It's still -- the three-year average is still 15,000 or so below the objective.

And this is really unusual given the status of the colonies, which Dr. Jim Sedinger, who has worked on the black Brant on the Yukon Delta for over 30 years has basically determined that the population is getting older and older and older. And as their young are -- as their nests fail at a high rate, basically you get an older and older age structure.

And he believes that if we don't increase the nesting success on the Yukon Delta colonies that ultimately that -- that population is going to start going down again. And the colonies are actually going to maybe dissolve in a sense that the birds will scatter and nest all across the tundra, where nest success is lower.

So we are kind of concerned about the Black

Brant from that perspective, but we continue to work

with our partners to try to manage the colonies and
the predation.

2.4

The other -- Sandy was very interested in Brown Brant, which we didn't have this slide showing the population in the presentation we gave for Kawerak, so we wanted to put it in there today so we could show her that we did, indeed, have some information. It's a much smaller population. You're looking at maybe 12,000 birds or so, 10,000, 12,000 birds. And we count them principally in Washington where they spend the winter.

And normally there would be a lot of nervousness on the part of an agency with regard to having a harvest on these, but we keep a really close watch on that harvest in the state of Washington where all of them spend the winter. So Washington has been very good about minimizing the harvest and closing the season when necessary to protect those birds and ensure that they maintain a viable population.

So just to review quickly the issues, current population on the three-year average is about a 138,000. This is Black Brant again. The objective is a 150,000. We're working with partners to try to address the decline in the Yukon Delta nesting colonies. There is continuing concern about degradation, loss of wintering and migration habitat along the Pacific Coast.

1	And one of the things that's happened now is
2	it's now up to 40,000 birds in some years spend the
3	winter at Izembek Lagoon. If you go back about 20
4	years, there were zero or a few hundred birds
5	spending the winter there. There's a couple of
6	theories as to what's going on. One of them is that
7	this could be a result of climate change and the
8	warmer winters are not causing the birds to migrate.
9	And the other theory is another, it could be climate
10	change related, that the birds are not able to get
11	themselves in the fat enough condition that they can
12	actually make the migration.
13	So we don't know which of those hypotheses or
14	what other hypotheses might be relevant to this
15	question. But the continuing increase in number of
16	birds that don't migrate and over-winter at Izembek
17	has definitely got us scratching our heads.
18	Next species I want to talk about is Cackling
19	Geese.
20	MR. BACON: Russ, can I ask a question?
21	MR. OATES: Yes.
22	MR. BACON: Joshua Bacon, North Slope Borough.
23	It looks like you said a couple of times that
24	the colonies on the Y-K Delta are declining.
25	MR. OATES: Yes.

MR. BACON: But the population is going up.

```
MR. OATES: At this point, that's correct.
 2
 3
                MR. BACON: Was there any guesses as to why that
 4
            is?
 5
                MR. OATES: Well, there have been some guesses.
 6
            Let me see if I can remember the popular theories.
 7
            One of the theories is that possibly the winter
            distribution has changed somewhat and there may have
 8
            been some birds wintering somewhere that were not
 9
10
           being counted. That's a possibility.
                But as I was saying, the -- well, actually I
11
12
            didn't say, but one of the other factors is that
13
            given there's still some low level of recruitment,
            the fact that the harvest has been minimal in the
14
            wintering areas -- well, everywhere, the high
15
            survival rate is that -- well, the banding results
16
            show that these brant have among the highest
17
18
            survival rates ever recorded for geese. So they
            have a real high survival rate, and basically if the
19
20
            colonies continue to be nonproductive, we're going
21
            find out basically how old a brant can be and still
22
            reproduce, I mean, as the age structure gets elder
23
            and older.
                So -- but. I'll ask, any other -- does the
2.4
25
            Flyway have anything to contribute to the --
```

1	MR. TROST: No, those are the most popular
2	explanations.
3	MR. OATES: I agree, that is an interesting
4	phenomenon. So, yeah, we don't, for sure have the
5	answer at this point.
6	Cackling Geese, principally breed on the
7	Yukon-Kuskokwim delta. Prior to the mid-'80s almost
8	all of them wintered in the Central Valley of
9	California. After the population decline through
10	the '70s and early '80s, as the population began to
11	recover, the birds shifted their wintering
12	distribution and now they principally spend the
13	winter in Willamette Valley of Oregon and Southwest
14	Washington agricultural areas.
15	And this graph reflects how the population has
16	changed over time, going back to the '60s when there
17	was somewhere in the vicinity of 400,000 birds.
18	And, frankly, throughout the Flyway, we had an
19	over-harvest situation occurring and before the
20	agencies and the subsistence hunters in the north
21	got together and decided to fix this thing, the

This is the point that the Goose Management Plan was signed, Hooper Bay agreement came into effect,

population got down in the 20,000 range, 20 to

30,000 range.

the Goose Management Plan was signed, restricted
harvest all up and down the Flyway. And the
population began increasing again. Only this time
they spent the winter in Oregon instead of
California.

2.4

Right in here is when they began to liberalize the harvest in the south, principally to help deal with the resultant agricultural depredation problems. The farmers were complaining, because all these geese now are spending the winter there and they didn't have to deal with them before. The population kind of leveled off, going down again. And we again put restrictions on the harvest in the south. And maybe coincidentally, but we think our harvest restrictions had some effect on the populations now beginning to increase again as we head towards the population objective of 250,000.

I might add that down in the flyway there was an interest in reducing the population objective well below this number in order to cut down on the agricultural depredation problems. And it was the efforts of our subsistence partners in the north, principally on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta that enable to us hold the ground and keep the population objective at the 250,000-bird level in order to

2.4

ensure that there would be enough birds to maintain a subsistence harvest, because the Cackler at one time was the preferred subsistence harvest species on the Yukon Delta.

Just to give you a feel for where this depredation problem is going in Western Oregon, just March 31st, the state of Oregon, the Oregon state legislature passed a bill basically relating to geese and declaring an emergency. "There is created the task force on geese control. The state Fish & Wildlife director shall appoint nine members of task force to represent the interests of this state and federal aviation, agriculture conservation and academic interests. The purpose of the task force is to study issues raised by the increasing numbers of various species of geese in Oregon and to make recommendations regarding opportunities to control the geese population and its impacts pursuant to applicable state and federal laws."

So this issue is -- remains a burning issue.

And it's one that we're going to be faced with dealing with and work with our partners down there in Western Oregon and Southwest Washington. So expect that we're going to be challenged as to why there should be 250,000 Cackling Geese.

2.4

I've provided copies of this document for the council and other interested folks. And I'll pass that around. So just to give you fair warning that this is -- this is an issue we're going to be dealing with.

I think I've pretty well covered the issues.

And I probably don't need to read through this again. We are concerned about the availability of quality wintering habitat for Cackling Geese in the long term. We've had a terrible time trying to provide managed winter grazing for these birds that's so critical to them in Western Oregon and Southwest Washington, there's only 7,000 acres total of agency-managed winter range for geese in this area. And there's six other populations of geese that spend the winter down there, plus swans and a whole host of ducks. So we're concerned about the long-term wintering habitat as Willamette Valley and Southwest Washington lose habitat to development.

The next species I want to talk about, Pacific Greater White-fronted Geese. I've got two separate populations of White-fronts here, the ones I'm talking now are the ones that nest on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta and the Bristol Bay lowlands. This is the red arrow, they migrate down and spend

2.4

1	the wint	er princip	ally in	California.	Some	of	the
2	birds pa	ss on down	to Mexi	ico.			

And this is one of our success stories coming out of the Goose Management Plan. Another population that was over-harvested well into the '80s. Here you can see the decline from historical levels of half a million. We restricted the harvest here in the north and down south. And the population responded by expanding the yellow bar. Here is the population objective. And we blew the lid off of it and we're still going like crazy.

We are a victim of our own success because we have agricultural depredation problems in Oregon and beginning to happen in California as well. Current population, three-year average is 580,000. The objective is 300,000. We have the crop depredation. And the current harvest strategy is too conservative, we're not harvesting enough birds and they're continuing to increase and increase.

You may be aware that in the Eastern Arctic we had a population of Snow Geese that sort of got away from us, got away from our management. And some of the colonies are now are exceeding a million birds and they're destroying their own colonies where they nest, by overfeeding. So we don't want this to

l happen
----------

2.4

And I think we're asking the cooperation of our partners to the north here as we try to revise the harvest strategy for this population of birds so we can reduce the number and to a level that's acceptable and sustainable. One of the problems we have with managing harvest in California is that the Pacific White-fronts mix with the Tule White-front, there's only about 12 or 15,000 of those in the world. They look very, very much like the Pacific White-fronts. And about the only way you can tell them apart is to shoot them and measure them. And that's not a good way to manage these birds. So we have to have area closures down there to protect, in California to protect the Tules.

The next population is a mid-continent population of white fronts. And these birds nest all across North America through the Central Arctic. The ones in Alaska here nest on the North Slope and in the Interior south of the Brooks Range. And these birds migrate all the way down to Texas, Louisiana and Mexico.

And there was a period in time when we were kind of concerned about the population in Alaska. And we asked the folks down here, the hunters down here, to

2.4

1	restrict	their	harvest,	and	they	did.	And	the	birds
2	seem to b	ne rela	ativelv s	table	e in A	Alaska	_		

Now, this is the continental population right here. And they are counted as they pass through the South Saskatchewan River here. And there's about 800,000 of those birds at this point. So that population overall is quite healthy.

We're going to switch to ducks now. Actually, I think I might sit down. The Steller's Eider is the first -- Pacific population is the one I want to talk about. I think everybody's probably maybe heard more about Steller's than they ever want to hear, particularly the folks from the North Slope, but I apologize for that.

But at this point the species is closed to all hunting, both summer and fall, spring, summer and fall. And the population that's of concern is the breeding population on the North Slope, the red on the North Slope there. And these birds in the fall, they migrate down through the Bering Straits where they intermingle with the birds that nest in Russia, which is the red over to the left side, and spend the winter down on the Alaska Peninsula/Kodiak area and the Aleutians.

And while the breeding population in Alaska is

2.4

estimated only at 575 birds or so, the total population that winters, its Pacific population that winters along the Alaska Peninsula here, we count them in the spring with aerial surveys as they group up in the bays and lagoons, all the way from the Yukon Delta down to the Izembek area. That population is somewhere in the neighborhood of 80,000 birds.

We believe that the wintering population has declined somewhat since the early '90s. But it seems to be, at this point, relatively stable, somewhere in the vicinity of 80,000 birds. But that represents birds that ultimately will breed principally in Russia and a small number of them on the North Slope.

Next species is a Spectacled Eider, which was listed as threatened in 1993. It breeds principally in Russia with smaller populations on the North Slope and Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta. Our historical data from the '70s to the early '90s indicates a 96 percent decline on the Yukon Delta. And the total -- with a total Alaska breeding population in the tens of thousands, low tens of thousands. All of these birds migrate through the Bering Strait -- oh, I overheated the projector.

1	Okay. But these birds spend the winter
2	primarily in leads and cracks in the ice south of
3	St. Lawrence Island.
4	MR. ALCORN: Can we take the binder out? Maybe
5	that's stopping the fan.
6	How much more do you have?
7	MR. OATES: Well, I had another slide on
8	Spectacled Eiders, I had some King Eider data,
9	common identifier data. I can just talk about it
10	without the visuals, if you want, and a little bit
11	on scoters.
12	Okay. What I'll do then is I'll turn so I face
13	you. And maybe I'll get Eric to come up and do air
14	pictures for me. Just kidding.
15	But at this point in time we survey the
16	Spectacled Eiders primarily on the breeding areas of
17	the North Slope and the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta. And
18	our current opinion is the populations in both of
19	those places are roughly are pretty much stable
20	with the in the low tens of thousands, combined
21	probably.
22	We also have, this winter we're able to get back
23	out to the area south of St. Lawrence Island, do a
24	winter count like we did about 8 or 10 years ago,
25	maybe 10 years ago now. So we're hoping to get some

2.4

results to that. Numbers approaching the 350,000 range, including all the Alaska breeding birds and all the Russian breeding birds, about 10 years ago when we last did this. But we were able to piece together the funding and get it done this year. So we're going to be very intrigued to compare the total number of Spectacled Eiders that were out there this winter with the data from some years ago, possibly get some trend information on winter populations.

The King Eiders nest all across Arctic Canada with a few of them nesting on the North Slope of Alaska. And when we do our spring surveys of Spectacled Eiders, the King Eiders are also breeding at that same time. So we're able to get a pretty good count on them as well. And we've been counting them with a fair degree of confidence since about 1993. The first survey was in '92, it was flown too late.

But from '93 to 2007, I don't have the '8 data here, but it looks like the population, the small numbers in the vicinity of 15,000 or so birds, breeding birds. Looks like it's stable and slightly increasing on the North Slope. Again, that's a very small percentage of the total North American

1	population	in King	Eiders.

2.4

Common Eiders, we have, again, a small proportion of the total Pacific Common Eider population. The majority of them nest in the high arctic of Canada. And we've been doing a survey of breeding birds on the barrier islands of the North Slope since 1999. And we've been counting them on the Youkon-Kuskokwim Delta since 1986.

We're working on developing an eider survey, a Common Eider survey in the Kawerak region. I don't have any results from that yet. We probably have somewhere in the vicinity of two to 3,000 total birds, Common Eiders that spend summer on the Barrier Islands of the North Slope and somewhere in the vicinity of 2,000 on Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta.

We believe that historically the numbers on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta were considerably higher. We don't know if the declines were as great as what we perceive occurred on the Yukon Delta for Spectacled Eider, but we were pretty confident that they declined on the Yukon Delta as well.

I just want to talk a minute about Black

Scoters. I think Eric described to some extent our interest in Black Scoters. And I was going to say

I'm sorry I don't have a slide, but I guess that's

2.4

pretty irrelevant at this point, of the continental information. But I did, at the 11th hour, copy -make a photocopy of the data. And the column on the far right about -- it gives our best estimate of all scoter species combined, continental, what the population has done since 1955. And I'll provide that information just so you folks can see what -- why we have some concern about scoters continentally.

But the principal areas that Black Scoters nest in Alaska, they nest all across Alaska, but the real concentration areas are Bristol Bay, Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta and smaller concentrations on the Seward Peninsula wetlands and the Kotzebue Sound wetlands in the vicinity of Selawik National Wildlife Refuge.

Because of the concerns that we have had continentally about scoters, we designed a specific Black Scoter survey, because it was one of those things that, whereas your distribution of White-winged and Surf Scoters is much broader at lower incidents, we actually have concentration areas of Black Scoters where we would guess probably 90 percent of the Pacific population nests; that is we could actually feasibly develop and conduct a survey. And we've been doing that for some years.

