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(On record)
CHAIRMAN DALE: Welcome to the Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council. My name is Bruce Dale. We're going to get started here this morning. First, before our moment of silence I'd like to turn it over to Cyrus Harris.
MR. HARRIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'd like to dedicate this moment of silence to a wellrespected elder from our community Raymond Stoney, who just passed yesterday morning. He spent 30 years of services with the agencies through commissions, comanagement councils, Western Arctic Caribou Working Group meetings and I'm sure there are a fair amount of agencies here that did recognize his name. I practically got him out of retirement to be a part of the elder rep for the Northwest Arctic region representative for migratory bird meetings.
I ask for a moment of silence for our village representative. Thank you.
(Moment of silence)
CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you, Cyrus. I need to remind everyone this morning to use your microphones. If you don't have one in front of you and you wish to speak, try and get to one. The way the room is laid out we don't have a speaker. We're not going to be able to capture the record if we don't use our microphone. So we'll all help each other accomplish that because it's easy to forget.
This is the first meeting of the AMBCC that I've attended without Pete Probasco in attendance. I think the smart money is that he's out hunting right now and enjoying life. I haven't seen him lately, but I heard he's playing a lot of hockey and he's doing a lot of stuff outside and enjoying life. I mean he was a great influence on this board, a pillar of strength and calm and reason, I think, and really appreciate his service on this council.
The first thing we need to do this
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (No response) |
| 2 |  |
| 3 | MS. SCHWALENBERG: She may be coming. |
| 4 | Maniilaq Association. |
| 5 |  |
| 6 | MR. HARRIS: Here. |
| 7 |  |
| 8 | MS. SCHWALENBERG: North Slope Borough. |
| 9 |  |
| 10 | MS. HEPA: Here. |
| 11 |  |
| 12 | MS. SCHWALENBERG: Tanana Chiefs |
| 13 | Conference. |
| 14 |  |
| 15 | (No response) |
| 16 |  |
| 17 | MS. SCHWALENBERG: Chaaiy is probably |
| 18 | on his way. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | DR. TAYLOR: Present. |
| 21 |  |
| 22 | MS. SCHWALENBERG: Alaska Department of |
| 23 | Fish and Game. |
| 24 |  |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN DALE: Present. |
| 26 | MS. SCHWALENBERG: Mr. Chairman, we |
| 27 |  |
| 28 | have a quorum. |
| 29 |  |
| 30 | CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you, Patty. I |
| 31 | will start off with introductions. Just so we're not |
| 32 | in a rut we'll go to my right first. I'm Bruce Dale. |
| 33 | I'm the Director for the Division of Wildlife |
| 34 | Conservation for the Alaska Department of Fish and Game |
| 35 | and I'm chairing this year. |
| 36 |  |
| 37 | DR. TAYLOR: Good morning. I'm Eric |
| 38 | Taylor. I'm with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service |
| 39 | Migratory Bird Management Program. |
| 40 |  |
| 41 | MR. WHITE: Good morning. Roland |
| 42 | White, AVCP. A rep from that region. |
| 43 |  |
| 44 | MR. HARRIS: Good morning. Cyrus |
| 45 | Harris, Maniilaq Association, Kotzebue. |
| 46 |  |
| 47 | MR. PEDERSON: Good morning. Mike |
| 48 | Pederson, alternate and staff for North Slope Borough |
| 49 | to Taqulik from Utqiagvik. |
| 50 |  |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. FAGERSTROM: Jack Fagerstrom |
| 2 | representing Kawerak. |
| 3 |  |
| 4 | MS. STICKWAN: Gloria Stickwan, |
| 5 | alternate for Roy Ewan, AIRTC. |
| 6 |  |
| 7 | MS. SMELCER: Shirley Smelcer. I'm |
| 8 | with Ahtna Intertribal Resource and I'm happy to be |
| 9 | here. Good morning. |
| 10 |  |
| 11 | MR. PETRUSHKA: Claude Petrushka with |
| 12 | Tanana Chiefs. |
| 13 |  |
| 14 | MS. HEPA: Good morning. Taqulik Hepa, |
| 15 | North Slope Borough rep from Utqiagvik. |
| 16 |  |
| 17 | MR. DEVINE: I'm Peter Devine, Jr. |
| 18 | Aleutian/Pribilof rep. |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | MS. SCHWALENBERG: Patty Schwalenberg, |
| 21 | AMBCC. |
| 22 |  |
| 23 | MS. HOSETH: Gayla Hoseth, Bristol Bay |
| 24 | Native Association, Dillingham. |
| 25 |  |
| 26 | MS. PADULA: Veronica Padula, staff |
| 27 | member of St. Paul Island. |
| 28 |  |
| 29 | MR. DANIELS: Bryan Daniels, Yukon |
| 30 | Delta National Wildiife Refuge. |
| 31 |  |
| 32 | MR. SANDERS: Good morning. Todd |
| 33 | Sanders, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Pacific Flyway |
| 34 | representative. |
| 35 |  |
| 36 | MS. NU: Jennifer Nu, member of the |
| 37 | public and freelance writer. |
| 38 |  |
| 39 | DR. FALL: Jim Fall. Division of |
| 40 | Subsistence, Alaska Department of Fish and Game. |
| 41 |  |
| 42 | MS. KEATING: Jackie Keating, Division |
| 43 | of Subsistence. Thanks for having us. |
| 44 |  |
| 45 | MS. NAVES: I'm Lili Naves. I work for |
| 46 | Division of Subsistence and I coordinate the survey |
| 47 | program for the AMBCC. Jackie is the new subsistence |
| 48 | resources specialist for the division. She worked on |
| 49 | the diversity of projects. She also worked on bird- |
| 50 |  |



```
CHAIRMAN DALE: All right. So we're going to put swans under \(12(\mathrm{~b})\), future regulatory proposals. Patty.
MS. SCHWALENBERG: Can we also add invitation to that same section. There's some issues we wanted to add to that regulation or talk about.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Which?
MS. SCHWALENBERG: The future potential regulatory proposals.
CHAIRMAN DALE: What else did you want to add there?
MS. SCHWALENBERG: Invitation.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Invitation? Oh, okay. All right.
```

MS. HOSETH: Mr. Chair. We want to discuss an apology from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and maybe we can add that under other business.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Okay. We'll make that 12(c) apology. Any other changes to the agenda proposed.
(No comments)

MS. HEPA: Mr. Chair. I move to approve the agenda with the additions.

CHAIRMAN DALE: It's been moved.

MR. WHITE: Second.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Seconded. Any objection to the amended agenda.
(No objections)
CHAIRMAN DALE: So moved. Okay. We're going to take time for public comments now. Anybody that would like to make comments sit down on the end there next to Gloria, push the button and we'll hear your comments. Please keep them to a reasonable length.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (No comments) |
| 2 |  |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN DALE: Okay. If anybody |
| 4 | changes their mind, we'll get back to that. Then |
| 5 | adoption of Council action items from the April 2017 |
| 6 | meeting. Patty. |
| 7 |  |
| 8 | MS. SCHWALENBERG: Mr. Chairman. The |
| 9 | action items are included under tab 1 and this is a |
| 10 | list of the motions that were made during the meeting |
| 11 | and after we accept these action items I'll give a |
| 12 | short report on what we've been doing since the spring |
| 13 | meeting. |
| 14 |  |
| 15 | MR. DEVINE: Mr. Chair. I move to |
| 16 | adopt the motion items. |
| 17 |  |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN DALE: We've got a motion. Do |
| 19 | we have a second. |
| 20 |  |
| 21 | MS. HOSETH: Second. |
| 22 |  |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN DALE: And a second. Is there |
| 24 | any objection to adopting the action items from the |
| 25 | April 2017 meeting. |
| 26 |  |
| 27 | (No objections) |
| 28 |  |
| 29 | CHAIRMAN DALE: Hearing no objections, |
| 30 | so moved. |
| 31 |  |
| 32 | MS. SCHWALENBERG: Thank you, Mr. |
| 33 | Chairman. In relation to the action items that you had |
| 34 | just adopted, the Kodiak Road Subcommittee has been |
| 35 | working on the three proposals. They're gathering |
| 36 | additional data to bring that back to the Council |
| 37 | hopefully next spring. We did write a letter in |
| 38 | opposition to the expansion of the shellfish farm in |
| 39 | Humboldt Bay, California. |
| 40 |  |
| 41 | And the Audubon Society has been |
| 42 | keeping in close contact with us on those developments |
| 43 | and they are still looking for a Federally recognized |
| 44 | tribe in Alaska who uses Brant to request tribal |
| 45 | consultation with the Corps of Engineers. So if any of |
| 46 | the Council members has a tribe that would be |
| 47 | interested in doing so, please contact me. |
| 48 |  |
| 49 | There was a motion to assign the |
| 50 |  |

1 Outreach and Education Committee to review the public 2 regulations booklet and that is on our radar although 3 the work has not begun on that yet, so we're hoping to 4 have maybe a draft by the spring meeting for that.

1 the Handicraft Committee, will be reporting on a little later in the meeting that they're interested in assisting us with, but again we would prefer to work through the AMBCC regulatory process to resolve any issues that weren't addressed in the first regulation. So that's it for my report.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you for that report, Patty. So now we'll move to regional reports and we'll start on my left with Gayla.

MS. HOSETH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. We weren't able to have our fall meeting and we kind of ran out of funding with our budget with what was allowed for us with the high cost of travel within our region and we're going to be talking at our spring meeting about having one face-to-face meeting a year and do a couple teleconferences throughout the year. I know other regions are doing that. I did talk to my chairman about that and then we'll probably have a teleconference when it comes time for the call for proposals in between November and December.

I did hear from a village elder out of Togiak and he was so thankful to finally have an opportunity to hunt Emperor Geese and he was very thankful for the work that $A M B C C$ has been working on to allow that hunt. I think he said that he got five Emperor Geese that he was able to share with his family in the village of Togiak. So that was really nice to hear the feedback of that for people not being able to hunt for so many years.

What I'll do is the information that we have here that we gathered here during this meeting when we have our teleconference I'll share all the updated information with our regional council. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you, Gayla. Any questions for Gayla.
(No comments)
CHAIRMAN DALE: Okay. Peter, are you
ready?