1	And we haven't got enough years in. We've only
2	got three or four, five years in at this point. Is
3	it three, Eric? Four years?
4	Anyway, the Black Scoter survey, it's not enough
5	time to really get any trends indicated. That takes
6	a number of years of consistent effort to do that.
7	But overall, the Alaska population, I think Eric
8	mentioned, if you just look at the tundra areas
9	that where all the scoters there well, most of
10	the scoters nesting on the tundra areas are Black
11	Scoters. It appears to be stable to slightly
12	declining over 50 years. Again, that's
13	Alaska-specific. Continentally we think scoters, in
14	general, have experienced very significant declines
15	over that same time period.
16	I think most folks were here when Karen Laing
17	gave her presentation about Yellow-billed Loons. I
18	was just going to say that we are involved in the
19	Yellow-billed Loon survey work as well. We plan to
20	continue to be. I was going to show you the data,
21	but I don't think that's going to be possible.
22	But we do have some concerns about the
23	continental population. Alaska is a smaller portion
24	of the continental population, but but we do have
25	some concerns. And we're going to continue to

_	monitor the population, try to get a better
2	understanding of reported subsistence take of
3	Yellow-billed Loons and work with local people that
4	live in the areas that Yellow-billed Loons exist.
5	So not having the ability to show you any
6	pictures or graphs, I think I'll probably stop at
7	that. And if there are any questions, I'll do what
8	I can with no graph to refer to.
9	MR. ALCORN: Thanks, Russ.
10	Any questions of Russ on the presentation?
11	Okay.
12	Thanks. Appreciate that.
13	MR. OATES: Sorry about the technical failure.
14	MR. BACON: Actually, Russ, I have one question
15	Josh Bacon. Is there any preliminary stuff on
16	the Spectacled Eider survey? Has that been
17	conducted yet, the winter?
18	MR. OATES: I think they're done. But as of a
19	week ago, I'd heard that they were having some
20	success. Eric, can you elaborate on that?
21	MR. TAYLOR: No. They just got done. They're
22	in the process of writing the report now.
23	MR. BACON: But there was no surprises, it
24	sounds like; there's a lot of birds there?
25	MR. TAYLOR: I can't tell you.

1	MR. OATES: I haven't heard anything.
2	They came back, right?
3	MR. TAYLOR: Yeah, they're back.
4	MR. OATES: Okay. I know that now for the first
5	time.
6	Anyway, thank you for your attention. And we
7	look forward to continuing to work with you folks to
8	try to make sure that migratory birds remain for
9	future generations.
10	MR. ALCORN: Thank you, Russ.
11	If you will all take your seat again. We have a
12	motion and second on the floor to suspend the rule
13	of the day or put it back on schedule. I don't
14	MS. TAHBONE: Mr. Chair, just a quick question.
15	Did we need to do anything regarding Southeast with
16	their obligation to provide us with the report on
17	their did we obligate them for providing their
18	report, since they don't have the staff to or are
19	we
20	MR. ALCORN: Bert and Herman spoke about that
21	right before they left for lunch. And Bert said
22	he's going to attempt to do that. So they are going
23	to try to get that so we have a motion and
24	second. Anyone anyone oppose the motion to
25	unsuspend the rule of the day?

```
1
                Hearing none, seeing none, it will go back on
 2
            the agenda as approved.
 3
                And we are now still on new business 11, item
 4
            11(b), proposal number 2, the duck stamp exemption
 5
            for subsistence hunters. And we will go back to our
 6
            six-step process.
 7
                And I'll turn the floor over to Sky.
                MR. STARKEY: Anybody want to move it?
 8
 9
                MR. HICKS: I so move.
                MR. PEDERSON: Second.
10
                MR. STARKEY: I'm going to request that the
11
12
            proposal, the proposals be withdrawn, to be
13
            resubmitted by AVCP sometime, probably for the next
            April meeting. Rationale being that the -- probably
14
            nothing has really changed since the last time we
15
16
            described it, except that we may be getting some
17
            support for amending the federal Duck Stamp Act.
            And we just need to work through the -- we need to
18
19
            work through options for state licensing and state
20
            duck stamp issues before they're really going to be
21
            productive to be discussed. So that's the rational
22
            for withdrawing at this point.
23
                MR. ALCORN: Is that a -- form of the motion?
                MR. STARKEY: I would like to move that.
24
```

MR. ALCORN: We've got a motion to -- TO retract

```
1
            that.
 2
                MR. HICKS: Second.
 3
                MR. ALCORN: Proposal number 2 under 11 (B).
 4
                Do we have a second?
                MR. HICKS: Second.
 5
 6
                MR. ALCORN: We have a motion and second.
 7
                Any discussion?
 8
                Mike?
 9
                MR. PEDERSON: Sky, I'd like to -- I know you
            kept us informed of what's going on relating to the
10
            issue, and since it's a concern in our region, I'd
11
12
            just like to be kept updated on your guys' progress.
13
                MR. STARKEY: Absolutely.
                MR. ALCORN: Any other discussion?
14
                I will say that my regional director has
15
            expressed support for an exemption, if an exemption
16
17
            were to go before the Congress, if there were a bill
            introduced. My regional director has stated that he
18
19
            would support that. But I would note that I am not
20
            sure that if he has counseled with the director or
21
            not. I just know that that is his personal
            decision.
22
23
                Hearing no more comments, do we want to call the
            question?
24
25
                No opposition to the question, then I will call
```

1	the question.
2	Does anyone oppose the motion to retract or
3	withdraw the proposal 11(b)?
4	Hearing no opposition, the motion carries. It's
5	withdrawn.
6	That concludes item 11 under new business, 11
7	(a), (b), (c) and (d).
8	We are down to 11(e), the consent agenda items.
9	And the consent agenda items are the suite of
10	regulations that are currently in place in the
11	regions. So by consent, if we were to pass this
12	full suite of regional proposals or regional
13	regulations (a) through (1), it would retain the
14	regulations as as written into the 2009 final
15	rule, with the exception of - and we talked about
16	this just as we were breaking for lunch - the
17	Yakutat proposal was not resubmitted, so it would
18	not be grandfathered in, it would not roll over,
19	would be the one exception to that. So that the
20	other rule provisions would remain, plus those that
21	we have passed this at this meeting.
22	So I would entertain a motion, or do we want
23	to okay. I'll entertain a motion. Rather
24	than entertain a motion, does anyone want to pull
25	any of these items, (a) through (l) from the consent

1	agenda items?
2	Mike?
3	MR. PEDERSON: For the record, North Slope
4	Borough would like to pull the 2009 regs because
5	they are not approved yet by your higher-ups and
6	we'd like to revert back to the 2008 regs.
7	MR. ALCORN: Okay. So by pulling them, we would
8	have to take them up as a separate item, we'd have
9	to do that immediately following approval of those
10	that are in the consent. So Mike has asked to
11	withdraw his region's proposal on item (i).
12	Are there any others that want to be
13	highlighted?
14	Okay. A motion would be in order to approve the
15	consent agenda items (a) through (1), excluding (i),
16	the North Slope Borough proposal.
17	Do I have a motion?
18	MS. TAHBONE: So move.
19	MR. ALCORN: I have a motion to approve those
20	consent agenda items for the 2010 regulations.
21	Do I have a second?
22	MR. RABE: Second.
23	MR. ALCORN: I have a second. Discussion?
24	MR. ARMSTRONG: There was a motion to
25	(inaudible)

	1	MR. ALCORN: We've already dealt with that.
	2	There was no motion. It was just a discussion.
	3	Okay. We have a motion in second. Discussion?
	4	I don't see any hands raised. I'm going to call for
	5	the question.
	6	Anyone opposed calling the question? I don't
	7	see any opposition to that, so I'll call the
	8	question.
	9	Anyone opposed to approving consent agenda items
	10	(a) through (l), excluding (i)?
	11	Hearing no opposition, those we'll pass. Motion
	12	carries.
	13	We will take up specifically item (i), the North
	14	Slope Borough.
	15	And, Mike, would you like to state again what
	16	you'd like to see done for that?
	17	MR. PEDERSON: As I understand it, this agenda
	18	item would allow the proposed 2009 regs to move into
	19	the 2010 year. We're opposed to the 2009 regs, so
:	20	for our region, at least, we propose that we revert
:	21	back to the 2008 regs for 2010.
:	22	MR. ALCORN: Would you like to make that a
:	23	motion?
:	24	MR. PEDERSON: I so move.

MR. ALCORN: Do we have a second?

1	MS. TAHBONE: Second.
2	MR. ALCORN: We have a second.
3	Do we have discussion?
4	MR. RABE: I have a question in terms of
5	background in terms of what are the differences
6	between those two years, the really the focal
7	point toward the motion?
8	MR. PEDERSON: They're listed on page 5, 11 and
9	12 in here in red. Those are the changes based
10	on based on the MOU that was signed.
11	MR. RABE: Okay.
12	MR. ALCORN: It was the Steller's Eider
13	conservation.
14	MR. PEDERSON: The MOU is only for this year,
15	that's my basis for it.
16	MR. ALCORN: And we've as we talked
17	yesterday, we're going to develop a process so that
18	we can develop an interim regulation, if necessary,
19	dealing with section 7 consultation, deliberations
20	internally. That is yet to be ironed out, how
21	exactly that will work, but that's how that
22	particular, the Steller's Eider particular
23	conservation issue would be considered.
24	So any other discussion on the motion?
25	All right. We're ready to call the question.

Τ.	Anyone opposed in carring the question:
2	I see no opposition, so I will call the
3	question. Anyone oppose the motion to accept the
4	North Slope Borough regulations that date to 2008?
5	Hearing no opposition, motion carries.
6	That takes us through item 11, new business.
7	We are now to item 12, other business. And
8	we've already heard the first item (a), presentation
9	by the Fish & Wildlife Service. And we're down to
10	item (b). And that's the Goose Management Plan.
11	And Myron Naneng had put that on the agenda.
12	Sky, are you prepared to talk about that?
13	MR. STARKEY: I'll just tell everyone that on
14	Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday of next week AVCP is
15	going to bring it's (inaudible) down to Portland
16	where the State will also be there, along with the
17	Flyway Council and the other states, and it's
18	anticipated that we'll be our goal is to
19	renegotiate and bring breathe new life back into
20	the Y-K Goose Management Plan. That's what that is
21	MR. ALCORN: Any questions? Okay.
22	Thanks, Sky.
23	We're down to item (c), 2007 harvest surveys
24	council approval, HSC, harvest survey committe,
25	chair. Who would that be that's going to present

```
this item?
1
 2
               MR. PEDERSON: That's Molly.
 3
               MR. ALCORN: Okay. We're going to postpone that
 4
           discussion until she returns. I know she's on her
 5
           way back.
               Let's move to item (d), 12(d), standard
 7
            operating procedure committee report. That's
 8
           Herman.
 9
               Sandy did you have anything on? We'll postpone
           that.
10
11
               Okay.
12
               MS. TAHBONE: I can do the report, but I'd
13
           prefer that Herman be here. He's the chair, and he
           could defer it to me to provide the report, but he
14
           was at both meetings and we didn't have quorum, so
15
           I'd wait for Herman to come back.
16
17
               MR. ALCORN: Okay. Hopefully he will come back.
18
           Let's postpone.
19
                Item (e), the law enforcement committee report.
20
            Is there a law enforcement committee chair here or a
21
           member?
               MR. HICKS: Yes, there is.
22
23
               MR. ALCORN: Are you that chair?
               MR. HICKS: Yes.
24
               MR. ALCORN: Okay. Joeneal, thank you.
```

report, so excuse me on that.

2	Okay. The report is kind of lengthy. I would
3	ask that those who attended the committee meeting
4	with me to put in their two cents also. But I'm
5	going it run through what I've got. There's also a
6	report in your booklet. I guess I'll start with
7	that. Might be kind of repetitive when I give the

MR. HICKS: I knew you'd catch me off guard.

Anyhow, I'll read from the report in the book.

We met on 18 February, '09. "A key component of successful relationship between law enforcement and the community is communication between officers and hunters. Therefore the Alaska Migratory Bird

Co-Management Council adopts the following policies to improve communication and mutual respect.

Both the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service's special agent and the Refuge law enforcement program shall be requested to attend the spring and fall meeting of the Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council. At the spring meeting law enforcement will be requested to report on their plans for the upcoming season. At the fall meeting they will be requested to report on their accomplishments during the previous spring and summer season.

Regional Migratory Bird Councils are encouraged

1	to invite law enforcement officers to their
2	meetings. These officers may be special agents,
3	Refuge officers and/or state game officers.
4	New U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service law enforcement
5	officers will undergo training in cross-cultural
6	communication and local traditional ecological
7	knowledge. A focus will be made about how each
8	region is different.
9	Complaints on the behavior of special agents
10	will be passed on to the special agent in charge and
11	the representative of the Alaska Migratory Bird
12	Co-Management Council of the region from which the
13	complaint originated. The regional representative
14	and a special agent in charge will discuss the
15	complaint. The committee did not discuss the case
16	in which the complaint was against a Refuge officer.
17	Outreach is needed to inform hunters that they
18	should put down their weapon when approached by an
19	enforcement officer. Doing so will improve
20	communication and ensure the safety of both the
21	hunter and the officer."
22	Anyhow, we met during the day and several things
23	really came out of that particular meeting. One of
24	them is outreach and education. That was deemed to
25	be the most and the biggest factor in the efforts,

1	in other words I say efforts, because education
2	well, education outreach needs to be made at all
3	levels in terms of getting the word out about law
4	enforcement issues in general. Be it the duck stamp
5	issue, be it the amount of harvest or just
6	information gathering or just legal matters.
7	In so doing it is important that law enforcement
8	officials have adequate orientation about the
9	different cultures that they will be encountering.
10	And it's a good example that they also learn it's
11	a good idea that they also learn about CEK
12	(phoentic). The law enforcement committee
13	recommends that law enforcement officials be invited
14	to council and region meetings, as we have just
15	mentioned. The law enforcement committee also
16	acknowledges that there are legislative issues that
17	may need to be changing that may need changing,
18	and find that although some are political and other
19	issues involve public relations, such as the duck
20	stamp issue. Which, for your information, as we are
21	told by the law enforcement officer who attended
22	that meeting I forget his name.
23	MS. TAHBONE: Stan was there.
24	MR. PRUSZENSKI: You're talking about the Alaska
25	state trooper?

Τ	MS. TAHBUNE: The state trooper.
2	MR. PRUSZENSKI: It will come to me.
3	MR. HICKS: Anyway, they attended our meetings
4	and they said that beginning 2010 the issue of duck
5	stamp will be enforced. And it's very important
6	that regional councils and all that get that
7	information out to their constituents, that if you
8	do not have a duck stamp in possession, you will be
9	cited. Pretty much giving you warning, like I said,
10	2010 they will start issuing citations.
11	MS. TAHBONE: That's a the federal position, not
12	the state. The state position is they're going to
13	cite you now. That's a real big difference. The
14	state troopers, the state law enforcement will cite
15	you now, whereas the federal position, they won't
16	start citing until 2010.
17	MR. PRUSZENSKI: Which is consistent with a
18	if I may?
19	MR. ALCORN: Stan, come identify yourself, if
20	you would.
21	MR. PRUSZENSKI: Stan Pruszenski, Fish &
22	Wildlife, Office of Law Enforcement. This past
23	February I took over for Steve Oberholzer. Steve
24	Oberholzer was the law enforcement representative on
25	committee, so I took over for him and hope to be a

1 permanent member of that body.

2.4

Three years ago, again at the very, you know, middle of this whole duck stamp discussion, after the institution of the spring and summer regs in 2002, Office of Law Enforcement and Fish & Wildlife Service had a policy of no enforcing, with the idea that a, you know, potential legislative fix was in the works.

That didn't seem to get a whole lot of traction at that time. So what we had done two years ago was to initiate a phase-in approach to enforcement of the requirement for federal duck stamps being a one year -- the first year would be oral, verbal warnings that the duck stamps are required, and methods where to find them and how to get them.

With that, Fred Armstrong and a lot of other folks within the Fish & Wildlife Service and other groups made a very concerted effort to make federal duck stamps more readily available in outlying areas and remote villages. That was fairly successful.

That's still an ongoing process. I don't know if they are mailed out there in sufficient numbers automatically or if that's something that Fred has to, you know, kind of keep pushing or herding along.

That first year was oral and verbal warnings.

Τ	The second year was going to be written warnings.
2	Again, no citation, just a written warning outlining
3	the requirements to have a federal duck stamp, with
4	the third year being written citations.
5	Last year was the first year, that was the
6	verbal warning. This year, 2009, is the written
7	warning. And then 2010 will be the the year for
8	citations.
9	Again, with Sky and others' efforts apparently
10	potentially gaining more traction that, you know, we
11	may be coinciding or we may be all at the same place
12	next year and we'll be fine. So this if that
13	works out, that's fine. But otherwise, the service
14	and is on this phased-in track.
15	MR. ALCORN: Thank you.
16	Anymore questions of Joeneal on the law
17	enforcement committee?
18	Randy?
19	MR. MAYO: Yeah. Up in our region we went over
20	these, you know, there was a couple of things that
21	our region talked about. I don't know about every
22	other region in the state. But in my community we
23	do things a little differently. We have tribal
24	government with different departments and whatnot.
25	And, you know, at one time we had our tribal police,

not a state VPSO, but a full-blown tribal police officer in our community. We have our traditional land-use plan. So that the tribal government plays its rightful role in governments. And in trying to institute tribal rules and regs as law as far as We are allowed under this current situation we find ourself in with state and federal regs.

So, you know, we had problems locally where the protocol, maybe it wasn't known on the federal side of things. But there are some tribal governments out there with infrastructure you can interface with, you know. And not come in and get people paranoid and the perception of heavy-handedness. But that was, you know, one thing we talked about that I think some of us that are advanced in our tribal governments that this be, you know, inserted into some of these committee things, you know.

And the other thing that my group was, to put it bluntly, was pretty incensed over was that last bullet of when approached by law enforcement, it's a good idea to lay your gun down and kind of go, like: I give, you know, I surrender. And, you know, where is that thinking coming from? You know? So is that type of paranoid thinking just geared towards Native subsistence hunters or, you know, like what if that

1	was	a	sugges	stion	to	the	Alaska	Outdoor	Cour	ncil	)
2	What	. d	O VO11	think	t.h	at.	reaction	would	be?	You	know

So I just have a real problem with some of these type of notions, you know. And -- but the real thing that concerned us in our region is where is this paranoia coming from and the kind of the mind set, you know.

You know, that -- you know, just before we had our meeting and when we saw that committee report up in Fairbanks, say, you know, gun owners and whatnot, to protect their Second Amendment right to bear arms. The whole city of Fairbanks, people were going around, you know, with their firearms in full view, exercising their right, right within the city, you know. So if you see where I'm coming from, is it like -- like a double standard here, is kind of what I'm kind of seeing.

In lieu of the fact that, again, there are some tribes out there that have tribal infrastructure that can interface with law enforcement and be a direct point of contact in the community, like every other government, you know.

Just again, for the record, that in my region we had a real problem with that -- that last bullet.

It's kind of like, you know, racial profiling or

1	stereotyping, you know. So I just wanted to point
2	that out that that was our position on the committee
3	thing, you know.

And again, I -- I don't think any other hunter user group that -- that would, you know, even, you know, if you're not raising, you know, threatening an officer, I don't think that would go with any other group. But it sure keeps showing up in this.

Of course it's, you know, one thing for subsistence hunters and the other thing for, you know, other people.

So would this, under the term "indigenous," so some, you know, other rural resident that is not of my race, would they be subject to the same thing?

Or would the officer in the field go, well, he's of my race and can understand me, so, you know, I don't see a threat here?

18 MR. ALCORN: Is that a question of the committee?

20 MR. MAYO: No. That's our position from the 21 Interior.

MR. ALCORN: Thanks.

MR. HICKS: Mr. Chairman?

MR. ALCORN: Joeneal?

25 MR. HICKS: I'm not sure exactly where that

particular complaint, if you want to say so, came

2	from. But I do know that Alaska is a pretty big
3	state. I do know there has been some encounters up
4	north, or so, in regards to law enforcement
5	officers. Just exactly who the law enforcement
6	officers are, be it Fish & Wildlife Service, be it
7	Fish and Game, be it state troopers, I don't know.
8	But I guess you can say it's hard to determine how
9	each of those officers approach a would-be
10	subsistence hunter, especially out in the woods when
11	there is a big question of: I don't know who you
12	are and what are you doing, especially when you're
13	carrying 30 ought 6, holding a .44 pistol and you've
14	got a .38 tucked in your shirt. I mean it's like:
15	Who are you? I mean, there's issues that we need to
16	be careful about when you approach someone. That's
17	a given.
18	If I was a law enforcement officer, I would take
19	that into account before I would walk up to someone
20	But, yeah, I do understand you, Randy. I do hear
21	you.
22	Anyhow just to continue a little bit more on the
23	education part of it. The committee felt it was

really important that brochures or posters be

printed or posted at all public places, like post

24

1	office and stuff like that, that shows or explains
2	what it means to be legal, and what is required of
3	the United States subsistence harvester of migratory
4	birds, you know, licenses and stamps, et cetera, et
5	cetera. It needs to be made a big effort on the
6	part of the council to get that particular word out.
7	MR. ALCORN: So is that a recommended action
8	from the committee, then, to do that kind of
9	outreach?
10	MR. HICKS: I believe it was. I believe it was.
11	MS. TAHBONE: Because, other than this being
12	sent out, there's nothing basically out there.
13	MR. ALCORN: So that's a recommended action.
14	MR. HICKS: That concludes my report.
15	MR. ALCORN: Sandy, you had a
16	MS. TAHBONE: Just regarding that last paragraph
17	that was a safety issue. I don't know, maybe the
18	way it's worded, maybe it needed more to it. But
19	just, you know, weapons and the officer's training,
20	I mean, maybe Stan might be able to add a little bit
21	more to it. But just to decrease maybe, Stan
22	might be able to because the law enforcement
23	officer has his training, his protocols regarding
24	his safety. And maybe Stan might be.
25	MR. PRUSZENSKI: I like where you're going, if

1	you don't mind.
2	MS. TAHBONE: And it's just to increase the
3	level of safety. Because the officer doesn't know
4	the intent of the individual he's approaching that
5	has a weapon in his hand, and, you know, whether
6	it's loaded, or whatnot. I mean, that's
7	MR. ALCORN: Did you, were you going to comment
8	on Sandy's comment or report?
9	MR. PRUSZENSKI: If they care for me to, I
10	certainly can.
11	MR. HICKS: Go for it.
12	MR. PRUSZENSKI: What we had discussed at the
13	meeting was, again talking about potential, you
14	know, conflicts between user groups and enforcement.
15	We had discussed an issue that occurred on the North
16	Slope a year or so ago when, you know, the idea was
17	that the user and officers, when they come in
18	contact, of course, part of our protocol is to check
19	for steel shot. And we'll have to see what's in the
20	weapon if it's lead or steel. And there was a
21	complaint that we had received from the North Slope
22	that that there was an incident between a user
23	and an officer where they had physically, you know,
24	tussled over the weapon.

That discussion, you know, how can we avoid

that? The discussion that we had was it: Okay, I'm a, you know, I'm a federal law enforcement officer, I'm a federal conservation officer, identify yourself and say I need to, you know, talk to you about your hunting activities and check your weapon.

At that point we would encourage someone to either give it to you or put it down. And then at that point, you know, any potential accidental discharge or any other accident arising from that weapon would be defused.

I've done this business for, going on 29 years.

And you're right, every time you go up to somebody,
you're armed, they're armed. And, you know, that
first couple of seconds is very critical, you know.

How do you contact that person and how does that
person interact with you? It can go very well or it
can go very badly. Fortunately for me, I've only
had a few bad cases. Most of them have gone very,
very well.

I can understand if there was an incident where someone was actually razzing with them, I know the officer was -- had a heightened sense of adrenaline and was probably getting into the situation as was, you know, the hunter. So at that point, you know, chances of things going bad are heightened. So if

we can put the gun down and step back and pretty

2	much start the contact over again, I think everybody
3	would be much safer.
4	Randy, I've been a hunter safety instructor for
5	a number of years. And Hunter Alliance, or whatever
6	group you had mentioned earlier, I haven't
7	interacted with them very much, but I do know that,
8	as a part of my hunter education courses, I deal
9	with people, usually young hunters, that's the first
10	thing I'm conscious of, is the weapon, be it a
11	high-powered rifle or a shotgun or a BB gun. It's
12	protect yourself, protect myself, let's put that
13	weapon in a safe place in a safe manner.
14	So that's kind of where I thought we were going.
15	I think that's where we were going. The language
16	needs to be tweaked a little bit. I think that can
17	certainly be done. But it's not a subsistence
18	issue, a sport issue; it's a safety issue both for
19	the user and for the officers.
20	MR. ALCORN: Thanks, Stan.
21	Herman?
22	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Mr. Chairman.

Stan, I recall we had discussed, too, a little

bit about in order to help to avoid from being so

stressful for it not be a forceful approach, but a

23

calm approach by the officer. And, you know, so it
wouldn't instigate anything happening. I remember
we discussed that, too. That's something else we
got to work on.

MR. ALCORN: Any other -- Randy?

MR. MAYO: Yeah, I guess, you know, I'm not arguing that point. I guess just the way it was written. And that as far as protocol, those that, you know, when we had our tribal police, you know, chief of police and his deputy, we work real well with the, you know, local law enforcement, the troopers and Fish & Wildlife, that we had that local protocol developed, you know. Instead of officers coming into this community, they would go over to the tribal police department and contact our chief of police and go from there, you know. Then if you had to go out in the field -- you know, you clearly identify yourself and, you know, your intent, you know.

So I -- I guess in the future that, you know, we've got to revive our program and whatnot. And, you know, we'll be working on the protocol, you know, with law enforcement in our tribal departments, you know. Again, I know I realize, you know, a lot of communities don't operate that way,

but that's the way we operate, you know, with our

1

23

```
tribal governments and its different departments,
 2
 3
           you know.
                MR. ALCORN: Stan, are you going to respond to
 5
            that?
                Okay. Did you have your hand raised?
 7
               MR. SQUARTSOFF: No, sir.
               MR. ALCORN: Sandy?
 8
                MS. TAHBONE: That was one of the things that
 9
10
            was discussed at the community, too, was the
           presence in the community, the public relations and
11
12
            introductions of the officer. When they do go into
13
            the community, one of the first things they would do
           would be to, like we just said, the powers that be,
14
            to let them know that they are in the community and
15
16
            the reason why they're in the community enforcement
17
            effort, if -- you know, and their availability to be
            involved in any type of community to discuss that
18
            enforcement effort.
19
20
                MR. ALCORN: Okay.
21
                Do we have a recommendation from the committee
            for action? And if so, I would entertain a motion
22
```

MR. HICKS: I so do.

MR. ALCORN: What's the action you're

from the committee chair.

1	1		_
Τ	recommend	.ing	:

MR. HICKS: That the AMBCC make a concerted effort -- I don't know, budget -- budget -- well, parts of -- in parts of the report here, we realize that there does need to be some redrafting of the language in it. Meaning until it's redrafted, I don't want to make a motion to have that adopted as a particular policy.

Am I correct with that?

MS. TAHBONE: Yeah. I took notes during the meeting and mine are a little bit different than what's here, so there's some things that were left out here that we discussed. And it should be more of -- if the council is going to adopt policy, it should be worded in more of a -- more policy language, if you will. So maybe the committee can go ahead and work towards having that language drafted. And then we can circulate that to the regional councils for their review.

We didn't act like, you know, my council didn't act on it because they're -- it wasn't in -- I gave my report to the committee and they agreed that those were good ideas, and we can wait and see what written policy that this council would come up with.

MR. ALCORN: How it translates.