1

MR. DEVINE: I'm ready. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Sorry to report, but we have not had a meeting for our fall period either, but we have our village seminar meetings coming up next month. I have a spot reserved in there to try and get more RMB members, but we're having trouble in our region because, you know, once the school shuts down people move.

So in the last couple years we've had three villages, you know, just pretty much fold up. But I am seeking partners so we can get this winter hunt schedule together. I know we've done this in the past where we set up a schedule or a timeframe that we wanted for the winter hunt. I mean it was -- I forget how many years back. I'm probably going to have to dig deep in the closet. In the past we've done that, but I'm trying to get them all back on board, you know. Let them know that we're still pursuing a winter hunt.

Even though they're not -- there was no participation in the spring hunt for Emperor Geese because they're not in our region, but $I$ know a lot of guys are real appreciative of being allowed this and I mean they're gearing up for the sport hunt. An amazing amount of money that they're putting in to just go get one goose.

That's all I got.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Any questions for
Peter.
(No comments)
CHAIRMAN DALE: How did culture camp
go?
MR. DEVINE: Culture camp was pretty good. We had a good time. Izembek sent some people over for the first time, so we've been doing our camp for 18 years. I think they were there for the first couple and then kind of went away because -- they were sending people from Homer, but Izembek actually came in and -- I mean the guy that was there I mean he was great. I mean the kids liked him. I mean he wasn't Orville, but a good replacement.

CHAIRMAN DALE: All right. Thank you.

Taqulik.

MS. HEPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So we held our regional management body meeting in Point Hope, Alaska September 12th and 13th. This is what I heard from our advisors. This year -- and this is out of the meeting. They're hunters from Barrow. But people or hunters that hunted migratory birds noted that geese and other birds were about a week and a half earlier. Although we did have a cold spring and the spring went a lot later than recent years, which was good, so we had better access to them.

For the summer they're noticing that the birds are staying later, in particular the geese. It seems like they're staging near the communities and taking off. Like there's some that are now staying like in the community, like outside people's houses, and that was pretty much unheard of until several years ago.

I guess they just knew that if they were going to come near the communities that they would be harvested. I don't know if it's because of where they're spending their winters and with more people that they're becoming accustomed to our people because growing up it was very rare to see geese within our communities.

So that was noted and that they are staying longer because it's been a very wet and warm fall and summer. So the geese are still hanging around and they should be gone. Even small birds are staying around.

The other comment that we heard from, I think it was one of the Barrow reps, he was talking about red phalaropes in particular. Growing up and being around the North Slope that we would see huge flocks of them gathering, you know, flying or in the water. Now we don't see -- they're not -- it was notable that there aren't as many. That was another concern that we did hear. These continue to be notably larger. There seems to be a lot more, so spring hunting was very good.

While we were in Point Hope there was people traveling down the coast for their evening ride and they did report that there was some dead birds that

1 they saw. We had our subsistence assistant from -- or 2 research assistant from Point Hope and our research 3 biologist/veterinarian go down and travel and they 4 collected some samples, but she saw about 100 dead

1 after the closure. I know that there is -- I believe
that there was a clause within the regulations where we
could call the Regional Director or someone to help
change the dates depending on the conditions. But that
was one thing that was brought up within the
communities.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Peter.
MR. DEVINE: Mr. Chair. Taqulik just brought up something. On the bird die-off, the same as last year. I mean it's not -- we're not seeing it on the Pacific side. It's only on the Bering Sea side. South villages aren't seeing any of that.

CHAIRMAN DALE: On the south are you still getting debris washing up?

MR. DEVINE: I haven't seen any.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Thanks, Peter. Claude, did you want to give an update from Tanana Chiefs?

MR. PETRUSHKA: I'm not prepared for doing that.

CHAIRMAN DALE: All right. When Chaaiy comes back we'll see if he wants to. So we'll jump over to Gloria for TRC.

MS. STICKWAN: We didn't have our fall meeting either and we plan to have a migratory birds camp next spring. One of the concerns we have is the budget being reduced down to 8.5 percent it's going to reduce our budget. We would like to see our budget increased to $\$ 65,000$ and $I$ submitted a copy to Gayla and Patty. Part of my position will be paid out of this. I submitted this. Hopefully copies will be made, but we wanted $\$ 65,000$ for our budget. That $\$ 14,000$ won't cut it for us because we'd have an increase.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you. Any questions for Gloria. Gayla.

MS. HOSETH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. It's not a question for Gloria, it's just a comment regarding our budget. As we do look at our budgets, I think it was at our spring meeting that we were to come up with our wish list budget of what we would like to

1 have in our region. As everybody does know the cost of 2 travel is very expensive in a lot of our areas to get 3 from the villages to the hub communities. So as we 4 work on our grant cycle you guys just keep that in mind. Even if we have the wish list, we could have the information of what it really would cost for us to have a good successful migratory bird program in our regions.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you, Gayla.
Jack.
MR. FAGERSTROM: Good morning. Like several of you we didn't have our fall meeting and that kind of defeats the purpose I think. We're here to put input from our people and it's kind of sad that it's lack of funding.

Some observations from my village personally. Blankety blank swans. I'm going to keep bringing that up every year. Brant, seems to me they're getting less and less. They're not the numbers that we used to see growing up. Unusual bird sighting, maybe just a shrike. A blood-thirsty little bird. Kind of reminds me of a swan. Going back to it, Patty said earlier about the community that utilizes Brants. I think my community would like to have a consultation.

The bird die-off Brandon can fill in a little more on that. There were like five birds people encountered on the way to fish camp and on the way to gather driftwood from the beach.

Our berries, you know birds eat a lot of berries, and there was a marked lack of blackberries. Everything else kind of was adequate, but blackberries. Ducks and geese feed on them quite a bit and are a source of food that they're not able to access. The reasoning behind that is all the storm surges we get. Every year we get a 10 to 12 foot storm surge and blackberries grow right next to the beach, so they get inundated every year and after a few years of that you pretty much kill the plant.

That was about it on my side. If Brandon can fill in on the bird die-off, I'd appreciate it.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Brandon.

MR. AHMASUK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Like Jack was saying in our region we had a bird dieoff Shishmaref down to St. Michael, Stebbins. It was happening pretty much from Spring until about two, three weeks ago. I think one of the communities that saw the most was Gambell. I think their count was 523 dead birds. All different species; fulmars, kittiwakes, puffins, lots of birds. I don't know that I need to get into too much more than that. There's going to be a flyer in the binder that everybody is going to review later. If you have any questions, I'll try to answer them.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Questions for Brandon or Jack. Eric.

DR. TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Assuming the meeting is going to go on until Friday, which I think it will, Robb Kaler from our seabird section is going to come and address the group relative to the die-off. I know Robb has worked closely with Brandon and Gay Sheffield from Kawerak and UAA. So Robb will provide the group additional summaries of the numbers of birds that are estimated to have died off, the species, and then findings of the U.S. Geological Survey, National Wildlife Health Center, in terms of what their findings were. So we'll have that report tomorrow.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you, Eric.
MR. ALBERT: Quyana, Mr. Chair. I have a question for both or all three, Jack, Brandon and Taqulik if they can answer the question. Regarding the shipping lane, we know it was implemented within this past year and with the amount of ships that have started going up and down this lane have you guys seen any effect to these seabirds on their behavior and/or have you guys seen more birds being pushed out from the shore away from these areas?

CHAIRMAN DALE: Brandon.
MR. AHMASUK: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
Just for Nome -- I mean, yes, we do get shipping traffic. I say kind of centralized, you know, directly in and out of Nome. Most of the traffic is far out to

1 sea. We never see it. I couldn't say whether or not if it was actually affecting our birds or not.

MS. HEPA: Thank you for the question. For the North Slope I haven't heard of any noticeable changes from that. I know with the seabirds, like the shearwaters and the fulmars, that they're more abundant in the fall time during the fall storms. They like to fish and some of the like gulls and stuff. But that's the time of the year when we see them coming in. Some years we don't see them, but it all depends on the conditions.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Brandon.

MR. AHMASUK: Actually that does remind me. So there's -- I'm trying to remember the name of the cruise line. I think it's the Crystal Serenity Cruise Line. Some of their passengers pay in the hundreds of thousands to get on this ship. What they're promised by this ship is that they'll see wildlife. Some of the wildlife that they're promised to see is walrus, birds, whatnot. The marine mammal -that's a little different subject, but what the cruise line is promising them, we'll get you as close as possible to bird colonies.

But my question is, is there an actual observer on there. How do they know they're not getting too close? How do they know they're not actually bothering these birds on the various islands. I think it was reported that they were by St. Lawrence Island, but $I$ know $S t$. Lawrence Island has some type of agreement where pretty much no ships, unless they're going directly to the island for supplies and whatnot, they're not supposed to be anywhere near the island. Anyway, that was a concern, the cruise ships getting closer than they should.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Peter.
MR. DEVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
With this northern shipping route coming open, the planning part of it I'm not involved with that, but if you have a seat at that table you can change the route. As far as your question on monitoring, they are monitored. I mean it's pretty amazing with the vessel monitoring system. You can get an online report.

I mean it's almost like -- okay, for instance coastal navigation which operates freight line between Seattle and Sand Point. I mean you can get on your computer and know exactly where that boat is. The same as they're tracking airplanes and stuff. But back when the planning started, you know, in our region they recognized that there were some sensitive places that these ships were passing.

The first year when it opened I mean it looked like spaghetti. I mean wires going all different directions. But after raising issues of concern and stuff like that I mean you could see this from the spaghetti to the next year was right in line. I mean they all came together. They are being real good team players. I mean they're listening to what our recommendations are and they're following them.

With the vessel monitoring system I mean it's almost like you can know which vessel violates the lane. I don't know if we could talk and have Coast Guard impose fines if they violate it, you know, if it's not -- if they go out of the shipping lane deal, it has to be life in jeopardy or something, you know, to seek shelter. But it's being monitored really good.

That's all I have.
CHAIRMAN DALE: It sounds like that might be a pretty good option. I would imagine that a company like a cruise ship would want to be pretty sensitive and wouldn't want a lot of controversy. So if there was a dialogue with them, we could probably make sure that they weren't disturbing things.

MR. AHMASUK: Mr. Chair. I'm going to disagree a little bit. I brought up the walrus. The same cruise ship the first year they were going up -- I don't know if they did it this last year, but the first year they were going up -- basically the response we got back was we don't care. We're going to get as close as we want to with the walrus because our passengers paid good money to see walrus. I believe one passenger even said I want to see a walrus before they're all gone. So that was the mentality. So anyway.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you.

MR. PEDERSON: I just want to add to Taqulik's report about our regional management body. A lot of the issues that we discuss there are covered under old and new business on our agenda for today and tomorrow. So we did talk about our survey results and stuff like that, the Board of Game proposals and other issues relating to migratory birds that we're dealing with along with AMBCC.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you, Mike.
Taqulik.

MS. HEPA: And I did forget to mention just following the comments around the room that we hold one meeting a year and we try to get out to a different village each year. I know that we're supposed to hold two meetings, but because of the cost of airfare and to get everyone to the outlying communities. Because education and outreach on this co-management council is very important to our hunters, our people want to understand what it means, but you need to be in the communities to do that.

Unfortunately, with the funding that is made available we can only do one meeting. So we choose to have our meeting in the fall time because spring and summer is very active for our subsistence hunting activities and $I$ just wanted to put that on the record.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you. We'll move on to Cyrus.

MR. HARRIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The Northwest Arctic Region Migratory Bird Advisory Council met by teleconference on August 29, 2017 this fall. All members of the council were in attendance in addition to Patty and Brittany Anderson, Erin Nelson from Maniilaq's environmental health program, Brittany Sweeney from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Refuge.

Subsistence hunting seems to have gone smoothly in the region this year. There were no reports of problems, issues or unusual sightings from council members. Bird die-off seen in the Bering Straits were not seen in the Northern Seward Peninsula. I just got word from Brandon that Deering had one

1 sighting after our meeting, but there were really no sightings around our area or no sightings reported.

The council members were not aware of any subsistence harvest of Emperor Geese in the region, although there were a few sightings in coastal locations. Both myself and Brittany from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service worked on outreach this year to get bird hunting information out to tribes and people seemed happy with the information they have been receiving.

Patty presented updates on AMBCC issues or topics. The Council remained neutral on issues in other areas, but there were comments in support of Kodiak's tribal members being able to meet their needs for birds.

Issues of interest in the Northwest Arctic Council included funding and budget increases to allow for two annual meetings here as well as Regional Council members to participate perhaps on a rotating basis and statewide AMBCC meetings. In other words, you know, for the lack of funding and the amount of work that it takes to keep these meetings going in our regions that 14.8 for Maniilaq is just not quite cutting it.

There was some interest from our regional reps if they can participate to at least get a birds-eye view of these fall and spring meetings that take place here. If there were funding available for that, that would -- you know, they show us high interest into this $A M B C C$ meeting and also our regional meetings.

Current level of funding isn't adequate for the high travel and lodging costs in our region. In 2017, one in-person meeting used most of the funds allowing for only a teleconference call in the fall. In person meetings have a higher level of engagement.

There continues to be interest in getting the avian first responders training for individuals in the Northwest Arctic, so we shared that information with the folks. There is interest for folks be heading up that way, but where would that funding come from. Perhaps you could -- Eric could tap into that 4 -point-odd millions of dollars you spoke of
yesterday.

Council members enjoyed the Alaska Migratory Bird calendar and support the continuation of this program. The other notes are my meeting minutes here.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you, Cyrus. Any questions for Cyrus. Gayla.

MS. HOSETH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I guess it's just a comment again. It would be good if we could get some of our regional representatives to come to this meeting so that we do have public at our meeting since this is a public meeting. I think we only have two public members that stated were present here and it's always agency around us.

MS. SCHWALENBERG: They sent two TCC reps.

MS. HOSETH: Oh, okay. Nice. So I mean we do have some, but I know that we were able to bring our chairman $I$ think two years ago when we did have leftover funding available for travel. So if we can -- I know that the budget is always a concern, but finding out that we only get 6 percent for all of our regions for the state of Alaska for what is coming through to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is a concern and it would be good to have our regional reps. Not all of them, but just if we could bring at least one of them or two of them from our regions to attend this public meeting.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Cyrus.
MR. HARRIS: Yeah, I'd like to add a little bit onto that one. You know, after we had our meetings there was another meeting that took place that took funding away from the 14.8 from Maniilaq. Well, actually it never took it away, but it went in the useful manner of attending one of the meetings for Emperor Goose discussions at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's offices.

So, with that said, I didn't have
enough funds to get the regional folks together and yet

1 alone I didn't have any more monies to attend this meeting to where the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service refuge in Kotzebue did find some additional monies that were not used to allow me to attend this meeting.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you both. It sounds like money is pretty tight. Roland.

MR. WHITE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. We had our meeting last Friday and it was a day meeting. In the previous years before Jennifer and I got on board we noticed that they used to have two-day meetings, but like everyone our budget is tight so we only have enough funding for a day meeting during spring and fall.

We were lucky enough to have this meeting inline with our executive board meeting with AVCP. That's the main reason we had everybody present during the meeting. But we didn't have much discussion around topics, but here and there people would throw little items such as the swan concern for the fall hunting.

As noted yesterday, we only have three allocated per permit in our region as well as the Kawerak and people up there. We were wondering if by any chance that could be lifted so the bag limit can increase and/or no more bag limits for swans. The main concern behind that is that these swans generally scare of other smaller birds away from their natural habitat and cause them to move to a different location.