1	Maybe it's appropriate then to have the
2	committee continue to work on it and put this on the
3	agenda for the fall meeting. Is that
4	MR. HICKS: Yes. That would be a good idea.
5	But I again fall back on to the idea or I would
6	entertain a motion to say that the council or AMBCC
7	set aside funding - I can't give you a figure - of
8	10, 15,000 or so, for education and outreach
9	material, such as posters, pamphlets or whatever,
10	detailing the upcoming hunts, let's say, that is
11	regarding safety, duck stamp issues, et cetera, et
12	cetera, that I had mentioned earlier.
13	MR. ALCORN: Let me do this for you. Rather
14	than specify an amount, I'm not sure that we're
15	settled on what the outreach materials are, but if
16	there is consensus among the committee and we have
17	an opportunity to vet it and get agreement, print
18	it, I'm okay with printing it and distributing it.
19	But I sense that there's a strong desire to have
20	everyone have an opportunity to look at that, those
21	materials. And absent a meeting that we have to
22	discuss those and and you know, reach agreement
23	on what that material would say, I'm hesitant to
24	agree to print something, number one, I don't know

what it says and, number two, I don't know what the

materials are going to be.

```
2
                MS. TAHBONE: Whatever -- I would recommend
 3
           whatever is going to be in our -- that's what the
 4
           materials should say.
 5
                MR. ALCORN: So it would be just a reiteration
           of the rule?
 7
                MS. TAHBONE: Yeah. Because that's the law.
           And until it's changed --
 8
 9
                MR. ALCORN: Put that in the form of a motion?
10
               MS. TAHBONE: Yeah, until it's changed this is:
           You are a legal hunter when you -- and then whatever
11
12
           it is. And until we change the regulation, you
13
           know, we have to -- our hunters have to abide by
           those, you know. So I'd say whatever's in here.
14
                MR. ALCORN: Do you want to take a crack at a
15
           motion, then?
16
17
                MR. HICKS: So would it be fair to say that this
            council charges the law enforcement committee to
18
            come up with wordings for a brochure, poster?
19
20
                MS. TAHBONE: I would go posters.
21
               MR. ALCORN: We have the brochure that we sent
22
           out.
23
                MR. HICKS: Or for adoption at the fall meeting?
               MR. ALCORN: But we want to send it out this
24
25
            year, is what I'm hearing you say. So we don't want
```

1	to defer it until the fall. But if the motion that
2	you're offering is clarified with what Sandy is
3	describing, is describe the rule, what will be in
4	the final rule, and then make the point that there
5	are enforcement priorities. I guess that's a fair
6	way to characterize that. Then I'm committed, as
7	the money manager of the AMBCC, to say we'll print
8	that material and distribute it. If you want to
9	make a motion to that effect, I can support that.
10	MR. HICKS: Okay. I make a motion to that
11	effect.
12	MR. ALCORN: Okay. I have a motion.
13	Do I have a second?
14	MS. TAHBONE: Second.
15	MR. ALCORN: We can clarify it in our
16	discussion.
17	We have a motion and second to develop posters
18	that would be printed developed and printed and
19	distributed for this season and that they would
20	articulate the, whatever is in the 2009 final rule
21	and specify what those enforcement priorities are
22	going to be.
23	Sandy?
24	MS. TAHBONE: Also, it might take several
25	posters. Because what's really not showing up in

1	this. And I'm assuming that once they're approved,
2	you need to what's closed? All the birds that
3	are closed to harvest, I mean, as far as the one
4	that, you know, how we had before, the Yellow-billed
5	Loons, whatever's closed, the Emperor, because
6	they're not showing up in this one.
7	MR. ALCORN: The problem with that, Sandy, is we
8	have about 700 species that are closed and a hundred
9	that are open.
10	MS. TAHBONE: I mean the ones that are normally
11	in our
12	MR. ALCORN: That are normally harvested in
13	those regions but are closed?
14	MS. TAHBONE: That are closed. The ones that we
15	have plans for, basically.
16	MR. WOODS: Used to have a supplementary
17	MR. ALCORN: Frank, point of order. I need to
18	hear comment. We're discussing it amongst the
19	council.
20	Herman?
21	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Also on the poster what I think
22	what Sandy might be getting at, too, I'm not sure,
23	but we had a poster a few years back that I'd like
24	to see back up again on the ones that are on the
25	threatened list or included with that, and it has

1	the Spectacled Eider, Emperor Geese and all that.
2	This is only showing the Steller's. I mean, if we
3	could have that back on here again. I don't
4	think I don't remember if we had it here, but I
5	know we had a good poster. Fred might remember it
6	was a good poster of that.

MS. TAHBONE: Also, duck stamps; where you get them, who to contact if you can't get them in your community. And same with license; vendors who you contact and -- if you can't get them.

MR. HICKS: There was a question raised about costs also. How much does it cost? I wasn't able to answer that.

MR. ALCORN: I have set aside money to deal with the Steller's Eider issue this year. And I believe that we're going to have a substantial amount of money to cover the cost.

MS. TAHBONE: I think it would be worth the effort, too, to the current policy, law enforcement policy with the federal side that people can expect to receive a written warning. It's not a citation and, you know, the 2008 year was the verbal, 2009 you know, they can expect if they're not legal, regarding the duck stamp. Whatever the requirements to be legal, that they can expect to receive a

1

25

```
warning. And then the 2010, you know, if they can
 2
           expect to be cited, so people are not, they
 3
           understand what the law enforcement intent and
 4
           effort will be for 2009.
 5
                MR. HICKS: Just one last comment. Stanley,
 6
           what is the cost of a federal duck stamp?
 7
                MR. PRUSZENSKI: I believe this year it's still
 8
           $15.
 9
                MR. HICKS: And the state duck stamp is five
           bucks?
10
               MR. PRUSZENSKI: Five.
11
12
                MR. HICKS: And then the cost of the actual
13
           license?
               MR. PRUSZENSKI: That varies.
14
                MR. RABE: There's a couple of different
15
16
           options.
17
                (Simultaneous speaking.)
               MR. HICKS: So --
18
                MR. ALCORN: Okay. Let's get a little control
19
20
           here, or we're going to make it really hard on our
21
            record keeper. Folks, just for point of order.
           Dale?
22
23
                MR. RABE: Just to point in terms of what Sandy
           said in terms of that sequence, it was news to me,
24
```

but I was listening carefully when it was discussed

1	earlier that apparently the State did not agree to
2	the same period of implementation.
3	And, so I think to the benefit of the hunters, I
4	think we want to be very careful about not putting
5	something inaccurate that they might get caught
6	because, depending on who it is that's doing the
7	enforcement out there, there are different
8	procedures that they may be following. Again, I'm
9	not familiar with what coordination has occurred
10	between the State and federal.
11	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Mr. Chairman, in our committee
12	meeting, we had a state guy I forgot his name.
13	MS. TAHBONE: His name was
14	MS. TAHBONE: Bernard Chastain.
15	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Stan can correct me if I'm
16	wrong, or Joe, but he said they would not be
17	enforcing the migratory bird as much, that's not
18	going to be their priority. They have other
19	priorities over that.
20	MS. TAHBONE: But
21	MR. ALCORN: Sandy?
22	MS. TAHBONE: Sorry.
23	But that's not a statewide policy though or
24	effort, law enforcement effort on the state side.
25	Because it depends on which agent, person that you

	1	spec to. It's different in our region, he's a
	2	gung-ho individual and he will cite you.
	3	MR. ALCORN: Stan, you had a comment?
	4	MR. PRUSZENSKI: Is it okay?
	5	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Fine.
	6	MR. PRUSZENSKI: Right. Very similar to how the
	7	Fish & Wildlife Service sets priorities, the state
	8	has the same issue, not enough bodies to go around
	9	for all the issues. If it's king salmon in the
1	.0	spring, it's not subsistence waterfowl, it's
1	1	ComFish. So that's what they're doing.
1	2	Sandy's fortunate enough to have an officer in
1	.3	Nome in the spring that doesn't have to deal with a
1	4	whole lot of commercial fish, so he's potentially
1	.5	looking for things to do.
1	.6	I think where the state is going to come out is
1	.7	they're not as open to, you know, providing guidance
1	.8	like that to the field, in that we're giving you
1	.9	know, we're not enforcing this, we're going to do
2	0	written warnings and then when we're going to do
2	1	citations. Their idea is fairly black and white.
2	2	It's the law and I'm sworn to uphold the law and
2	3	it's a requirement.
2	4	Our tack was, you know, try to ease into this

and gain voluntary compliance, because we're not

1	going to force our way into compliance. So we're
2	trying to understand and make it known to the
3	public, you know, what duck stamp funding goes for.
4	And it's a good thing, and if you want to
5	participate, this is how you participate, by buying
6	a license.
7	So there has been coordination with the state,
8	as can be expected. I mean, we don't see eye to eye
9	on everything. But they understand where I'm coming
10	from and they I understand where they're coming
11	from. But you're right, Dale, that, you know, it
12	may
13	MR. RABE: Be careful of the message.
14	MR. PRUSZENSKI: It needs to be crafted
15	correctly.
16	One other thing, too, when I was talking about
17	the message, is that if we do do posters, what may
18	be valid for Peter in his area is totally different
19	than what Randy has in his area. To show spruce
20	trees and mallard ducks out on Sand Point or further
21	out isn't really relevant. There should be more
22	local involvement. One poster doesn't fit all.
23	MR. ALCORN: Here's where I think we are on
24	this. I believe that there's general support to

develop outreach materials, including a poster, that

1	would state what our intentions are and state what
2	the rules are. And I believe that work of the
3	committee probably still needs to occur, so that we
4	can refine what that message is. And if we can
5	regionalize it, and if we can even if there's
6	more coastal and an interior type of poster, even if
7	it's more simple than 12 different regions, I'd like
8	to defer to the committee to develop that material.
9	But I will commit my program funds to support the
10	printing, production and distribution of that.
11	So if we can agree to that is anyone opposed
12	to me calling the question?
13	MR. STARKEY: I am not opposed, I just have a
14	clarification. I would like to clarify that before
15	any poster is published and sent to any region, that
16	that region and their representatives approve the
17	poster.
18	MR. ALCORN: I think that's fair. I think that
19	was the understanding.
20	MR. STARKEY: I just wanted to clarify that
21	point.
22	MR. ALCORN: Okay. It would be vetted with the
23	regional representatives.
24	Okay. Are we ready to call the question?

MR. SQUARTSOFF: Question being called for.

1	MR. ALCORN: Question being called for. Anyone
2	oppose the motion as stated, develop those outreach
3	materials, having vetted through the regional
4	representatives before they're distributed?
5	No opposition? We'll consider that an action
6	item.
7	All right. We have one let's see, we have
8	the harvest limitations committee report. Is there
9	a chair for that committee?
10	Bill?
11	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Bill or Joe.
12	MR. ALCORN: Just so that you all know, I plan
13	to take a break when we finish the committee
14	reports, we're going to go back to the two that
15	we so then, we'll take a break.
16	Bill, we are at the harvest limitations
17	committee.
18	MR. OSTRAND: Yes. The committee doesn't have a
19	chair, but with the committee's permission, I'll
20	give the report; is that all right?
21	MR. ALCORN: All right.
22	OSTRAND: The harvest limitations committee was
23	formed several years ago. And its task was to look
24	into means of, when necessary, limiting the harvest.
25	Something that came up after the committee was

formed is the nationwide EIS that and I've been
charged to write the subsistence section on that.
And in lieu of that, I would have undergone some
training in NEPA. And then last fall when I went to
training, I contacted Dianna Whittington who works
for Migratory Bird Management in Washington DC, and
she gave me some advice on how we might approach our
section in the EIS.

And her recommendation was that when we have the opportunity to revise our preferred alternative, we not only list the management tools that we might apply to manage the harvest of birds and subsistence season, but we also say when those tools might be used and when those tools might be rescinded.

So the exercise I did before the committee met was to list all of the methods that we already used to manage birds. And then the committee met and discussed those methods and we discussed when they might be applied and when they need to be rescinded. And it's in your binders, I think -- have you all found it? It's this document here.

In black is a paraphrase of the regulation that's either in effect now or has been in the past.

And then in red beneath it is a description of the committee -- well, in brief, what the committee

1	decided on, when we might use this particular
2	measure, and then when this measure would be
3	rescinded.
4	And, in general, we say we might or for
5	nearly all of these we would use the tool when there
6	was a conservation concern and then when that
7	conservation concern no longer exists, the
8	regulation would be rescinded. But there are some
9	exceptions.
10	It's rather a lengthy list, I could go through
11	every one of them, but that would take quite a bit
12	of time.
13	MR. ALCORN: Can you describe them sort of in
14	principle how it would work? I think you just did
15	that. Is there anything more that you can add?
16	MR. OSTRAND: There's a few exceptions, like we
17	have an area closure for the Aleutian Pribilofs -
18	Peter's not here - where there was a proposal to
19	close Tundra Swan harvest. This is a little
20	different, in that it's a local population and in
21	conflict Peter's regional management body
22	indicated that there was no traditional harvest of
23	the species, so we closed the area. That's a little

bit different than simply taking action because

there's a conservation concern. And that closure's

1	likely to be permanent.
2	MR. ALCORN: Can I ask sort of a fundamental
3	question? This is the harvest limitations
4	committee, and if I recall the history of that
5	committee was that committee was developed at the
6	behest of the state of Alaska representative about
7	four years ago, if I'm not mistaken.
8	MR. OSTRAND: Yes.
9	MR. ALCORN: They've not had a lot of activity.
10	And I believe they've just begun having these
11	meetings. And this is, this is associated with the
12	internal development of the EIS. How is the
13	committee taking over this particular function and
14	how is it relevant?
15	MR. OSTRAND: These are the tools to limit
16	harvest. So it seemed appropriate for this
17	committee to take over it. It's also something that
18	we need to describe in the environmental impact
19	statement.
20	The what I've submitted already for the
21	environmental impact statement just reflects what
22	we've done in our annual EA. It's a version of
23	that. And Diana Whittington recommendation was that
24	I do something we do something more when we have
25	the opportunity to revise our submission. And that

2	task to the harvest limitations committee, to come
3	up a list of tools that we would use to manage the
4	hunt.
5	This will put side boards on the co-management
6	council as well. So that I should be clear about
7	that. When this would be incorporated into the
8	environmental impact statement, if there was an
9	interest in doing something outside of this list, it
10	could be done, but it would require additional NEPA
11	work, is my understanding. So this places side
12	boards on our management tools. This then becomes,
13	in essence, our toolbox.
14	MR. ALCORN: All right.
15	Well we have a recommendation from the
16	committee. There's one in reading the

when we do so, we describe the tools, which was the

Well we have a recommendation from the committee. There's one -- in reading the recommendation, there's one, this is basically sort of writing in, writing in to the EIS, the existing frameworks that we have adopted over the history of the AMBCC with one exception, and that exception is actual limits, harvest limits, bag limits.

MR. OSTRAND: Yes.