The other thing we discussed and was a big concern with the request of Fish and Wildlife Service activities during roundup for tagging their birds was the use of choppers to round birds up. We were informed for the past 30 years they haven't been using choppers due to the noise level and they were hoping that we'd come to an agreement that they try this coming year with a chopper or helicopter to round up these flightless birds so that they can be tagged, but with deliberation we ruled out that we're not going to do that due to the loudness of the choppers scaring the birds off and whatnot after seeing a photo of those Snow Geese that were rounded up in Canada area.

```
    1
CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you, Roland. Anybody want to speak to that issue or a question or comment for Roland. Eric.
DR. TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Roland, \(I\) can't cite any specific data relative to this year in terms of birds thinner, less fat when they were migrating fall, but typically, you know, birds go through a molt and a flightless period in late summer and that's a very stressful time periods for birds. They use much of their fat reserves. Some ways they actually use some of their protein. Actually their pectoral or breast muscles actually decrease during that time period. Birds that are raising young with broods also go with the flightless and same thing. They use their fat and protein reserves.
So if I had to guess, birds that are migrating right after that molt period are going to be thinner than they might be during the springtime when they arrive. But your point about the berries is a good one in the sense that once they complete molt and they start flying they often go to areas to stage to replenish those fat reserves and if those berries weren't available, I mean you're absolutely right, those birds could come through thinner than what they do normally.
That's just a comment.
MR. WHITE: Thank you. Over the years before \(I\) got on board I would go out hunting during fall for cacklers and just recently for white-fronts. This is right after they're staging, like a few weeks after or a couple weeks after they start staging. Normally right before they leave they have thicker fat than they arrived, right before they leave, and there hasn't been or these hunters haven't seen any fat whatsoever on these birds and that was my concern.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Cyrus.
MR. HARRIS: I've got a question for Roland. How about Snow Geese population in your area? I mean do you see a large amount there like we did?
MR. WHITE: In the past, before my time, my late mother would tell stories of Snow Geese being abundant in our area, but we hardly see Snow

1 Geese. Here and there we'll see a handful, but down in 2 Scammon Bay/Hooper Bay region they usually see a lot of 3 Snow Geese.
I don't know what's going on in their region, but he
told me that their staging area during fall has been moved somehow by these birds and he was thinking because a lot of people started going out hunting in that area with the use of four-wheelers. And he mentioned a few times he saw low-flying planes around the staging area and he was wondering if those lowflying planes and the four-wheelers are causing these birds to move to a different location.

MR. HARRIS: Thank you, Roland. The reason I ask I guess is the abundance of the Snow Geese really take a lot of the habitat that we hear on some of these other reports.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Are you seeing more up in your area?

MR. HARRIS: Very much, yeah, during spring migration and then we're seeing unusual fall migration or staging stopping areas.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Eric, would those be Wrangell Island birds?

DR. TAYLOR: They could be Wrangell Island birds. They also could be birds from the North Slope that are migrating south. I was going to ask Taqulik in your observations. I know in fact we had a specific meeting with the North Slope Borough, USGS, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fish and Wildlife Service relative to our concern, the agency's concern as well as others about the increase of Snow Geese on the Arctic Coastal Plain.

Cyrus mentioned that Greater Snow Geese, for example, in Canada have increased to the point where they're actually destroying habitat and affecting other species, particularly shorebirds and other species of waterfowl. Julian Fischer will give a report here later today on Snow Geese and their population increase on the Arctic Coastal Plain.

> Taqulik, in terms of observations of

1 hunters on the North Slope, are folks seeing more Snow 2 Geese or no?
year, but throughout this last year we did do some
outreach through our newsletter and I think it was
talked about on the radio talk show encouraging people
to hunt more Snow Geese. But I think the biggest
challenge that we have -- and they want to help -- is
access because the Snow Geese come later and the travel
conditions aren't optimal for our hunters to get to
where the Snow Geese colonize. They wish they could
because they do taste good. It's just the timing
proposes a challenge.

So we will continue to communicate with our hunters on how we could help with that situation because they understand and we understand through the reports that you and others have given that if they grow too big there's a potential for them to loose, you know, the grub or whatever you call the grass.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Jack.

MR. FAGERSTROM: Thanks. Going back to our spring meeting, which we fortunately held, there were some observations from Stebbins and St. Michael. They said there were a lot of white geese this year to the point where the kids were hunting them right at the airport. I think those are Wrangell Island geese, I believe.

Going back to the survey, Stebbins is not going to get surveyed. In our region, they're probably one of the only communities that very heavily harvest Snow Geese.

CHAIRMAN DALE: That's a good segue, Jack, because we're going to talk about harvest surveys next. So if you're going to talk about harvest surveys -- Peter, you've got something else. Please.

MR. DEVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. One thing \(I\) failed to mention is we had an incident in Sand Point where some individuals killed five bald eagles and this happened in March. Well, the birds were sent out. There was body parts missing, you know. Fish and

1 Game is conducting an investigation or the troopers are 2 conducting an investigation in our region. I never 3 even got word of it until like August 15th when a 4 public service announcement came across saying if you have any information on who killed these birds or what happened to these birds.

I was in town that day that happened and I know exactly who all was along that dock that day. All four boats. Nobody talked to anybody. They're conducting an investigation. You know, it's like why can't they contact the representatives for that region and say we're conducting an investigation, can you help. I mean I probably would have gave them some information that would have had this thing wrapped up by now. There was only like four boats there that day.

The problem is these birds are so darn aggressive. I mean they have a clock. They know when pollock season happens and the pot season. What's happening is the fishermen are putting tarps over these birds -- or not over the birds, over their bait thinking it's safe, you know. Then you come back the next day and half your bait is gone. I mean it's not right that they did that, but -- I mean it's like kind of disturbing.

I mean this incident has gone on for six months and nobody contacts anybody. We didn't even get word of it through our tribes or anything. We've got three tribes in town. Like I said, this thing could have been wrapped up and those people would be sitting in prison right now. Here's partners, you know, just doing stuff without consulting the tribes again.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Thanks, Peter.
MR. WHITE: One other thing that I forgot to mention is the ptarmigans. Over the years we see thousands and thousands of ptarmigan in our region, but for the past year we haven't really been seeing ptarmigans.

I'm glad Peter mentioned the nuisance of the eagles. In our area we would never see any eagles, but nowadays almost every day we'll see an eagle while traveling. You know, eagles are predators

1 to these small birds. The loss of ptarmigans I don't
2 know where they went. I'm wondering if the eagles are, in fact, killing them and eating them.

My question is is there any way to allow certain areas -- not my area though because they're not that abundant, for instance Peter's area to limit the amount of eagles that they might be seeing?

The only reason \(I\) say that is when I was going to school down in Kodiak several years back right at the porch of our neighbor we'd see at least 10 eagles by this one five-gallon container and they were just screeching away fighting over food. Me and my wife we were thinking, boy, that's dangerous. What if they attacked some little kid while playing outside. I feel what Peter is going through.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you. Okay. Are there any more regional comments.
(No comments)

CHAIRMAN DALE: All right. We'll wrap that up and we'll take a five-minute break and then Lili can get set up and we'll come back and get into harvest surveys.
(Off record)
(On record)

CHAIRMAN DALE: Before we start into the harvest surveys I'd like to introduce Jennifer Nu. Jennifer Nu is, among other things, a freelance writer. Go ahead and have a seat at the thing there. Push the button. Tell us who you are and where you're from and what you're working on.

MS. NU: Hi. Thanks so much. My name is Jennifer Nu. I didn't mention this earlier, but I just wanted to introduce myself. I'm a freelance writer and a lot of my story topics include food traditions, including subsistence lifestyle, and looking at different aspects of food because traditional foods and subsistence foods are so incredibly important for health and for culture in the state.

So I came to this meeting because I'm working on a piece about egg collecting, egg harvesting and I'm just really curious about learning more about egg collecting in the different parts of the state. So I just wanted to introduce myself so if there's some time after the meetings if you have any ideas, story ideas or connections, it would be fantastic to chat with you.

Thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Great. Thank you. Welcome, Jennifer. So, yeah, I'd encourage you to get with her and tell your stories and traditions from egg taking in your region. We're glad to have somebody here that's taking an interest in our meeting.

Thank you, Jennifer. Is there any questions for Jennifer. Peter.

MR. DEVINE: No questions, but just a comment. We have a lady downstairs who did a traditional foods cookbook for us a couple years ago. I don't know if you've run into her yet, but Sue Unger.

MS. NU: Oh, okay.
MR. DEVINE: If not, I could take you down and introduce you to her, but we have a cookbook out on the traditional foods in our area and there's a segment on eggs. We did a pretty good job on this book, I think, because there's a lot of input from the elders on traditional uses and methods and whatnot.

CHAIRMAN DALE: What's the title of that book, Peter? Do you know offhand?

MR. DEVINE: I can't pronounce it. I mean that foreign word \(I\) said this morning, aang, that was hello. That's the only Aleut word I know of besides a few cuss words.
(Laughter)
MR. DEVINE: But it's Quqamiigux or whatever. It's down there in the display case by the coffee -- by the reception desk down there in the display case. It's that big, thick book that starts with a Q, Quqamiigux or something like that. Those are

1 available here. I think last year they were like \(\$ 45\) or \(\$ 50\), but it's really put together quite well. Some of the information we had to get \(I\) mean we couldn't even get it in the state. We had to consult with other countries. Of all places for marine mammals, Japan. They had information, but \(I\) mean it's got nutritional stuff in it. If you get a chance, check it out.

CHAIRMAN DALE: All right. Thanks. Now we'll move on to Lili and the harvest surveys.

MS. NAVES: So all the materials about the bird harvest survey is behind Tab 2. As usual I will just go down the list there and there are updates on what's going on in the program.

Starting with work recently completed we have ready the draft report for adoption of the 2016 harvest survey and this is our action item that you need to act on. Should we do the action item at this time or later on?

CHAIRMAN DALE: Why don't we just get that out of the way so we don't forget.

MS. NAVES: Okay. Are there questions or comments about the 2016 estimates that we should entertain now? So the draft tables were first made available in the spring meeting and \(I\) have received some input on the report. If there are any questions yet at this time.

\section*{CHAIRMAN DALE: Peter.}

MR. DEVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just noticed that there's no estimate for the Aleutians. I was wondering.

MS. NAVES: So with the revised survey we do five regions every year and this is YK Delta, Bristol Bay, Interior Alaska, North Slope and the Bering Strait. These regions were chosen as an indicator of the total harvest in the state. They together do about 90 percent of the total harvest. So we don't have money to survey all the regions. The intent of having something that you can do the same every year and can compare across years closer to Alaska-wide estimate. So that's why some regions were left out.

But this doesn't mean that there is no data at all for those regions. There are comprehensive surveys that are looked at by other entities that collect harvest on birds and also other resources that keeps going on done by other entities. If there is interest or any pressing management issue that needs to be looked at more closely in any region the Harvest Survey Program will be happy to provide assistance on doing surveys there.

MR. DEVINE: Okay. Next question. What is the cycle? If we can't do them so often, if we have -- okay, here's the issue I got. I mean we haven't had anything in our region since 2005. That's 12 years. We've got one of the smaller regions where a more comprehensive study and survey can be done with the few amount of dollars that we have. Is there any idea on when we'll get surveyed again?

MS. NAVES: As far as I know, there are no plans to conduct surveys in the other regions, so that Kodiak, Aleutians and the Gulf of Alaska were set aside until there was a pressing management issue that may push the \(A M B C C\) to collect data there. There are other harvest surveys that have been conducted more recently in your area there, Peter, and they are the surveys that was done by -- I'll ask Jim Fall to answer that because he's better informed about that than me.

DR. FALL: Jim Fall from the Division of Subsistence. In the last five or six years there have been comprehensive harvest surveys done in I think every community in the Aleutian/Pribilof Island area except for St. Paul and St. George. So we do have fairly recent harvest estimates for all migratory bird species. In fact, as Peter knows because he helped us, we did Sand Point this past year and King Cove, Cold Bay.

An anthropologist named Katherine Reedy has done just about every other place from Atka, Akutan, Adak, Nikolski, False Pass, Nelson Lagoon. So even though the AMBCC program, because of funding constraints, has not done migratory birds, we have been able to update harvest estimates in this region. It's not a perfect situation, but it's not as if there's nothing since the last \(A M B C C\) survey there.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Peter.
MR. DEVINE: Thank you, Jim, for reminding me what \(I\) did this spring.
(Laughter)
DR. FALL: It was a good job, too.
MR. DEVINE: Just to mention that was a good -- I mean they did come out and do a survey. I mean like you said it was everything. I was surprised how open people were. I mean they held nothing back. So I think we're going to get a pretty good picture of overall take. Thank you, Jim.
CHAIRMAN DALE: I have a question. I wonder if it's appropriate or if there's a way to incorporate those other surveys into this information we have or at least have -- if they have reports, that we could distribute them here so that everyone around could see the other work that's being done and have that as a reference.
MR. DEVINE: Just a comment. We will get this information, but it won't be here. We'll get it later on at the BIA Providers Conference, I believe, is when we get that comprehensive information. I mean we did a couple years ago, so I expect they'll do the same.
MS. NAVES: The results of the surveys on the Aleutians also will be available at the Community Subsistence Information System. That is an online database that's hosted by the Division of Subsistence that has community-level estimates for all surveys done by the Division since the early '80s. This is available online and everyone can go there. That's a great source of information.
When \(I\) do big compilations of harvest data as I did for sea ducks with Tom Rothe, seabirds, and I'm doing with shorebirds now, I pool a whole lot of information available, so I combine all the AMBCC data and all the data available at the Community Subsistence Information System. So for those big overview perspectives \(I\) work with about 500 communities, so this is number of communities and years that are surveyed across the state. So there's a good

1 body of data out there and it's public available.

1 then maybe harvest may go lower that year as compared 2 to other years.

I also noticed that Savoonga and
Gambell I think they're going to get surveyed like once out of four years according to the little roadmap you had. Those people out there harvest a lot of eggs and a lot of birds that the rest of our villages don't harvest.

MS. NAVES: I think that how the selections of communities is set it's very likely that you're going to have at least one island community each year. So last year just by random sampling Diomede got surveyed. So this year by random sampling I think Savoonga is in the selection. It may be that a year maybe we miss one, but it's well known that the communities -- the seabirds are really important for the island communities.

In fact, the island communities on St. Lawrence in the Bering Strait area account for about 80 percent of the total harvest of sea birds in the state. So it's not going to pass out for their screen. This is well documented elsewhere. So if you have one year that's missing on the survey, it's not that you're missing the whole picture and that everything is lost. There are other sources of information for that.

MR. FAGERSTROM: Thanks.
CHAIRMAN DALE: It seems like it would be beneficial -- we need to preserve the statistical integrity of the study design that's been implemented and that requires a random sample, which does create some of these anomalies.

It seems like it would be beneficial to preserve some of that information. I wonder if it would be possible during the review period after you present the initial results in 2016 to capture some of these brief but concise considerations in a footnote that says -- you know, when you get to white geese it says note that Stebbins was not included in this survey and Stebbins is reported to be the area of high harvest of white geese.

Then the managers would have that in a written context so they could use that as well without not knowing that these little anomalies are going to occur. In the long run it will work out, but it seems like that would be -- I don't know if that would be

1 overly burdensome or not. I don't know that there 2 would be a lot of them, but it would be worth 3 preserving them I think.

1 here.

> Thank you.

MS. NAVES: I think there are other comments that can be added there to the discussion section of the report this year besides the Snow Geese. Emperor Goose harvest was not detected in the Bering Sea. I think this can be other item to be included there too. The North Slope estimates were a little on the high side and on the other hand the YK Delta was a little on the low side. So I think a little bit of perspective like that would be really important. And I think that input from the regional bird councils and from the representatives on this is really important too because some things escape me or escape other people seeing the report.

The other thing that's nice to include there too are notes about for instance if in an area the harvest is on the low side, then you look at the breakdown by seasons; spring, summer and fall estimates. If you have an idea of what was low in spring, oh, it was an early breakup, a little context like that that can help understand why the estimate -it's not that if it's high or low, but why they may be low or high, that's really helpful too.

Just putting the data together, I don't know what are the environmental and the socioeconomic conditions that are going on in each region and each year that have caused those variation.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Mike.
MR. PEDERSON: I just want to add on to Taqulik's comment that at our RMB meeting there was some concern about, you know, the confidence intervals and like Taqulik had mentioned I think some bullet points would be real helpful because even we were a little confused when we were looking at it. We had to remind each other that this was a trial year and that this was the first survey results under the new system that was being released as data.

So I agree with Taqulik and just hearing from our regional management body that they voiced several concerns, but in the end I think me and Taqulik were able to let them know that again this was

1 a trial period, a new survey. We took a break for how 2 many years on this, so bullet points would be really 3 helpful. your explanation of the confidence intervals and how they play a role in reviewing these. Again, like Taqulik had mentioned, our RMB had concerns about the high number of birds harvested in that one season.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Okay. Anything more on the 2016 harvest estimates.
(No comments)
CHAIRMAN DALE: I guess it's time for a motion to approve these so we can have it published.

MR. DEVINE: So move.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Can I get a second.
MR. WHITE: Second.
CHAIRMAN DALE: It's been moved and seconded to adopt the 2016 harvest estimates. Is there any objection.
(No objections)
CHAIRMAN DALE: Hearing none that will be the action of this council. Okay. Lili.

MS. NAVES: So between now and having the final version of the report I'll be in touch with the regional representatives to get the bullet list reviewed and included in the final report.

So going to item (b) under work recently completed, based on results of the 2016 survey, the Colorado State University folks, the statisticians that have helped us along this process, they did an evaluation of how the survey went and they suggested little tweaks on how to distribute the sampling effort among the regions.

There is a report that includes those recommendations and the Harvest Survey Committee met in late June to review those recommendations. The

1 committee was in agreement in moving forward with those 2 recommendations. It's very minor details compared to the other parts of the review. So I think at this time we're in the fine tuning part of it. So we're moving forward with the preparations for the 2017 data collection including these adjustments to the sampling design.

We hope to have the cost estimates for each region for the 2017 survey completed by end of September even if it's preliminary numbers only. So it's real important that the partners provide that information so we can consolidate our plan and then start to working on the more fine level of preparations for the survey this year.

Questions or comments about that.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Taqulik.

MS. HEPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Again I just want to say that based on the communities that are selected for the 2017 for the North Slope hopefully the data results will show that the communities that were selected aren't coastal communities. There's two. Of course Barrow and then Point Lay is one of our smaller villages and then the other two are our inland communities. One of them is kind of the outer range of most migratory birds, so they don't harvest as many birds.

I'm hoping that in the 2017 results that that is reflected, that it's going to be a low harvest especially compared to like the egg picking because a few communities are heavy egg pickers and that's not one of the communities. So I look forward to seeing how that comes out.

Then the other point too I suggest to our harvest coordinator is that understanding the methodology and the training is very important because if you sway from what the methodology is and picked your own households that might be easier or you're not following -- if you're not able to collect information from this certain household there's a method to picking the new household. If you sway, then it becomes biased and it has the potential to change the numbers and I really want all of us and all our surveyors from all the different regions to understand that because \(I\) want

1 to see good reliable numbers.

1 that are in the selection for 2017 are in fact a little bit of a problematic place to survey because not only they are small communities but it's really difficult to access there. So those are inherent parts of the system that we end with small communities that are super expensive to survey. For instance only airfare to train a surveyor in Lime Village is \(\$ 3,000\) to go there for a small survey a little community like that to survey the households there.

But it's really difficult to have a system where all those little things are accounted for. So you see how it comes that's really expensive to survey, that's difficult to access. If there is any major logistic constraint or something else -- this is also pending village consent, so we select alternate communities to replace if need be. The need may be a major logistical constraint or it may be lack of village consent or something like that.

And then there's a rule. If you need to select alternate communities, the rule is that the first alternate community is the number immediately below that community. So let's say Lime Village is number 28. If you were to select an alternate community for that, we would pick community 27 , which ends up being Russian Mission. That's really far from there, but at a certain point the string ends. So the first alternate community for Lime Village is Russian Mission.

And then if by chance there is for whatever stronger reason Russian Mission cannot be surveyed, the rule for selecting the second alternate community is that will be the number immediately above that first community selected. So we started at Lime Village. If you cannot survey Russian Mission -- Lime Village is 28. If you cannot survey Russian Mission, which is 27, we'd go to 29 and that is Stony River.

So there are rules on how to select this thing so we don't get out of the random selection. It's still random. The problem is that there's all this indirect process that we can get out of random, so there's rules to prevent those.

DR. FALL: One other point is that there are some very small communities in some of the regions that we have not included in the list for the

1 very reason, Roland, that you're pointing out. They're very, very small, the expense is just too high to get there. They might not even have an active village council anymore. There's a very small number of those in several regions. But as communities change, schools close and populations drop, we can modify that list and that's why we need advice from all of you about that.

MR. WHITE: Thank you. Since our region is the biggest region here in Alaska and it's the most vast, one of the recommendations that I would have for selecting random villages for survey is doing parcels for each subregion. Create parcels under subregions. Like for instance when I saw the list to be surveyed they're primarily coastal villages and I hardly saw villages that were a little further in, so it says Red Devil and Lime Village.

So for instance if you guys are creating parcels for bigger villages such as Bethel, I don't know how many parcels you created for that one, why not make parcels for bigger regions so you could actually have a good representation of the harvest estimates that you guys are collecting? Like for Upper Yukon region I didn't see any villages from there, like you mentioned Russian Mission and whatnot.

So if you guys pick a couple villages from that area and try and pick a couple villages from the middle area and then a couple villages from the coastal area then you guys would have more accurate estimate counts.

MS. NAVES: This is a system that makes sense and the survey used subregions until recently, but then in the second survey revision as we upped the geographic scale for the survey, we let go of the subregion level. So it makes sense, but it was -- with the goal of having Alaska-wide estimates you had to go a notch up on the geographic scale. It's important to keep in mind that the survey score is grained and that we cannot capture small geographic patterns on the survey.

So when coming with the revision of survey, it's really difficult to have a plan that cover all the details and we have been trying to do the best to cover as much as possible of the tables, but there are gives and takes and lots of negotiations and the