MR. ALCORN: That's a specific provision that's specified in here that we've never considered or adopted --

Τ	MR. OSTRAND: And it has rather strict
2	restrictions on that as well. I should point out
3	what those are. First for bag limit to the
4	example that was discussed at the committee meeting
5	was an example where under no other circumstances
6	would the species be allowed to be open, except if
7	there was a bag limit.
8	And I think an example might be the Emperor
9	Goose where you have a population that may be very
10	slowly coming back. And if you open it to harvest
11	without limits, it's just going to crash and go back
12	down. But there is a potential to have some
13	opportunity to harvest.
14	The constraints that the committee put on is
15	that this would only happen when it's prescribed by
16	a management plan. And currently there is no
17	management plan that describes a bag limit. So
18	there's much work to be done before this could ever
19	happen.
20	MR. ALCORN: Thank you. Well, that Satisfies my
21	questions.
22	Are there any other questions from the council?
23	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: I need to understand
24	this a little better. When you said, Bill, that
25	this was placing restrictions or side boards on the

	. Council, for example, if we wanted to if another
2	community wanted to be included, that's already in
;	the excluded area, then that's something that has to
4	go through EAs or EIS or a NEPA process, or is it
į	just strictly
(	MR. OSTRAND: No, it's covered in here.
	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: I don't see that.
8	MR. ALCORN: Second third page: Communities
9	were added without specific harvest restrictions.
10	MR. OSTRAND: What we haven't read is
1:	communities may be added to the list of eligible
12	areas without special restrictions.
1:	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: That's not specific to
1	Prince William Sound, though, that's just broad.
1	MR. OSTRAND: Yeah, it's rather broadly written.
1	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: So that's anywhere?
1	MR. OSTRAND: Right. It was taken from regs for
18	Prince William Sound, but it's written broadly here.
1:	It would be written broadly in a general document.
20	MR. ALCORN: This, just for clarification,
2	this the environmental impact statement is part
22	of the National Environmental Policy Act process
23	that government has to go through to describe the
2	actions that it takes. And so through our
2	regulatory process, what we're saying here is that

1	these are some these are the tools, as Bill
2	described, these are the tools that we use to manage
3	the hunt. Whether we expand the hunt or retract the
4	hunt, these are the tools that we apply. And what
5	you're referring to, Patty, is a method to expand
6	the hunt.
7	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: Or either way. I mean,
8	any action we take, is my question
9	MR. ALCORN: So this is these are the tools
10	that we are going to describe in the environmental
11	impact statement.
12	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: Why are we including
13	bag limits?
14	MR. OSTRAND: Well, it indicates where there
15	would otherwise not be an opportunity to harvest.
16	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: We currently don't have
17	this, right?
18	MR. OSTRAND: No, we don't have it. It's just
19	allowing for the possibility in the future. And we
20	place restrictions on how it could be applied. So
21	it just doesn't happen out of the blue.
22	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Patty, I could help? Because I
23	was on the committee. And the reason I agreed to
24	that bag limit on the, like an example, Emperor
25	Goose is if we did have a chance to harvest it, at

1	least we'd be able to get one or two, whatever,
2	without letting it go right back down, crash down
3	where we wouldn't even be able to harvest any. That
4	was one of the reasons I agreed on the bag limit
5	thing on it. Otherwise, I'm kind of really far away
6	from having a bag limit on any of our stuff. But
7	that's an opportunity for us to, chance for us to
8	get back in and hunting again for geese, even if
9	it's a few.
10	Joe seems like he's not remembering what was
11	discussed.
12	MR. HICKS: I'm not sure with that either, but
13	what if I remember correctly that we did not
14	include that in here and we made something new, that
15	we would have to kick in a new EIS?
16	MR. OSTRNAD: At least an environmental
17	assessment.
18	MR. HICKS: Right, a new EA?
19	MR. OSTRAND: Yes.
20	MR. HICKS: And that's what we were trying to
21	avoid, having to do an EA for every special action
22	not in here.
23	MR. OSTRAND: Yes.
24	I was thinking of an example of a proposal that

might be excluded by this. This past year I got a

1	call for somebody from the Lower 48 who is opposed
2	to the harvest of owls and belongs to a group.
3	Let's say he submitted a proposal to the
4	co-management council, which he could do, and his
5	he objected to the harvest of owls on moral grounds,
6	and it wasn't a conservation concern. When these
7	test apply here, and unless we wanted to do an EA,
8	it would be rejected.
9	MR. ALCORN: Do we have any other questions of
10	Bill?
11	MR. SQUARTSOFF: There's one more other thing on
12	bag limits in here that and just pertains to North
13	Slope only?
14	MR. OSTRAND: That's a community bag limit.
15	MR. ALCORN: Any other questions?
16	The chair would entertain a motion to adopt the
17	recommendation, and you need to state that in your
18	motion from the council.
19	MR. SQUARTSOFF: I so move.
20	MR. ALCORN: You need to state what that is.
21	MR. SQUARTSOFF: That we adopt the
22	recommendations from the harvest limitations
23	committee I so move that we adopt the
24	recommendations from the harvest limitation
25	committee, they incorporate, I think, the national

EIS, the set of management tools.

```
2
               MR. ALCORN: Thank you.
 3
                Do I have a second to that motion?
 4
               MR. HICKS: Second.
 5
               MR. ALCORN: I have a motion and second.
            Discussion?
 6
 7
                Hearing no discussion, are we ready to call the
 8
            question?
 9
               MR. HICKS: Ouestion.
               MR. ALCORN: Okay.
10
                We are ready for the question.
11
12
                Anyone oppose the motion as stated and seconded?
13
            I hear none. So the motion carries.
                Thank you, Bill. And we are going to -- stay
14
            right there. We have, I believe you are -- we have
15
            I thought -- let me find it. We have the harvest
16
17
            survey committee and we have standard operating
            procedures committee. Were you on either -- were
18
19
            you reporting on either of those?
20
                MR. OSTRAND: I'm not reporting on the SOP
            committee.
21
22
                Molly, are you prepared to report, or would you
23
            like Lilly to report?
               MS. CHYTHLOOK: Well, the -- do we need the
24
            report that Lillian -- Lilly gave yesterday, the
25
```

```
1
           overhead projector was --
 2
               MR. ALCORN: We don't need that. That was
 3
           discussing the changed methodology. Is this for
            approval of that changed methodology? Or is this --
           2000.
 5
 6
               MS. CHYTHLOOK: No.
 7
               MR. OSTRAND: No.
 8
               MR. ALCORN: Okay. We've had that data since
 9
            the fall. So let me defer to the committee then,
10
           just so we understand what the recommendation is
           or --
11
12
               MS. CHYTHLOOK: Okay. Did you have any
13
           recommendations?
               MR. OSTRAND: Well, yeah, we -- it's -- we're
14
           presenting the 2007 data for approval to the council
15
           as a whole. The data have been circulated to the
16
17
            regions during the year for their review and
18
           comment.
               MR. ALCORN: Is the recommendation from the
19
20
            committee to approve the 2007 survey results --
21
               MS. CHYTHLOOK: Yes.
               MR. ALCORN: -- that were distributed in the
22
23
           draft?
               Yeah? You want to make that in the form of a
24
           motion?
25
```

1	MS. CHYTHLOOK: I'll make the motion to have the
2	council approve the 2007 harvest data.
3	MR. ALCORN: Do we have a second?
4	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Second.
5	MR. ALCORN: We have a motion and second to
6	approve the 2007 harvest survey report.
7	Any discussion?
8	Sandy.
9	MS. TAHBONE: I would like to offer an
10	amendment. The Bering Strait Migratory Bird Council
11	reviewed the Bering Strait data. They approved of
12	the data, but they would like to have all totals
13	combined for the region, so there's just one total,
14	not in subregional.
15	MR. ALCORN: I don't know how we respond to
16	that. Is there a certain protocol for reporting in
17	the survey protocol? Do we have that?
18	MR. OSTRAND: If I might?
19	MR. ALCORN: Bill?
20	MR. OSTRAND: The committee asks the regions how
21	they would like to have their data reported. And so
22	earlier Bering Straits Region made a request that
23	the data be reported as one data table for their
24	region, so.

MR. ALCORN: Okay. I'm going to ask Liliana to

1	come to the table, since I was looking at her when
2	was asking the question.
3	Would you come to the table, Liliana, and
4	introduce yourself, maybe address the question.
5	MS. NAVES: I'm Liliana Naves an in a Division
6	of Subsistence of Fish & Game. My recollection
7	after discussion at the harvest committee is that
8	the final report, the data will be always report at
9	the region level, unless geographic cooperation is
10	not enough to allow for estimates at the region
11	level. So if data is good for a certain
12	subregional, but not for the whole region, that
13	could be reported at subregional level on those
14	cases.
15	For Bering Straits, have very good cooperation
16	there should not be an issue for that. (Inaudible)
17	just some regions to be most like regions that
18	are broken down several subregions and the,
19	sometimes cooperation is insufficient (inaudible).
20	MR. ALCORN: Let me make a statement. My
21	observation of the utility of the harvest survey
22	report, we, the council, is charged, when you read
23	the treaty protocol amendment and then the
24	transmittal language, the council is charged with

establishing regulations that do not increase

1	harvest over over relative to the population
2	levels of the birds. So that's our charge. And we
3	designed a survey to answer that fundamental
4	question.
5	Is that an accurate statement?
6	MR. OSTRNAD: Yes.
7	MR. ALCORN: So given that statement, given the
8	proposed amendment to the report, does it detract
9	from us answering that request if we're asked?
10	MS. NAVES: Having data at the subregional
11	level would allow more specific, for instance,
12	outreach activities, instead of spreading efforts
13	over all the regions, go at subregional level. In
14	some cases this may be (inaudible) and some not.
15	So if we have data at the region level and is a
16	large region, for instance, Y-K Delta or Interior,
17	that are regions that have a number of subregions
18	and they are larger areas. Maybe more difficult to
19	pinpoint where to concentrate, insert those efforts
20	But on the other hand, the original estimates give
21	the overall picture.
22	From how the calculation is done, the when
23	all the subregions were surveyed, the regional
24	estimate is the sum of the subregions. So it's ver
25	simple, as from the harvest estimate. It would be

1	different for the confidence intervals, because the
2	confidence intervals account for variation between,
3	among all the subregions. But for the numbers, if
4	all the subregions are assembled it's just the sum
5	of them.
6	MR. ALCORN: So what I'm hearing you say, then,
7	is that with the proposed amendment, that it doesn't
8	detract from us answering the charge that we're
9	given as a council?
10	MS. NAVES: I don't think so. The council and
11	the harvest subcommittee may ask for subregion
12	estimates that is needed.
13	What do you think of this, Bill?
14	MR. OSTRAND: Well, the dated
15	MS. TAHBONE: I was just going to say that it's
16	analyzed by subregion, but as far as reporting, it's
17	reporting as one total.
18	MR. OSTRAND: What I was going to say is if we
19	report it by region to the external entities that
20	want to look at the data, we always have, as the
21	partners in the ownership of the data, we always
22	have the option to look at the data by the
23	subregions, if we choose.
24	MR. ALCORN: Okay. So we have a motion and we

have a recommended amendment and an offered

1	amendment. I guess we need to ask the original
2	originator of the motion and the seconder if they
3	consider that a friendly amendment, they'll consent
4	to that amendment.
5	MS. CHYTHLOOK: I consent.
6	MR. SQUARTSOFF: I do, too, Mr. Chair.
7	MR. ALCORN: We have a motion and a second.
8	It's been amended to report this harvest, 2007
9	harvest survey report with the amended amendment as
10	stated, I'm not going to restate it.
11	But are we ready to call the question?
12	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Question, Mr. Chair.
13	MR. ALCORN: Hearing no opposition to call the
14	question, I'll ask is anyone opposed to the motion
15	as stated and amended?
16	I have opposition.
17	MR. PEDERSON: Uh-huh. I'm I don't know how
18	you guys want to do it, but I am not going to
19	representing our region, I can't approve that
20	recommendation, because our region hasn't not yet
21	met to go over this. We got this thing in
22	mid-February, I think. And our next meeting ain't
23	going to be until end of May or early June.
24	MR. ALCORN: Okay.

Sandy?

1	-	MS. TAHBUNE: Could we do a phone pole, or
2	2	something of that nature? I mean, after they do
3	3	theirs and if they or what would would that
4	1	work?
5	5	MR. PEDERSON: The other thing I think you can
6	ō	do, though, is couldn't you submit the report
7	7	without the North Slope data?
8	3	MR. ALCORN: I suppose that's an option. You
g	)	can offer that as an amendment, an amendment to the
10	)	motion.
11	_	I call the question now, and so I think under
12	2	Robert's Rules, if I've called the question and you
13	3	have someone that opposes it, the motion fails. So
14	1	we would need a motion, the motion would be in orde
15	5	to consider the harvest survey committee report and
16	ō	recommendation.
17	7	So do I have a motion?
18	3	MR. PEDERSON: I'll make a motion to with
19	)	council to adopt the 2007 harvest survey data
20	)	excluding the North Slope.
21	_	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Second.
22	<u>&gt;</u>	MR. ALCORN: Okay. I have a motion and second.
23	3	But now do I have a friendly amendment? I think
24	1	we're going to get there.