1 discussion during the survey review we'd say what are 2 the priorities and what we need to let go to move 3 forward with the priorities. So we understand that it's not a super tight perfect system that pleases 100 percent of the partners, but it's a middle ground approach that most people can live with.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Mike.
MR. PEDERSON: One other consideration
that we need to consider around this table is the amount of funding that the survey program receives from the service. It has limitations and that kind of defines some of how we're doing the harvest survey work, the budget.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Yeah, without question, Mike. This is a compromise based on available funds. If we had the money, we'd survey -- and the manpower, we could survey every village every year. But you have to come up with a scheme and we spent several years with Colorado State coming up with some scheme that would be statistically viable. When we have these anomalies, these problems because of the random sample, we just needed to make it statistically robust numberswise. We need to capture that information in the bullet points and preserve them that way until we can find funding to solve those problems.

Taqulik.
MS. HEPA: Again I think if whether it's an individual from a different agency or a different community and they look at these numbers, unless they read the fine print they're not going to have a good idea of what all those numbers mean. Like you said, if you're a good manager, you need to understand that you can't focus in on one year. But I think the majority of the people that are going to look at this information are going to get the wrong perception, so we need to really think about that.

You know, is it worth the investment to put -- what did we provide for the annual funding, 300,000, 100,000, I forget what the number was, but are we really capturing what we set out to collect and report on or should we do something -- I know that we invested and I want to give due diligence to this new process. But, like I said, if an individual picks it

1 up that isn't around the table, the perception is going 2 to be wrong on what the data is or potentially be 3 wrong.

CHAIRMAN DALE: That's a good point and maybe we need some better outreach that explains the limitations of the data. Of course everyone around here obviously understands it very well and points it out. I think if you look up the decision-making ladder that we can -- we understand the data when we take it to the flyway council and the flyway council understands it when they take it to the Service Regulations Committee.

So in terms of the decision-making process I'm not sure there's a problem, but certainly the perception and how people view this both within the program and outside is important that they understand these data when they become public. So maybe I'm wrong, but it seems to me that if we continue with this sampling strategy and harvest monitoring strategy that we have sort of two places that we have to be concerned. In the decision-making process I think we're okay, but in terms of having people, the general public, both users and people outside the system, understanding it we could do better for outreach.

That's why hopefully the bullet points will be not fine print somewhere, they'll be the things people read because you go through and most people look and they scan a few of these and go, huh, that's a big number or that's a zero, right, and that's about it and then you start wondering. Then if that leads you to the other little discussion points, that's I think our vehicle for doing that right now. And that puts it back on us, right, to make sure that information gets in there.

Anything else. I always love the harvest survey discussions. It's not an easy problem. You got something, Roland?

MR. WHITE: I don't know if this is appropriate to bring up, but with my comment there was an answer that funding is always the downfall for not having certain villages being surveyed. I don't think that should be a concern. I know it's a concern, but I don't think it should be a concern due to the fact what Taqulik just mentioned. There's individuals out there

1 that can look at this survey information and perceive 2 it a different way because a picture has 1,000 meanings 3 and this actually is a picture and it's got 1,000 4 different meanings.

Then on top of that the other thing -the reason why I said I hope this is not inappropriate to bring up. For instance, on the YK Delta during the salmon runs, primarily with chinook when they come in, the Fish and Wildlife Service brings in hundreds of employees not from our region but from different regions outside of Alaska as well as inside Alaska to enforce the law that is mandated for our region not to go out fishing for chinook. These individuals have so many different camps up and down the Kuskokwim with at least three employees per camp, at the most five. If that is the case, why is more money allocated to the fishing portion of the Fish and Wildlife Service instead of the Migratory Bird segment?

CHAIRMAN DALE: Eric.
DR. TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Roland, I can't comment on the funding level that law enforcement uses to monitor salmon take on the YukonKuskokwim Delta. In terms of our funding for the migratory bird survey, every year it's around 150,000 plus an additional 100,000 that we give to the Department of Fish and Game to help offset Liliana's study. So each year it's about \(\$ 250,000\) to implement this survey.

As Lili mentioned, we contracted with Colorado State University with three individuals there and I think -- Lili, correct me if I'm wrong, but it was like a two to three-year process, is that right?

MS. NAVES: Uh-huh (affirmative).
DR. TAYLOR: So we had a Harvest Survey
Committee that was comprised of representatives from the Department of Fish and Game, Fish and Wildlife Service and the Native Caucus. As Lili correctly described, no survey can meet everyone's expectations. There was a long discussion and probably one of the most tough discussions are what are the objectives of this survey.

Some folks wanted regional reports.

1 For example, you might say, gosh, I want a really good 2 estimate for the YK Delta. I don't really care about Cyrus, but by gosh I want mine. Then others might say, no, you know, a total state estimate. We want everyone to know overall that migratory birds are important to all residents of the state of Alaska and that's ultimately where we fell.

We ultimately fell -- like Lili said, we picked five regions and those five regions represent about 90 percent of the harvest of migratory birds during spring and summer for the state of Alaska. Unfortunately, folks like Cyrus and Peter, you know, those regions are not surveyed, but as Lili and Jim mentioned we do have substantive amount of data that show migratory birds are important to those residents.

So ultimately I can't answer your question how much funding the law enforcement puts into salmon monitoring. We felt like this was the amount of funds that we could provide toward a survey relative to its objectives. Ideally, like Lili said, hopefully it meets most people's objectives.

\section*{Thank you.}

CHAIRMAN DALE: I guess I would add that you know how the Service or how the Department of Fish and Game, State of Alaska, distributes its money relative to \(A M B C C\) and other competing programs that is something that this body can influence. As you note under Tab 1 there, the trip report of the Executive Director to the Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council visited with the Congressional Delegation, asked for support for this program. The entities in this room, you know, if they care and believe that to be true should do that as well.

The State of Alaska, first off, with the new administration, one of our priorities and through every communication with the Interior and the new administration has prioritized AMBCC funding as being important. We think this is a place that Alaska Natives, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the State of Alaska work actually very well together. It's not broken. It needs more money if anything, but that's how those priorities change.

There's other groups that think

1 enforcement may be the most important conservation 2 tool, but it is an important conservation tool and 3 there will be people arguing for those efforts to 4 continue or increase people more interested in fish

1 you learned from our experience with the Yellow-billed
2 Loon. This is an article that I co-authored with 3 . Tamara that we worked together with the harvest surveys 4 and the bird counts in St. Lawrence Island in 2011 and \(5 \quad 2012\).
DR. TAYLOR: I just want to take this opportunity to really commend Lili and Tamara. Lili glossed over it pretty quickly, but the peer review process to publish a paper in a scientific journal is probably one of the most rigorous processes that a scientist or a biologist can go through. Indeed, as Lili mentioned, the paper that's in your binder went through a pretty rigorous review by all sorts of other individuals in terms of survey, scientist, biologist, from social scientist and others that looked at this very closely.
As Lili mentioned, the real advantage of publishing a paper like this is it gets out to an audience not only in Alaska but throughout the entire world because this publication is assessable via the internet and that's really important in terms of demonstrating the importance of subsistence and cultural and traditional uses of migratory birds to others.
Again, as Lili mentioned, it's not an easy process to go through. It's very time consuming, but it's also very rewarding. It speaks very highly to Lili's credibility as a scientist.
MS. HEPA: Congratulations.
MS. NAVES: I'll correct Eric that it speaks really highly to the Harvest Assessment Program of the Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council.
This year we released another peer reviewed article on the conversion factors for the birds and eggs. This is a really good work conducted by the program too and we are en route to have a third one released this year on a summary for the harvest of seabirds. There is work ongoing now to have such a product for harvest of shorebirds. So it's time demanding and this happens in the background of our meetings here, but it's worth time invested I think.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Lili, I'd like to interrupt just to say that \(I\) certainly echo Eric's expression of appreciation. I think the other thing that got glossed over a little bit in this presentation is the importance of this work on Yellow-billed Loons. This not long ago was a species that could have easily ended up on the endangered species list. One of the

1 threats to it was take by humans.

\section*{10 important}

11 component of this work. activity. are happy to provide. process takes a long time. the project.

It was very important to the population the work that was done, the demographics assessing just what was out there for Yellow-billed Loons as well as evaluating take in this innovative paper. It put it into a perspective where your ability to take loons and look-alike species and hunt in areas where these species exist has been now protected and that is a very

In other places there's habitats that people used to hunt and gather and this is the Lower 48, but they can no longer go because they might disturb an endangered species. So getting ahead and keeping these species common, identifying that they're not in jeopardy is very important and then putting good realistic assessment of the harvest and the lack of impact of that harvest has basically ensured our ability to continue this customary and traditional

So thanks for that.
MS. NAVES: So moving to item (f). Jim Fall and I, we assessed the Sun'aq Tribe designing their survey for public input on the road closure. If you ask technical assistance on this kind of thing, we

Moving to ongoing and upcoming work. Item number (a), I already talked a little bit about this. This is the seabird harvest estimates. I completed the review again and submitted the paper again for publication. I already did a couple of presentations at the AMBCC about this data, so it's not a new business. As I said, the publication process is a little usually behind just because of the review

Item number (b) there. It's a brief update on the project about harvest and local traditional knowledge about the shorebirds in Alaska. So this project is funded by NFWF. It's a grant from NFWF and we use State matching funds for that, so it's really great to be able to leverage other fundings to

We completed interviews in Quinhagak, Toksook Bay and Platinum last spring and this winter we already have village consent to do interviews in Hooper Bay and Bethel and we are hoping to add two more communities to the set of communities included for the interviews pending village consent.

So this project has three components. One is a big overview of the harvest data for Alaska and this involves data already available in the AMBCC database and data from the Community Subsistence Information System similar to what I did to the seabirds. I put all this data together and you have about 500 communities. This is harvest data that's difficult to deal with because those are species that are taken in small numbers and there's lots of species identification issues. So for the harvest assessment part it's all previously collected data.

The other component of this project is local traditional knowledge about interviews and those are the interview -- the new data collection part. In the interviews I'm focusing on ethnotaxonomy. This means how local people lump shorebirds, how the local names use it and also how those birds are important to people, how they're used in the past, how they're used nowadays.

The third part of the project is outreach and communication. When everything is said and done and I have a better understanding of how these birds are important for people, how the Native names work, to summarize all this and put in a short kind of format, one-page format, that can be brought back to the communities.

So the interviews are -- oh, the harvest data analysis for the whole state, for the interview parts, we're focusing on the YK Delta because there's lots of shorebirds there. So this is how we were on this project. For our partners in the YK Delta if you think that there is any way that you can help us with communication with the communities, I would really appreciate that because this is a project that's a little bit more difficult to present for the communities because the shorebirds are not the main subsistence resource.

So when coming to a community you have

1 really to craft the language and making the
2 relationships to explain why you're interested in doing this study there if it's not the main subsistence resource. So when going for this project we knew it was going to be a little bit more difficult than doing a salmon project for instance, but we're doing the best we can.

MS. HEPA: I'm just curious, Mr. Chair. This is separate from the harvest survey and where does the funding come from this?

MS. NAVES: So the Harvest Assessment Program has two components. One component it's the regular annual surveys and that is the regular funds that you have from the Fish and Wildlife Service and ADF\&G. This goes every year. Besides the annual survey we also do special topic projects that are projects that address pressing management issues. So, for instance, the Yellow-billed Loon was a special project. The seabirds was a special project. For the shorebirds I got a grant from NFWF.

MS. HEPA: Oh, you mentioned that.
MS. NAVES: Yeah. I can see there is another special project that \(I\) have been working on with the Division of Wildlife Conservation on Fish and Game. There is lots of interest in terns nowadays. There are some indication that tern numbers are going down and the original representatives have also reported that at the \(A M B C C\) in recent years on their regional reports. So there's lots of interest now on what's going on with terns.

The Division of Wildlife Conservation is working on that tagging program. Now there is new satellite tag that's 2 grams. It's in test phase yet, but they're using those little tiny tags on terns and they're getting for the first time data on where Aleutian Terns are going.

Also it's important to collect data during the breeding season because the terns are very finicky. They move a lot from a place to another and that interferes with the ability of counting terns because a colony exists here today and next week maybe they move to another place.

So with the tagging data they're trying to understand movements during the breeding season, but also to come with a better way of counting terns, but also the tags live long enough that is providing that about the migration and the wintering grounds. Those terns winter in the Indonesian area there.

So this is all new information and it's a very interesting thing to know that maybe can help explain what's going on with the terns. So together with this satellite tagging component there was interest in developing local traditional knowledge about this project to know what local people know about these birds.

So we started doing interviews in Platinum and \(I\) did interview there this spring at the same time that the biologists who were doing the tagging study. So we are working on transcribing and summarizing those interviews now. There is interest in expanding this project to other parts of Alaska, so let's see how that goes. Funding for that project is coming from the Division of Wildlife Conservation.

CHAIRMAN DALE: I see them chomping at the bit there. Peter probably wants to be involved in that and volunteer for that study because he knows the friendly terns. He's very fond of them.

MR. DEVINE: Well, why the terns? I mean it's like -- you know, it's not something we consume in our area. I don't know if you guys consume in your areas, but we don't consume the terns, Arctic or the other ones. We do eat herring gull eggs. But I mean terns and them other ones are vicious birds. We don't like to play with them. We may have inadvertently eaten one, you know, thinking we were getting a -- but, no, we haven't because the herring gulls lay in bluffs, so it's not like going to a nest.

I mean with the lack of dollars wouldn't it have been better to put the tag in a goose and see where they're going?

CHAIRMAN DALE: This goes back to what I was talking about before with the loons. These populations there's concern, but we don't know how much concern there should be. For example, we don't know if

1 they're just moving around or if there really are fewer numbers. If there's concerns that we can deal with, we would deal with them to keep the species common because if it becomes on the Endangered Species List, then there will be prohibitions on take in areas where terns are.

So even if you're not targeting terns, there could be prohibitions on take, there could be prohibitions on disturbance. There might be prohibitions on industry, the nearshore fisheries. You never know once they start getting on a list and demarking critical habitat where there would be prohibitions on taking and disturbing. So it's important on these species to make sure that they stay common.

You know, as friendly as they are, they do have an important function in the ecosystem and besides that, you know, we do have the Endangered Species Act, which is a good act. So that's why terns. I don't know if it's a satisfactory answer or not, but that is why terns.

MS. NAVES: With this LTK we're really not looking at the harvest of terns. There's already data available for that. So the statewide harvest of terns, the birds themself, is about between 60 and 70 birds a year. It's nothing. But the harvest of tern eggs is widespread. It's reported by all coastal regions and the estimate is between four and five thousand eggs a year.

Considering the numbers that are available for the terns in coastal areas, this may be substantial, but with all the questions about the numbers of how many terns are indeed out there, so it's really difficult to say anything at this time.

But the intent of including a local traditional knowledge component there together with the biological data is because terns are really difficult to study. There's lots of data gaps. Aleutian Terns are more in the coastal areas, but Arctic Terns also breed in Interior areas and there's no information at all about the colonies in Interior, where they are, how many they are, how big they are.

> The one idea as this project expands to

1 include the more and more local traditional knowledge
    2 and have people that have been living in these areas sharing this environment with terns since a long time they probably know things about terns and just to unite efforts and see what biological research and indigenous knowledge can come together and help in the long-term sustainability of this resource that we know is dear to subsistence users. Not for the bird harvest, but for the egg harvest. So this is why I have been involved with this project.

Questions before moving to the next one.
(No comments)
MS. NAVES: So item (d) there under ongoing and upcoming work is that we're trying to get into the phase II of the online access to the harvest estimates. It's currently down. There is a problem with the website. We are taking this as an advantage to move into phase II. That will be to expand the time window that's available there.

Currently you have only the AMBCC data there, but the idea is to expand it to also include harvest from the goose management surveys going back to the '80s in the YK Delta and back to the '90s into Bristol Bay. So have the whole full window of time there, the time span that's covered by this data. That's all part of making data more available, more accessible and hopefully more usable too.

I think that was it.
CHAIRMAN DALE: All right. Any questions for Lili. It's pretty good timing.
(No comments)
CHAIRMAN DALE: Okay. Any other sort of miscellaneous messages or anything. Thanks for the good discussion today so far. Let's take a break for lunch and come back at 1:30 and get started right back after it.
(Off record)
(On record)

CHAIRMAN DALE: Okay, everybody, let's get started here. Next up we continue on with old business. Council committees. Todd, are you ready for handicrafts?

MR. SFORMO: Sure.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Just let the record show that Coral is here. Coral, would you introduce yourself, please.

MS. CHERNOFF: I'm Coral Chernoff from Kodiak. I'm the alternate rep for Kodiak AMBCC.

CHAIRMAN DALE: All right. Welcome, Coral.
(Connecting teleconference)
CHAIRMAN DALE: Okay, Todd, it's all
yours.

MR. SFORMO: Thanks. Todd Sformo, North Slope Borough. On Tuesday we had a Handicraft Committee meeting. There we, of course, kind of congratulated ourselves in helping to amend the permanent migratory bird subsistence harvest regulations in Alaska and help in getting the published ruling in the Federal record on the 24 th of July and it's now enacted beginning on the \(23 r d\) of August, 2017. So about one month or so.

The ruling, as most people know, of course, allows for the sale of handicraft with nonedible migratory bird parts taken for nutritional purposes during the spring/summer hunt. It has currently 27 species. Those can be found at the end of the Federal Register article at the back of Tab 3 if you'd like to see those.

Since that's kind of a milestone \(I\) just thought I'd make just a few observations on the committee. I think one of the reasons for the success of this committee was that we had a lot of active participation by many of the members. One reason for that is that we had frequent teleconferences, especially right after this AMBCC meeting.

So that kind of allowed us to kind of

1 carry over the momentum and have a certain amount of 2 continuity. We had lots of those meetings, so people 3 were really willing to discuss kind of over and over 4 again until the point where we were able to make a lot 5 of frank and direct comments. Down to things like I 6 can't live with this or \(I\) can live with this.

1 Do those kind of changes -- are those kinds of changes 2 if more species can be added to this list of 27, does 3 that constitute a treaty change or, if not, how is that defined, what are the specific terms for that because maybe there's other ways of -- I shouldn't say amending treaties, but -- I don't even know how to describe it. So that came up as a detail we'd like to know more about.

The bigger thing was though that we had to ask those questions anyway. That was because we feel that there's really a lack of participation by either committee members or the Native Caucus in these further negotiations. It wasn't just for this committee but for other committees around the AMBCC. So our committee though would like to have more active participation in these negotiations, access to individuals or groups that are doing these negotiations and access to the situations in which they're taking place.

I think this follows at a pretty good time because of Eric's talking about the reevaluation of the Fish and Wildlife Service. One of the key things he mentioned was that they were trying to do a better job at addressing partners' concerns by increasing transparency, defendable actions and helping partners staying engaged. So I think with those -- you could fulfill a number of those kind of goals for the Fish and Wildlife by having more active participation in dealings outside of the AMBCC.

It was also brought up that if that can't be done, that there would be maybe possible things that the committee could help do and that would be to maybe enlist other tribal or government-togovernment consultations and actually have maybe tribes try to consult with the State Department itself. Not exactly bypassing AMBCC, but to a certain extent, enlisting the help of the Inuit Circumpolar Council in helping the committee participate more. Then also maybe pursuing Senator Sullivan's proposals for further addition of birds.

Let's see. I think there were just two more things. During the entire Handicraft Committee meetings we realized that dealing at an international level was going to be just too difficult at this point, so we put that aside. Some members would like to look

1 at that again. Not necessarily with the idea that we could actually pursue that, but we'd like to just revisit, see what the problems are and see if there's a different take or a different angle we could look at.

In the Federal Register there was also mention of a letter of concurrence on the \(29 t h\) of December 2015 and that was related to like a Section 7 consultation, but it was a letter. So I don't think anybody in the committee knows about that, so it would be nice just to get a copy just so we understand what else had to be done, so we could see it and read it.

Then finally Coral had a number of real specific questions and I'll try to summarize them, but she's here so she can also correct me. One was that if a teacher is now engaged -- being paid by let's say a college, teaching a class with the use of traditional handicrafts or traditional materials, does that person being paid to do that constitute sale of handicraft. We just weren't sure if that was a gray area or not. Also if you have non-Natives in that class, they're paying for the class, probably paying for materials, is that a sale and if it is who signs the form.

So those were just very specific questions, but you may have more or can summarize them better. And anybody else in the committee if you have additions or comments. Otherwise that's the report.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you, Todd.
Taqulik.
MS. HEPA: Yeah, just on his last point I think that's a really good point and I'm glad that she did bring it up because at our local tribal college that they do offer handicrafts class. Questions posed to us whether it's marine mammals or migratory birds are non-Alaska Natives able to participate in this class because of the various different laws. I think that's a gray area that needs to be clarified around the state.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you. Patty.
MS. SCHWALENBERG: I just wanted to mention that \(I\) contacted the Office of Law Enforcement and I believe Ryan Noel will be here tomorrow so he can address any questions regarding the handicrafts at that
time.
Thank you.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Peter.
MR. DEVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I would like to see us pursue getting this list expanded because myself personally I make the Aleut Bentwood hats and I teach in the culture camp. Some of the species that I used, which is not on our list of 27 , I utilize goose feathers, ptarmigan feathers, mallard feathers, Northern Pintail, butterball, so there's a whole -- I mean if we could get this to include the whole list of migratory birds instead of just the 27 , that would be awesome.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN DALE: It sure sounds like we need to keep that committee together and working. Roland.

MR. WHITE: Thank you. One other thing that was mentioned yesterday or a couple days ago was feathers that aren't really on the migratory list from -- what are they called, crows and eagles.....

CHAIRMAN DALE: Ravens.
MR. WHITE: Ravens and eagles that these artists find on the ground. They pick them up and use them on their crafts and they were wondering if there's a possibility to incorporate those fallen feathers into their artifacts.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Todd.
MR. SFORMO: You know, looking over the Federal Register article it does say that found parts or found objects cannot be used that way. So that is on Page 34266 on the third row of paragraphs there and it's the first full paragraph. So I forgot about that during the Tuesday meeting and just saw it now.

MS. HOSETH: Thank you and thank you for that report, Todd. Just for any treaty changes Natives definitely need to be involved with that. One thing is that when U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