MS. TAHBONE: I'd like to amend the motion to --

1	I forgot the I'm losing my
2	MR. ALCORN: To report the
3	MS. TAHBONE: To report the Bering Strait sum
4	data as one total, combined total tabled, combined
5	total.
6	MR. ALCORN: Is the proposed amendment agreeable
7	to the maker of the motion and the seconder?
8	MR. PEDERSON: Yes.
9	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Yes.
10	MR. ALCORN: We have a motion made to approve
11	the 2007 harvest survey report, excluding the North
12	Slope data, and amending the final report to include
13	regional level reported data for the Kawerak region.
14	Are we ready to call the question? I think I'm
15	going ask for discussion.
16	MS. TAHBONE: Discussion, yep.
17	MR. ALCORN: Fred?
18	MR. ARMSTRONG: The principal reason why we
19	managed to get the harvest survey results approved
20	by the council is because other organizations, such
21	as Pacific Flyway, need our data. And as soon as
22	the council approves it, we can send them down
23	there. North Slope is an important part of this
24	whole process.
25	MR. PEDERSON: What I can commit to do is after

```
1
            we leave, I can send an e-mail to all of you guys
 2
            reporting our regional council's action. But this
 3
            is an agenda item for us at our next meeting.
 4
                MR. ALCORN: When is that scheduled?
                MR. PEDERSON: End of May, early June.
 5
 6
                (Simultaneous speaking.)
 7
                MR. ALCORN: So do we -- do you want to offer
            that as an amendment then, to the motion, to say
 8
 9
            that once the North Slope, presumably, if they
10
            approve the report as final, that it would be
            appended to our final report?
11
12
                MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: Yes.
13
                MR. ALCORN: Okay.
                Is that agreeable to the first amendment maker
14
            and the two originators?
15
                MR. SQUARTSOFF: Yes.
16
17
                MR. ALCORN: Okay. We have agreement. I'm not
18
            going to restate it.
                Question's been called for.
19
20
                MS. TAHBONE: Is that just on the amendment or
            are we still in discussion?
21
                MR. ALCORN: We're still in discussion.
22
23
                Sandy?
                MS. TAHBONE: There was some -- I don't know how
24
```

to describe it, but regarding the report as it's

```
1
           presented with the Alaska Department of Fish and
           Game Division of Subsistence right at the top in the
 2
 3
           state's emblem, right at the top, I think it would
           be, you know, I know they put the report together,
 5
           but it just looks like it's their report, where I'm
            thinking it's our report, this council's report. Am
 7
           I correct? Whose report is this?
                MR. ALCORN: Well, that's a good question. They
 8
           do this under contract.
 9
               MS. TAHBONE: But it's for us.
10
                MR. ALCORN: For the U.S. Fish & Wildlife
11
12
            Service for the AMBCC, correct.
13
                MS. TAHBONE: We adopt it as our report.
                MR. ALCORN: Technically it's our report.
14
                MS. TAHBONE: So I would like to see, however,
15
16
            it would be -- you know, the work was done by them,
17
           but it looks like it's their report. And I think it
           should be -- it -- when I look at it, or when people
18
19
            look at it, they should view it as our report. I
20
            don't know if it's just a, you know, move that
21
           around or whatever's --
22
                MR. ALCORN: I'm not going to amend the motion.
23
           That's a friendly suggestion.
               MS. TAHBONE: Yeah.
24
```

MR. ALCORN: Okay. And we're still in

1	discussion, so let me I think I see a hand from
2	Liliana, I'll ask her to address that statement.
3	MS. NAVES: Liliana Naves, Fish and Game.
4	Sandy, I completely agree with you there. Cannot
5	emphasize enough how they did the data collection
6	process, the results, this is AMBCC results. AMBCC
7	adopted, and sometimes people approach the state as
8	your results. And I make the point there of putting
9	my name there, because I prepared those results so
10	if people have questions that they know to whom
11	direct.
12	I just have the standard cover for reports and I
13	should have paid more attention to that. But again
14	this is AMBCC data. It's AMBCC survey materials,
15	it's not something that's from the state.
16	MR. ALCORN: So we can fix that?
17	MS. NAVES: Oh, easily.
18	MR. ALCORN: Okay.
19	Mike?
20	MR. PEDERSON: Couldn't we just use this thing
21	as a cover of the report? It has all of our things,
22	including the Fish and Game thing.
23	MS. NAVES: Yep, uh-huh.

MR. ALCORN: Let's -- I think we can fix that,

without having to spend more time on the record to

Τ	tnat.
2	I have to call the question in a sequence here.
3	The first question I need to call, is anyone opposed
4	to the second amendment that Patty offered, which
5	would be to append the final report to include North
6	Slope information, presumably once it's approved by
7	the North Slope committee? Anyone oppose that
8	amendment?
9	Hearing none, the motion is amended the second
10	time.
11	The first amendment was to report the Kawerak
12	region at the region level, not the subregion level.
13	Anyone opposed to that amendment?
14	Hearing none, motion as originally stated is
15	amended now twice to report this or to adopt this
16	as a council final report with those amendments as
17	stated.
18	Does anyone oppose the motion? All right.
19	Seeing none, motion carries. Thank you all.
20	We have one more committee report. Hang with
21	me, one more committee report and then we will
22	break.
23	Herman?
24	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Mr. Chair, sweet and short.
25	The SOP committee, we tried to meet twice to

2.4

1	establish a quorum. We couldn't do the charge that
2	was given to us by the AMBCC council. But Sandy
3	might have a little bit to add to it.

MS. TAHBONE: We were charged to -- I'll just make it sweet. I know you can tell by my jerky language this time of our meetings is usually where we're just so tired.

We did have a work session. We had a work session on February and then we also had a work session on Monday. And both times, like Herman said, we did not have a quorum. So the committee still needs to meet and we need to establish a quorum in order to take care of our business.

We did have some really good discussion within our work sessions, though. And one of the things that was brought out was each of our standing committees needs to be -- how does it state it within our -- the chair or the council by resolution adopted by the majority of the council may establish other standing committees whose -- it's my resolution, and within that resolution the committees need to clearly state the committees' purpose, duration and proposed membership.

And so we were wondering, we were saying, you know, where is the resolution that establishes the

1	SOP that would kind of, you know, I think there's
2	only like one or two committees that have
3	resolutions. So that's one of the things that we
4	think each of the committees need to put together,
5	is exactly what their purpose is.
6	And also one of the things that we were charged
7	with was for bylaws, dealing with bylaws. And I
8	would like to see a resolution because the SOP
9	doesn't have a resolution, stating its purpose. If
10	we could establish a bylaw and standard operating
11	procedures committee to combine those. So I'm not
12	sure if you wanted me to go through some of the
13	other things that were raised or that we discussed.
14	I don't I mean everybody's pretty tired.
15	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Mr. Chair, I've got one more.
16	I did find the floppy disk that my alternate, we
17	worked on it about four or five years ago. But it
18	seems to be kind of like a little outdated thing.
19	And it's got to go with one of the computers that we
20	had back then. We've got a lot of material on it,
21	but I don't think we're going to be able to use it.
22	So
23	MR. ALCORN: Well, with the committee not having
24	a quorum, I just would consider this report good

advice and information, but not a bonafide

1	recommendation. So I don't know that we could take
2	action on it right now.
3	Thank you. And I am a member of that committee,
4	I will apologize, I've missed portions of both of
5	those meetings and will try to commit to attending
6	those when the chair schedules another meeting.
7	Sandy?
8	MS. TAHBONE: I would like to we have a lot
9	of good information where I think we could develop a
10	good agenda for the next committee meeting so we
11	make some progress.
12	MR. ALCORN: Thank you for your report.
13	Joeneal?
14	MR. HICKS: Yes, Mr. Chair.
15	Sandy, if you might also explain that there was
16	a letter sent out
17	MS. TAHBONE: Oh, yeah. There was at the
18	this was brought up at the where the at our
19	Dillingham meeting there was directive to send out a
20	letter to the partners. And it came up at the so
21	did that ever get
22	MR. PEDERSON: We got it.
23	MR. ALCORN: I found I'll explain it without
24	airing too much dirty laundry, I found we were

remiss in not getting that out. We developed it.

1	It was not sent. We did, after you pointed that
2	out, and then I went, oh, you know, the light bulb
3	went off, or went on, whichever way you want to look
4	at it. I had an epiphany and I didn't recall
5	sending it out or signing the letter.
6	So we looked and found them. They had been
7	finalized and printed, but not signed and
8	distributed. So I believe we did sign those and
9	send those out shortly after our meeting in was
10	that January when we met? When we met in my office
11	a couple, three months ago, so but we did send
12	those letters out.
13	You said you got one, Mike?
14	MR. PEDERSON: We got ours.
15	MR. ALCORN: We sent Mike one.
16	MS. TAHBONE: I haven't seen one yet.
17	MR. ARMSTRONG: Mr. Chair?
18	MR. ALCORN: Fred?
19	MR. ARMSTRONG: I think they were sent out, but
20	I think some of them went to the wrong address. The
21	addresses weren't updated.
22	MR. ALCORN: Right.
23	Joeneal.

MR. HICKS: Like the letter to CRA was the wrong

24

25

address.

1	MR. ALCORN. We II try to rectify that and
2	resend those letters if need be.
3	MR. PEDERSON: I remember getting it because our
4	grants department people in my office, they were
5	confused about it. And I had to just say all it
6	says is that if you don't return this agreement
7	within a certain time of frame, that the money
8	obligated to the partner organization is going to be
9	made available
10	MR. ALCORN: That's a different letter. The
11	letter that I'm that Sandy's referring to is a
12	letter that we agreed to write from the chair that
13	expressed appreciation for participation from the
14	regions and expressed a desire to have the regions
15	that have not been regularly represented here to be
16	more consistent
17	MR. PEDERSON: I'm sorry. I never got it.
18	MS. TAHBONE: It was a motion to encourage
19	participation in the council.
20	MR. ALCORN: Correct. So I will commit
21	personally to ride Fred to make sure that it gets
22	done.
23	All right. I apologize for that.
24	MR. SQUARTSOFF: If it don't happen, Mr. Chair,

you won't see me here at the next meeting.

1	MR. ALCORN: All right. That concludes our
2	reports to the committees. We're going to recess
3	until 3:30. And we'll take up the final items, 13
4	through 18 when we come back at 3:30 The earlier we
5	restart, the quicker we get out.
6	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken, after
7	which the following proceedings were had:)
8	MR. ALCORN: I'll ask you all to take your seats
9	once again.
10	We're down to item 13, invitation for public
11	comment. We had a lot of good interaction and I'm
12	going to invite this lady to the table, but I forget
13	your name, so you'll have to introduce yourself for
14	the record.
15	MS. STICKWAN: My name is Gloria Stickwan. I
16	just wanted to say something about the duck stamp.
17	I didn't get a chance to, or I was too slow or
18	something earlier. But in our area we don't have a
19	fall hunt under the feds since August, so I don't
20	understand why we have to have a state stamp and a
21	federal stamp, because it ends in August. So I
22	don't think we really need to have, to purchase a
23	duck stamp.
24	And I think that, you know, the duck stamp I
25	understand goes for habitat to, I understand that's

1	what it's for. I'm not against that; I'm for that,
2	but can't the U.S. Fish & Wildlife get funding for
3	that to, I don't know, to enhance habitats for ducks
4	instead of us having to buy a duck stamp.

You know, we have other customary traditional fishing that we don't have to buy a fishing license. And this whole Migratory Bird was set up so that we could have a hunt. And it seems like we're being, you know, being treated like the state and that we have to buy a duck stamp. And we're being -- I'm just opposed to the duck stamp and that funding could be pursued through elsewhere by the U.S. Fish & Wildlife.

And I think AFN would be -- resolution could be brought up by somebody on co-management to have a resolution by AFN saying that they're, you know, legislation should be sought by AFN and this group, that they do away with the duck stamp requirement. Because it's getting really expensive to go out hunting. You have to have money to get gear and shells and a good gun. And, you know, it's expensive to go out hunting.

So -- then if we could do away with the duck stamp, it would help. And with the economy the way it is, more people are going to be hurt by the

2	what I understand in reading the papers, that
3	it's that it's going to be an added burden for
4	people to buy duck stamps.
5	And I know \$15 doesn't sound like a lot of
6	money, but to some people that's food on the table
7	or paying the bills. I mean, that's how bad it is
8	in our area. Some people, they live with different
9	families because they don't have their own place.
10	There's hardship going on in rural Alaska in my
11	area. Even, you know so that's what I'm
12	that's what I'm trying to say, is that, you know, is
13	we can do away with the duck stamp, that will be
14	good.
15	And I used to serve on the co-management council
16	as one of the I served with Herm over there when

economy. I'm sure it's going to hit Alaska, from

And I used to serve on the co-management council as one of the -- I served with Herm over there when we first started. And I know what you're going through, some of the issues like the duck stamp was brought up when I was on the council. I commend you guys for keep going on. And I think you're forming a lot of committees and that's good, the work you guys are doing.

MR. ALCORN: Thank you. Appreciate it.

24 Herman?

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. SQUARTSOFF: I'd like to respond to you on

Τ	that. In my region we're going to start working
2	with our legislators to try to eliminate the federal
3	duck stamp and also the state license and state duck
4	stamp. So we're going to start working on that.
5	Hopefully we'll have something going on at next
6	year, because we're going to get down to the wire
7	where we're having the written citation this year.
8	The following year it's going to be a citation. So
9	I'm going to hopefully try to avoid that.
10	And I follow you on the expense. That 15, \$20
11	for them stamps, could be used for fuel and
12	ammunition also. You know, like you said, it
13	doesn't sound like much, but that's a lot money that
14	will help pay for that. So thank you for your
15	considerations on that.
16	MR. ALCORN: Thank you, Gloria.
17	Are there any other comments from the audience?
18	Oh, I have Frank.
19	MR. WOODS: I got to be the public.
20	MR. ALCORN: Maybe we ought to just give you a
21	seat at the table.
22	MR. WOODS: I tell you what, this is the most
23	interesting process I've ever seen in my life. I
24	was pointing out earlier in the yesterday or two
25	days ago when it started, it's it's great to see

1	the state and the leas and, you know, tribar
2	entities in a co-management agreement and using
3	ANILCA and the laws that pertain to the resource
4	management working at its finest.
5	The methods and means that we use for
6	subsistence at the omnibus bill that Obama put in
7	and CFR 50.1099 in April 1st is going to turn into
8	50 CR 1040 on April 15th. That's the rule.
9	MR. ALCORN: All right.
10	MR. WOODS: The federal rule, I wanted to throw
11	something in there that, all the stuff, the
12	enforcement and the racial profiling and and our
13	means of how we subsist and live and Jonny,
14	asked, you know, God bless him, he's one of the few
15	traditionalists that we can actually listen to.
16	I'm more the I live in both worlds,
17	basically. I think that I was in a generation
18	where, for the Native people I could say, they went
19	through the heartburn, my grandparents were born and
20	raised traditionally in a house and they seen the
21	first sailing ship come in. They've seen the
22	federal government come in and start managing the
23	resources. They've seen the development of
24	statehood.
25	And then now this day and age we have dual

management in the state of Alaska. And this group
has kind of broken all them barriers in looking at,
yeah, but we don't have a dual management, we're all
sitting at the same table and welcome to the
show. And, you know, it's good to see Tanana Chiefs
at the table, that we have representation from the
Interior that we haven't had in the last couple
years.

The one thing I'd like it push is I just read through your proposal and deliberation protocol.

Majority, yeah, you guys know that and I spoke on it earlier on in the process as for a normal person coming off the street, we haven't had too many, I don't see too many public — thank God we just had a lady come in here and speak on the duck stamp issue. But from the public looking outside in, this is a growing organization. We have to develop them enforcement rules. We have to develop the protocol to change policy and we have to do an EIS and a NEPA process to incorporate all that change.

I thank you for being really fair, Doug. I've seen you, you know, in the last couple years you've been ungodly fair.

MR. ALCORN: Get that on the record, would you.

MR. WOODS: But I haven't been in an argument

4	1 1 1				-		1
1	with	vou	vet,	SO		don't	know

But I just wanted to point out that as just a public person coming off the street and watching this process, you know, it's really interesting that we are taking address and taking our own initiative to take our own Native stance forward, say this is how we live, this is what we want and actually getting our needs met. Because a lot regions they don't have that process. Let's put this way, we're the representation of our bigger villages, that where the smaller villages, I see a big need for education, you know. And getting them engaged in this process, because they're actually leaving it up to us when they're actually doing the subsisting and harvesting. And I guess that's our job.

Education is huge. We seen a presentation last year from Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge, Peter and Jonny. We have the least amount conflict in our region for enforcement to education, as much issues that come up, we still have that engagement process where you don't have the polarized, you know, sides, which is sometimes counterproductive.

But I'd like to caution you on the enforcement issue. I agree with Randy. I appreciate the enforcement committee working. I'd like to be a

part of that, because number one, as someone that works with state troopers, God bless their souls, they deal with the most negative impacts of all social ills in the world. Every time I deal with them on a search and rescue level, I have to educate -- every new state trooper, I have to educate him on our region. And it is region by region.

You know, number one, we have to introduce each other. Like what Sandy had said, if you meet with the leaders, if you meet with the, you know, get to know them, and at least they'll know who they're talking to. That process is real important. And enforcement, you know, they have their job. But as public, it's -- we don't want to get the, you know, the racial discrimination in play. And we don't -- we want to keep our own.

And that's why, as a Native person sitting on search and rescue committees, I make it a point to go and introduce myself to get that person, you know, because what they deal with is a whole different level of reality than the average person has to deal with. And as someone that has to deal with enforcing the law and pushing it to the limit, you know, they don't -- they kind of, you know, they

1	have a little bit of little bit of disadvantage
2	of becoming a local on a local level. So that
3	engagement is really huge.
4	I'd like to be a part of that. And I'd like
5	what not Myron, but Sky answered my question, we
6	want it approved by region about education outreach
7	So with that, I'll shut up and let you finish your
8	meeting so we can all go home.
9	MR. ALCORN: Thank you, Frank.
10	Anyone else from the audience that would like t
11	address the council? I see no one.
12	We're going to move down to committee
13	appointments and assignments. The committee the
14	list of committees is under, I believe it's tab 10.
15	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Mr. Chair? We did go over the
16	committee assignments in September in Dillingham,
17	but we did do a quick change right here with our
18	Native group. I know you guys have to do changes,
19	too, the ADF&G and all
20	MR. ALCORN: Why don't we go through them very
21	quickly. We can add names, it's never been a
22	contentious issue. We can add names and invite as
23	many partners to participate.
24	Let's just go, technical committee?

MS. CHYTHLOOK: Add Patty. And I think we're

1	deleting Tim Andrew.
2	MR. ALCORN: He's asked that his name be
3	removed, okay.
4	MS. TAHBONE: Did he request to be removed?
5	MR. ALCORN: That's what I'm asking.
6	MR. SQUARTSOFF: No. But we put Patty in his
7	place, because the reason being, Mr. Chair, he
8	hasn't been coming, attending the meetings, so.
9	MR. ALCORN: I guess I am inclined to, if's he
10	inclined to be involved, I'm inclined to leave his
11	name on there, and let him make that decision.
12	Frankly, we need as much help as we can get on this.
13	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Mr. Chair, we normally do that
14	with the Native caucus and pick our people, so
15	that's what we basically did. We've done that.
16	MS. CHYTHLOOK: Harvest survey committee, the
17	only change we're going to need is the state under
18	Tom Rothe.
19	Standard C standard, operating committee,
20	again Tim Andrew is deleted and then you'll probably
21	insert under Tom Rothe. And everybody else is okay.
22	Then D, long-term goals and objectives, we just
23	need a replacement for Tom there.
24	Flyway Council is the same. Emperor Goose
25	Management Plan Committee is the same.

1	Exclusive Committee is the same.
2	Harvest limitation is the same.
3	Invitation yeah, invitation committee, Tom
4	Rothe needs to be replaced.
5	Outreach committee, Tom Rothe needs to be
6	replaced.
7	And law enforcement, we've added Randy Mayo.
8	And then Budget Ad Hoc Committee is the same.
9	MR. ALCORN: Okay. Did the state have any
10	additions or retractions?
11	MR. RABE: Well, I guess I want to just make a
12	statement. I'm not going to ask to have any names
13	changed on any of these at this point in time. But
14	to put it on the record, that because of a number of
15	new people representing positions here from the
16	state and discussions that I've had, we feel it
17	would be both useful and necessary to have internal
18	discussions about representations on these various
19	committees.
20	And so until we have a chance to meet and
21	discuss some of those things, and it would be
22	helpful if we had the charge of each one of these, a
23	description, if that is available so that for
24	myself and others, it may not be as familiar to be
25	able to fully understand what each of these

1	committees is intended to do. And then I would be
2	willing to commit, if we want before the next
3	meeting, to provide a means when those decisions can
4	be made or we can discuss it at the next meeting,
5	whichever is most appropriate.
6	MR. ALCORN: Let me ask staff, ask Fred, is
7	there any synopsis of the charges given to the
8	council?
9	MR. ARMSTRONG: I don't know. I'll check with
10	all the chairs.
11	MR. ALCORN: Okay. We'll canvass the chairs and
12	see what we have. Some of these committees, if I
13	recall, kind of evolved out of discussions of the
14	council and sort of created them. So they might
15	have to go back and pull that from the actual
16	record.
17	Sandy?
18	MS. TAHBONE: As I stated within our SOP report,
19	our bylaws require the committees to be formed via
20	resolution, which within that resolution states the
21	purpose, function, life, duration, makeup. And I
22	believe those have not been done. So it's what I
23	was requesting that each committee draft, and
24	whatever information staff can provide, why they

were formed, what was the intent of their formation

```
1 and forward that information on to the committee so
```

- it would help them in drafting a resolution.
- 3 MR. SQUARTSOFF: We did have a couple more
- 4 changes we forgot. Molly's got them.
- 5 MS. CHYTHLOOK: Under invitation committee,
- 6 Randy Mayo, scratching off TCC -- well, Randy's
- 7 going to -- and under Budget ad hoc committee, Randy
- 8 Mayo; scratching off Mike Smith.
- 9 MR. SOUARTSOFF: That's it.
- 10 MR. ALCORN: All right. Okay. There's no
- motion needed for adoption of that.
- 12 Eric?
- MR. TAYLOR: Eric Taylor with Fish & Wildlife
- 14 Service.
- Mr. Chairman, Russ Oates asked that I replace
- him on the technical committee for Fish & Wildlife
- 17 Service.
- 18 MR. ALCORN: Okay. Great. Thanks. All right.
- 19 MR. SQUARTSOFF: One more thing, Mr. Chair, I'd
- 20 like to put Bill Ostrand on all the committees so he
- 21 won't have to leave.
- MR. ALCORN: He's not even here.
- MR. SQUARTSOFF: He's right over there.
- MR. ALCORN: Do you accept?
- MR. OSTRAND: I have to respectfully decline.

1	MR. ALCORN: Well, we are down to council
2	comments. And we open the floor for comments from
3	the council chair to close the meeting out. And
4	I will open the floor. Anyone have any
5	Sandy?
6	MS. TAHBONE: If you recall, I didn't have my
7	assistant with me and I was unable to carry my
8	report with me, so I requested that I give my
9	regional report during comments.
10	MR. ALCORN: I don't recall that, but I believe
11	you.
12	MS. TAHBONE: I'll just do it really briefly,
13	hopefully.
14	Like I stated earlier, the Bering Strait
15	Migratory Bird Council met April 1st and 2nd in
16	Nome. And one of the things that we asked of our
17	council members is to provide us with their
18	observations, and it's an observation and report.
19	So at the November meeting we had some
20	discussion on that and we were trying to develop a
21	tool that would be able to provide useful
22	information for management purposes. And what we're
23	looking at is information wherein we've had some
24	real brief discussions with Russ about this, that
25	was just really brief during the meeting.

1	What we're trying to do is we want to get out of
2	the anecdotal, quote/unquote, and get into how we
3	can utilize traditional local observation knowledge
4	with western science. So we're trying to develop a
5	tool. So hopefully we'll be able to come up with
6	that within it's going to take some drafting, but
7	we'll we're really excited about it.
8	Bill Ostrand came on behalf of this council and
9	the work that we did and he did an excellent job.
10	We made him stay for the whole duration and we're
11	really glad he did, because he was able to answer
12	quite a few of the councils on questions.
13	We also had reports given by Russ and Eric.
14	They attended we had a telephonic presentation,
15	they e-mailed the PowerPoint up and so I was
16	clicking as they were talking. And that worked out
17	really great. So if you need to cut some costs, so
18	in the future we would request that all
19	presentations be given telephonically and that you
20	pass those savings onto us no.
21	MR. ALCORN: That's a great recommendation.
22	MS. TAHBONE: We invited the law enforcement to
23	our meeting. And Steve was originally going to
24	come, but in his stead with Mt. Redoubt and well,
25	that's the excuse he used anyway. But Mike Wade was

in his stead. He's our local law enforcement and he
did a great job. He did a really good job. And he
was there for probably ninety percent of the
meeting. And he provided a lot of really good,
useful information.

So I really encourage the rest of the councils to invite law enforcement. We did not have state law enforcement there, but we will invite them at our next meeting. We currently have three bird programs going on at Kawerak. We've got, of course, our MBCC agreement and we're offering a stamp program through the MPRB. And we also do the avian influenza sampling. We'll be doing that again this spring.

We're looking to pull on two more projects, the Yellow-billed Loons ethnographic and also the data collection. So we're in the works for that. We have yet to sign the agreement for that.

The issues that the council's been working on is a gun safety program. And we're looking, we've started work with Alaska Department of Fish and Game with their program to get that going. And we're continuing to work the lead shot issue. The council did consider a recommendation from staff regarding submitting a state proposal to the one similar, if

1	not exactly the same, that the North Slope Borough
2	did to ban the use of lead shot in Unit 22, but we
3	need to there needs to be a little bit more
4	detail. So staff is working on the proposal for
5	council consideration at their November meeting.
6	We still continue to work on the duck stamp
7	requirements. I won't go into that. Everybody
8	knows what's going on there. And also the license
9	requirements. And there was much discussion
10	regarding dealing with two sets of regulations on
11	law enforcement policies. And I won't go further
12	into that, that's already been discussed pretty
13	much.
14	And education outreach, that was one thing that
15	we didn't get a chance to have any real discussion.
16	It was later on on our agenda and, just like now,
17	how we tend to start rushing, people have planes to
18	catch, what have you. But we will be looking at a
19	comprehensive education outreach program that's
20	going to work best in our communities.
21	Because when you when I travel out to our
22	villages, there is a lot of education outreach on
23	the walls, lot of posters, you know. And a lot of

it they just get, like, lost. So it's like what's

going to work? And each community is different how

24

1	they respond to information. So that will be on our
2	agenda next week. And that concludes my report
3	our next meeting is scheduled for November 17 18,
4	I believe.
5	MR. ALCORN: Okay.
6	Any other council comments? Randy?
7	MR. MAYO: Yes, Mr. Chair, I just wanted to say
8	a couple of words here being the new member here
9	that I guess I must have stepped out of the room and
10	I was volunteered for this. But I'm looking forward
11	to working with the group and during this process
12	more and more, so I learned a whole lot here in the
13	last couple of days, and I look forward to working
14	with everybody.
15	MR. ALCORN: Thank you.
16	Dale?
17	MR. RABE: I basically follow in Randy's
18	expression of being a new member and want to say
19	that how enjoyable this meeting has been and how
20	excited I am to be part of this group and to
21	continue to participate and the patience everybody
22	has shown me as I get up to speed on a lot of these
23	topics and learn a lot of history of the
24	organization and things like that. I look forward
25	to the next meeting, and hopefully a long

1	association with the group.
2	MR. ALCORN: Let me say that I appreciate the
3	collegiality that I sense that we are building here
4	in this group. It's been I've been involved for
5	over 8 years with the council and I think that we
6	are, as as many groups go through, sort of the
7	forming and the storming stages, and then I think
8	we're at the performing level. And I think I'm
9	heartened by the level of cooperation and the,
10	frankly, the level of preparedness that every
11	regional member brings to the table.
12	I really appreciate Randy being here from TCC
13	and I appreciate Dale, the two new members being
14	here, the fact that you've persevered two long days
15	in your seats and this has got to be a real, you
16	know, "drinking from the firehose" experience. I
17	appreciate both of your insights and comments.
18	With that, I think that Herman said he would
19	like to have the last oh, two more hands. I'm
20	sorry.
21	Molly?
22	MS. CHYTHLOOK: I just want to thank everybody
23	that's participated here. But, you know, there's
24	that comment behind every good man is a good woman,

but I think behind this council we have good staff

and that's why our that's why there's even
though we've had struggles and kind of nitpicked
each other, we've always come out positive. But I
just want to thank the people like, you know, her
with her laptop there, recording, and the people
that sit along the sidelines, Donna, she's quiet and
she sits along the side, but she she's
contributed a lot to this council.

And then Bill, we're going miss him when he leaves. And we're -- we hope that we'll get somebody as good as he's been working for this council. And that's the kind of person that we want, is somebody that will support and understand and work with this -- this council, because without that, without that support, this council is not going to work as well.

And I want to thank the agencies here, both the federal and the state, because when -- when everybody works together and everybody's at the table, even though, you know, you're sitting off the side there, and you're able to answer -- or you're able to dialogue between my understand -- my misunderstanding and your understanding and vice versa and work those problems out, if we are able to go home with a clear mind to go back to our region

1	to express what we understood from this meeting to
2	our regional council and then our regional council,
3	try to make sure that they will understand and
4	then and then they would be able to go back to
5	their communities to explain.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And with the law enforcement, with each one of our -- or each time we have a regional council meeting, we make an effort to invite the law enforcement, because in the past, historically, when our law enforcements were called game wardens, they were -- they were not appreciated. They were misunderstood on both sides. But now that they're able to come to our council meeting, explain themselves, explain what they do, it makes a better dialogue and understanding between our council and the public that are attending our meetings. And they not only are invited to our regional meeting, but I think that, like the RACs and the advisory councils make an effort to invite them because they're, I guess the most misunderstood, because they were -- they've -- in our region it might be different in the other regions, but in our region, they were the most hated, most misunderstood people because of what they did at Togiak Round Island. And they -- I think because regional council making

1	an effort to work with them to invite them, it's
2	made it easier for us and the people to understand
3	them. And I appreciate Togiak National Refuge, Paul
4	Liedberg that manages the council or the Togiak
5	Refuge, because he is very misunderstanding or
6	not he's very understanding to the people over
7	there. And that's the kind of people that we really
8	appreciate in our areas. Thank you.
9	MR. ALCORN: Thank you, Molly.
10	Patty, you had your hand raised?
11	MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: Yeah, speaking as a old
12	council member, we've come through developing the
13	bylaws to what we are today, and we've come a long
14	way. And what I like about this council is that
15	it's kind of still an evolving process. And we can
16	change we still have the ability and authority to
17	change things that aren't working. I think at this
18	meeting we've seen some things that aren't working
19	as well as they could, not necessarily that we have
20	to change the policy, but maybe the process. And it
21	opens the door for more communication between, just
22	not only the technical people and the regional
23	management bodies, but the law enforcement. I mean
24	make it more a holistic inclusion, you know,
25	including as many people as you think are going to

1	be affected by some of these decisions that we make
2	and some of the proposals that are submitted so
3	that I think we can see now that it's a benefit
4	that, you know, we're finding out there's more
5	people out there that's being affected by the
6	decisions that we make. And more people out there
7	are seeing the decisions we make that affect them.
8	And that's part of the growth process, I think.
9	I learned a lot at this meeting this time. It
10	wasn't business as usual, it didn't seem to me. It
11	seems like there's a lot more doors that have been
12	opened these past couple days than there have been
13	in the past, and that's exciting.
14	MR. ALCORN: Thank you.
15	Joeneal?
16	MR. HICKS: Yes, I want to say thank you for all
17	the staff, the AMBCC. Good luck in becoming a
18	Texan.
19	But anyway, as you all go your own separate
20	ways, the summer or spring season is right upon us
21	and we're also I have been told anyhow, and I'm
22	sure others have been, but they're also expecting a
23	very, very hot summer season, so as you go your
24	separate ways be careful of fire out there, you
25	know, the word is safety. So just be careful.

```
1
            Thank you.
 2
               MR. ALCORN: Thanks, Joeneal.
 3
               All right. See no more hands.
 4
                Oh, Peter?
                MR. DEVINE: Thanks, Mr. Chair. Sorry I wasn't
 5
            here this afternoon, but I was over learning another
 7
            important aspect of this. I got to go over and
            judge the goose calendar. Well, it was already
 8
 9
            scheduled, so I couldn't leave them with one judge
10
            less, so I had to go.
                But the winner for the literature was a
11
12
            6th-grader from Kokhanok, last name Odimond
13
            (phonetic). And then for the front page for the
            poster was a 6th grader from King Cove. So -- so it
14
            was pretty exciting to see all the art, you know,
15
            that was submitted. And, you know, it's pretty
16
17
            amazing. Some of them, K through 6th graders did
            better than the 12th graders, so I don't know who
18
19
            was helping who.
20
                Seeing as how we're this far along on the
21
            agenda, I take it all the proposals passed easily.
                MR. ALCORN: Well, we tabled and deferred some,
22
23
            but we got through them.
               MR. DEVINE: Okay.
24
```

Yeah, thanks, you know, to all the council

members and participation from the audience and
staff. I started this, must have been five years
ago, and there was so much tension in this room that
we couldn't even look at each other. Now, I mean,
it's become a much better atmosphere, I think,
because we're not coming to the table and just
shooting our mouths off, we're coming and, you know,
giving good dialogue and recognizing how each group
and each region is, you know, stayed alive so long.
So thank you.
MR. ALCORN: Thank you, Peter. We appreciate
you working on the calendar panel as well. That's

an important function.

I'm going to turn it over to my friend Herman, who is probably the longest standing member of the council.

MR. SQUARTSOFF: In that case, Mr. Chairman, I will resign. I'd like to also appreciate the council members, just about everybody here had a show of hands and showed up and I really appreciate Randy coming in now for TCC, it's great to have a rep from there. It's been awhile and we've been missing you guys and wanting to have somebody here. So it's great. Same with Dale, having Dale come in as, by next meeting he won't be a new member, he'll

be an old member. So that will be like what 5, 6
months down the line.

3 MR. RABE: The honeymoon is over.

2.4

MR. SQUARTSOFF: Sorry, Dale. I'll be becoming chair at the fall meeting, at the end of the fall meeting and you'll be my right-hand man after that.

So just pay attention to what I say and it will go well.

But -- and I also want to thank, like, everybody else, the staff members, Donna, Bill. I mean, they've been doing a tremendous job for us here, and Fred. And I don't know if Doug's been tweaking any arms, or anything like that, but they've been doing a great job. And, like I say, we hate to see Bill leave. I'd like to have him on every committee so he doesn't have to go. But he has reasons that he needs to move down to Texas, so we're going to really miss him a lot.

I also want to thank Bob over there again. He does a great job for us, you know, in the Flyway Council meetings and SRC on the tech committee meeting. He does a tremendous job for us. You know, Patty can vouch for me on that, I believe Mike can now. And the rest of you staff out there. And I apologize if I offended any of you that weren't

1	really well prepared for this meeting, some of the
2	stuff I shot at you really quick. I kind of take
3	advantage of that when things like that happen, so
4	just remember me on the next meeting, and it
5	probably won't happen. But I really appreciate it.
6	But on a good note, we do have a presentation we
7	want to give here to a couple of people, so if you
8	guys don't mind, I am going to go ahead and do that
9	now.
10	If I could have Bill come over in the middle
11	with me. Bill, if you don't mind.
12	Since since we don't have a power
13	presentation, maybe we can have you stand on this
14	table. We'd like to present this to you, Bill, on
15	behalf of all the work you did for the AMBCC, we got
16	a gift for you and a card on behalf of all the
17	Native working groups and the AMBCC Council. So we
18	really appreciate the work you have been doing for
19	us. Like I say, we're going really miss you.
20	MR. OSTRAND: Well, thanks.
21	MR. SQUARTSOFF: There was an extra box of
22	donuts up there, I was going to give them to you,
23	but I see they're down on the table.
24	We appreciate the job you've been doing for us

and a job well done. Hopefully we'll have somebody

2.4

1	to	be	able	to	fit	your	big	shoes	that	you've	been
2	doi	ng.									

MR. OSTRAND: Thank you. I've been here since the beginning of the council and the last several years I've been mentally preparing my farewell address to the council, but I am going to another Fish & Wildlife job. I do want to thank the Native members of the council. I've learned a great deal from all of you and the others that sat there at this council, as well it's been a privilege to learn about your cultures and to get to know you personally. Thank you.

MR. SQUARTSOFF: Thank you, Bill.

We have one more for, I don't know if Patty
wants to help me on this one or not. Tom Rothe's
not here. I guess he retired for some reason, I
don't know why, I don't remember him retiring unless
he sent everybody an e-mail that I didn't get to
see. But we also got a gift for him and a card.
And I asked Lillian if she can go ahead and give it
to him when he gets back from Hawaii trip. So maybe
Lillian can come up and get that for him, if she
doesn't mind.

He's done a tremendous job for us throughout the years, too, and all the technical stuff he did for

1	the committee here, not only here being on the tech
2	committee, Flyway Council all that and then
3	answering questions down in SRC with the tech
4	committee. So Tom's done a great job for us, too,
5	especially with the geese and all that. So we
6	really appreciate the job he did. I wish he was
7	here.
8	MR. RABE: Herman, what I can tell you is that
9	when I had to get up and leave here during our last
10	session, it was Tom calling, and then I chatted with
11	him during our last break and he said to wish
12	everybody well that's here and he went so far as to
13	say he wished he were here, but he was sitting under
14	a palm tree with a Mai Tai so I don't know whether
15	we should really believe him.
16	MR. SQUARTSOFF: I'm going to give the gift and
17	card to Lillian.
18	MR. ALCORN: That takes us to item 16, staff
19	comments. Are there any comments from Fred, Donna
20	or Bill?
21	MS. DEWHURST: Fred wanted me just to report on
22	the grants and that Southeast Alaska has not signed
23	their grant agreement this year and the Maniilaq is
24	on year three of not signing their grant agreement.
25	So everybody else has signed and cleared and

1	everything els	e, those	are t	two problem	children	for
2	this year.					
		_				

3 MR. ALCORN: Any other staff comments?
4 Fred?

MR. ARMSTRONG: In closing I'd like to thank
Bill publicly for the work he's done for us, he's
carried a load, quite a bit as we lose staff
members, him and Donna have been able to pick the
load up and keep things moving. And now that he's
gone, we're down to just two. And we're going to
have even more work contracts, harvest surveys and
they'll have to bear with us. We should have at
least five people working this program, we're down
to two. Some things will get done, some won't, they
will get done, but not as timely as you expect them.
I can guarantee you that, like the letter that was
supposed to be sent out. I was tasked with another
job, focused on that and things kind of fell
through.

It's important to -- that the council keep in mind that what you do here, the work you do here really translate into Federal Register notices or regulations, also can be proud of that. This gets nationwide attention and it's really good to be a part of a group that does work like this and work

1	with so many different cultures. And three distinct
2	groups working together as well as we do here is
3	really surprising, because five years ago the three
4	groups sitting in a room together would just be
5	there to argue. But it's just the opposite here.
6	And I'm really thankful that you guys have put a lot
7	of effort into making it a reality. That's about
8	the end of my comments, Mr. Chair.
9	MR. ALCORN: Thank you.
10	We are down to item 17 where we look at the
11	calendar, which is back behind tab 10, very last
12	page in your binder. We need to set a date for our
13	fall meeting; date, location. And that is either
14	typically in the month of September or October. So
15	I would leave it open to you all to look at your
16	personal calendars to see if there are times that
17	you might want to recommend.
18	MS. TAHBONE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to invite
19	the AMBCC to the Bering Strait region for their fall
20	meeting.
21	MR. ALCORN: To Nome?
22	MS. TAHBONE: In Nome, Alaska.
23	MR. ALCORN: Do you have a date?
24	MS. TAHBONE: No.

MR. ALCORN: The week of September 28 --

```
1
               MS. TAHBONE: Let me make a quick phone call
 2
           here.
 3
               MR. ALCORN: It's open for me. Anybody have a
 4
            conflict on that date? Monday would be a travel
           day, that's the 27th -- 28th.
 5
 6
               MS. TAHBONE: Of September?
 7
               MR. ALCORN: Meeting dates would be the
           workshop, presumably the 29th and the actual meeting
 8
 9
            on Wednesday and possibly Thursday, the 30th and the
           1st of October.
10
               MS. CHYTHLOOK: I second Sandy's motion.
11
12
               MS. TAHBONE: I'm going to make sure the room's
13
           available. I'll find a place for us to meet.
               MR. ALCORN: Those dates are okay?
14
               MS. TAHBONE: Yeah.
15
               MR. ALCORN: Is Nome okay with everybody?
16
               MS. CHYTHLOOK: Yeah.
17
               MR. ALCORN: Is it winter? All right. We have
18
            an offer to host us the week of the 28th.
19
20
               MS. CHYTHLOOK: I thought she made a motion.
21
               MR. SQUARTSOFF: No.
               MR. ALCORN: We don't need a motion, just agree
22
23
           to it.
               MR. RABE: Did you say the 29th would be a
24
```

25

workshop?

1	MR. ALCORN: The 28th is typically our travel
2	day. We usually precede our meeting with a
3	workshop, a half day workshop. That would be the
4	29th. So that allows some people to travel on
5	Tuesday morning. We would schedule 1:00 to 5:00
6	workshop, which is to sort of go over the agenda,
7	have discussions off the record, interact with
8	staff. The second day of the meeting, the actual
9	first day of the full meeting would be September
10	30th, Wednesday followed by, depending on the
11	agenda, it would need a second day. The agenda
12	would carry over to October 1st and either that
13	afternoon or evening of travel or Friday evening
14	travel.
15	MS. TAHBONE: I just booked the room, booked the
16	boardroom.
17	MR. SQUARTSOFF: Mr. Chair, I want to, since

MR. SQUARTSOFF: Mr. Chair, I want to, since
Sandy beat me to the jump, I want to make an offer
already for next year, I was hoping it would happen
in Kodiak and we would meet on the 8th of October,
that way we'll be able to do a fall hunt and moose
hunt at the same time. Everybody here can see
exactly what's happening. Keep that thought in
mind. I'm dead serious, it's not a joke. First day
you can be out there hunting.

1	MR. ALCORN: So I believe we have consensus on
2	that date and location, Nome the week of the 28th of
3	September. We'll ask staff to make those
4	arrangements, coordinate with Sandy, thank her to
5	offering to host us. The actual meeting dates would
6	be the 29th half day, Wednesday the 30th and October
7	the 1st.
8	There is one additional date we might want to
9	discuss today, but I won't force it, that is we did
10	talk about hosting a workshop to discuss options for
11	fall and winter subsistence regulations and the
12	processes by which we would do that and coordinate
13	with Pacific Flyway Council and SRC. I have a
14	couple of weeks that I would like to propose, and
15	that let me propose these dates for the workshop
16	simply because my fall schedules are very tight.
17	But the week of August 24th or August 31st, those
18	two weeks. That's a Monday, it would be some time
19	during that week or the week of the 24th of August.
20	There's a two-week window that I have available.
21	MR. SQUARTSOFF: I can't on either, Mr. Chair.
22	I've got clients. I am I know I need to be here
23	at that workshop.
24	MR. ALCORN: Well, all right. Let's do this,
25	then, I don't know what other dates to offer as

1	alternates.
2	MR. HICKS: In July?
3	MR. ALCORN: July might work. Let me do this,
4	let me let Fred kind of vet that, you all give your
5	dates of availability, general dates that you would
6	prefer and we'll try to schedule something. But
7	e-mail your dates, when you have an opportunity, to
8	Fred. If Fred doesn't get them from you in the next
9	week or two, I'll ask him to remind you, but we'll
10	begin to try to coordinate that some time in July,
11	September, October. We need to get that done so
12	that we can kind of fit into the regulation cycle
13	for December.
14	MS. CHYTHLOOK: Why don't you have Fred e-mail
15	us the dates.
16	MR. ALCORN: Proposed dates?
17	MS. CHYTHLOOK: Proposed dates.
18	MR. ALCORN: Okay. Fred, we'll have you e-mail
19	a suite of proposed dates, three or four weeks that
20	might work and we'll see which ones fit best.
21	MR. HICKS: Motion to adjourn.
22	MR. ALCORN: That leaves us with one last item
23	and that's to adjourn. This meeting adjourned at
24	4:25 p.m.

(Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned)

1	
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
3	
4	
5	I, Britney E. Dudley, Court Reporter, hereby
6	certify:
7	That I am a Court Reporter for Arctic Court
8	Reporters, LLC and Notary Public in and for the State of
9	Alaska at large. I certify Hereby that the forgoing
10	transcript is a true and correct transcript of said
11	proceedings taken before me at the time and place stated
12	in the caption therein.
13	I further certify that I am not of counsel to
14	either of the parties hereto or otherwise interested in
15	said cause.
16	In witness whereof, I hereunto set my hand and
17	affix my official seal this 29th day of April, 2009.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	BRITNEY E. DUDLEY, RPR
23	Notary Public - State of Alaska My Commission Expires 4/25/2011
24	Thy Committee on Dapites 4/20/2011