1 travels across seas if our Executive Director can
2 accompany for any changes to do with any treaties or
3 any negotiations that are happening. they should be asking the questions to the Native people to answer the questions if they do have any questions. We don't need to have an interpreter or have somebody speak for us. We can speak for ourselves if they have any questions because they're not familiar with our lifestyle.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Gloria.

MS. STICKWAN: On page -- was it that form sale certificate, we can download that from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife website. Is that possible?

CHAIRMAN DALE: Did you hear the question, Eric or Todd? Do you know where we can get the form?

MR. SFORMO: No, I don't know. It is right there, that's true.

CHAIRMAN DALE: You probably can take that one and Xerox it, I'm sure.

DR. TAYLOR: Excuse me. Eric Taylor. Gloria, I don't, but we can ask Ryan Noel and get an answer for you here by tomorrow.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Peter.

MR. DEVINE: Yeah, I see it says a form number, but on the form that we have here there is no numbers. No, I found it. It's at the bottom. Never mind. But \(I\) guess my question would be, I mean when we utilize this, can we photocopy it or just copy it off, Xerox it and go from there?

CHAIRMAN DALE: Probably. Tamara.
MS. ZELLER: It's on page 2. It's on the AMBCC website. You go to the bottom of page 2 and you're next to the document. It tells you right there where you can find it.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you very much.

1 So it's on the AMBCC website you can find the form.
    2 You'd think one of us would have known that.
    3 Fortunately one did.
    Okay. More questions for Todd.
    (No comments)
    CHAIRMAN DALE: You know, we all
    congratulate you guys on a job well done.

MR. SFORMO: Well, it was a very good committee, so that did it.

CHAIRMAN DALE: All right. Thanks. Next up is Jason Schamber, Emperor Goose management update. Tell us what you're going to tell us.

MR. SCHAMBER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Jason Schamber, Alaska Department of Fish and Game. On Tuesday the Emperor Goose Subcommittee met with the intent of reviewing and getting updates on activities that occurred since the last time the group met, which was at the spring AMBCC meeting. There are no action items for the Council to consider that came out of the subcommittee at this time.

The primary focus of the review and updates was the population status of Emperor Geese based on the YK Delta coastal survey that was conducted this last June and updates to the fall/winter hunt. I'll be covering those materials in a forthcoming PowerPoint presentation, which I believe is scheduled for immediately after the committee reports. So I'd like to defer discussion of those topics to that PowerPoint presentation if I could.

So, for now that will conclude the Emperor Goose Subcommittee report.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Okay. Questions on the subcommittee's activities.
(No comments)
CHAIRMAN DALE: If not, we'll hear from you in a minute then. Next we have up Mike with harvest survey update on the Harvest Assessment Program. Maybe we'll just go ahead and T up -- go
ahead, Taqulik.
MS. HEPA: No, I'm good.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Okay. I don't think he's in that chair, is he?

MS. HEPA: There he is.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Mike always favors the dramatic entrance.
(Laughter)
CHAIRMAN DALE: As do I. He's just
better at it.
MR. PEDERSON: Good afternoon. The Harvest Survey Committee met on Tuesday afternoon. I won't go into the details of the June 2017 teleconference we had. Liliana discussed some of that today, so I'll just focus on our Tuesday meeting. We did end up having a quorum.

We went through some of the things we discussed in June such as the single we call household visit. We adopted that as a standard. Strategies to improve efficiency. Liliana went over that this morning. Then we made a recommendation and a motion for Colorado State University to review optimal allocation of the sampling effort based on the 2017 survey results for summer 2018. This was because we adjusted the 2017 survey based on the 2016 results. So next summer's survey will be the new adjusted stuff as identified and reported on by CSU in our June meeting.

We also talked about rarely harvested species mostly relating to seabirds and shorebirds for the survey. Some of the concerns that were raised was whether that was in the budget to deal with, but it was just going to be added information that will be on the survey.

We formed a subcommittee to look at the criteria to deal with that, so the subcommittee of the Harvest Survey Committee will be meeting to deal with that issue. There appears to be no management concerns with seabirds or shorebirds at this time, so that is the criteria that we will work out and bring back to

1 the full Harvest Survey Committee when that's done.

We also discussed the problems of doing surveys in the larger communities such as with commercial buildings, unoccupied buildings, abandoned buildings, stuff like that, multiple apartment units and how to deal with that issue. So Liliana will be putting thoughts down on that and we'll discuss that further down the road. As the 2017 survey progresses, we'll probably identify some of those types of issues more better \(I\) guess is the word.

And then we talked about outreach and communication and how to understand harvest surveys, getting back to what Taqulik had mentioned in her regional report about bullet points on exactly what the survey will be doing. So Liliana had started putting some stuff down on how we can better inform the public about the harvest survey that we're doing.

We also discussed a workshop that may be upcoming to discuss harvest surveys. I believe Jason or maybe Chuck from the Service had more information on doing something like that maybe during AFN. Just on ways of how to inform the public much more better of what the harvest survey is all about. I don't know if Liliana or Jim would want to add anything, Chuck.
(No comments)
So that's my report.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Thanks for that report, Mike. Any questions for Mike. Eric.

DR. TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
Mike, could you clarify -- you mentioned that the committee is looking at -- and I apologize for not making the meeting. I did make sure Chuck Frost was there. Can you clarify that the committee is considering using the present survey to estimate rarely harvested species.

MR. PEDERSON: We're going to look at the criteria for the use of rarely harvested species on the survey and the subcommittee will come up with some action items regarding that.

DR. TAYLOR: Okay. My concern is that the survey really wasn't designed to do that. The survey was designed to estimate the total harvest for the state. We discussed this earlier when we had the deliberations with Colorado State. So my concern is, you know, the problem with looking at rarely harvested species is that the estimates can be way off. Just like Bruce said about Yellow-billed Loons. So my concern is we should give that some really serious thought before going down that road to make sure that the criteria that you talked about we think about that.

MR. PEDERSON: Yeah, that's why we formed a subcommittee to look at exactly what you just said. We argued these aspects on Tuesday as well.

CHAIRMAN DALE: I share Eric's concern. You know, the numbers could be bad and used in a poor way, but \(I\) think it is important to document the continued use. So maybe that should be more of an objective rather than to estimate actual take rather just to document that there is continued use of these rarely taken species. As you go forward see if that satisfies most of the need for looking at that. That would be my suggestion for your subcommittee.

MR. PEDERSON: Well, if either one of you were there, we would have saved a lot of time.
(Laughter)

MR. PEDERSON: But thank you for your comments.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Touche.
(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN DALE: Brandon.

MR. AHMASUK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Brandon Ahmasuk. As you mentioned, it potentially could be used against us, but that was one of the concerns as you brought up like with the Yellow-billed Loon. Back when that first came about there was a known harvest of Yellow-billed Loons and when that came about the finger pointing started. Because we know there's a harvest, but the species is on the ESA list as threatened, that's who we're going to target because

1 that's the known harvest. So law enforcement showed up 2 at St. Lawrence Island. We know there's a known 3 harvest out here so we're out here to give citations. 4 True, no citations were given, but that was the 5 mentality at the time.

Like Mike said, that's why a subcommittee was suggested to root through all this and try to come up with the best way forward.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Okay. That sounds like a good approach. What resolved the Yellow-billed Loon issue was the study that Lilian and Tamara did so you can focus it on the species where we do have this intersection between use and take by Alaska Natives and other folks in the included areas and some evidence of population decline or alleged population decline or some other concern. So then we can focus on that species, but to try and estimate for all the rarely taken species, good luck with that. We don't even do a very good job on the ones that we shoot thousands of.

Okay. Anything else. That was a good report.
(No comments)
CHAIRMAN DALE: Next we go to Jason and update on the Emperor Goose fall hunt.

MR. SCHAMBER: Jason Schamber, Alaska Department of Fish and Game. I'll be providing an update to the fall/winter hunt. As I mentioned before in the subcommittee report, this is material that was covered during that meeting.

I'll just provide a brief background on the fall/winter hunt itself to orient the group and provide a frame of reference for the update itself. So there's 1,000 birds available to harvest statewide for the fall/winter hunt and this hunt is administered as a registration permit hunt. Each permit allows a hunter one Emperor Goose per season. This is open this year for Alaska residents only. The registration permits are available either online at the website you see here at Department of Fish and Game offices around the state or in many coastal villages where Emperor Geese are hunted.

There are seven hunt areas that have been defined throughout the range of Emperor Geese and these are defined by Alaska Fish and Game Game Management Units as well as the Izembek State Game Refuge and that's that black box in the Alaska Peninsula that you see there with the exploded view of the defined boundary for the State Game Refuge there in the lower right corner.

The season dates are variable across these seven hunt areas. Four of the seven are already currently open, so they opened on September 1st. The remaining three will open in October. Each hunt area has an individual harvest quota and all of these sum up to the 1,000 bird statewide quota.

So the northern regions each have 125 birds allowable as well as the Izembek State Game Refuge. Bristol Bay area has 150 and then the Aleutians and Kodiak each have 175 birds allowable. Once these quotas are met the hunt areas will be closed by emergency order.

We can track the harvest to close the hunts because there's a mandatory reporting requirement associated with these registration permits. For most areas it's a three-day reporting requirement from the date of kill, but in Izembek State Game Refuge in Kodiak it's a 24 -hour reporting period.

Hunters can report either through a 1800 number that comes to our office and there's a message set up that hunters can leave their specific information or hunters can go online to hunt.alaska.gov and also report.

This is the update portion of the fall/winter hunt. The hunt itself was prefaced by a fairly considerable outreach effort to get the word out and the details of the fall/winter hunt. That was orchestrated largely by Tamara Zeller of Fish and Wildlife Service, Migratory Bird Management.

A couple products that came out of that outreach effort was a one-page flyer that was sent to a number of box holders in coastal villages in each of the hunt areas as well as a two-page flyer that was sent around to the tribes, tribal councils and various parts of the Fish and Wildlife Service Emperor Goose
outreach team.
There are copies of those one and twopage flyers on the back table. So I would encourage folks to grab one of those and we would welcome any input that anybody has, any improvements that we can make for next season to help get the word out about this hunt.

We also put out radio PSAs. Tyler Lewis, who is in our shop, helped with that considerably in six of the hub villages. Those included Kotzebue, Nome, Bethel, Dillingham. Those played about a week to 10 days prior to the hunt opening in those areas. They were a \(45-\) second spot that crammed as many details about the fall hunt as possible into that short PSA. Then Kodiak City and Unalaska will also be airing the PSA for about a week prior to their hunt opening next month.

In addition, we sent the one-page flyer that went to the box holders to post offices as well in 70 rural villages to advertise outside of the post office itself on bulletin boards to help get the word out as well. The post office is a fairly frequented area in the villages. And then ADF\&G released a press release, so this went out to various newspapers and radio stations that have done various articles on the fall hunt itself.

With regard to the actual permits themselves, they went online in July. July 5th I believe they were available online. We also distributed a number of paper copy permits to 47 coastal villages in the hunt areas and those also were available in July. They will be available both in the villages and online until the hunt areas are either closed or the season dates end.

So to date we've had 193 online permits obtained. The paper copy permits we're not clear on how many have been distributed thus far. We plan to make a call to the vendors in November and get a tally from them of how many have been distributed to date. We'll have a final tally at the end of the season when they return that information to our office.

Thus far as of this morning we've
received 15 harvest reports of Emperor Geese being

1 harvested and all of those have come from Unit 9.

So this is a graph of the population status of Emperor Geese and the trend over the last 30some years. This is based on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta Coastal Zone Survey that the Fish and Wildlife Service, Migratory Bird Management Region 7 shop is conducting annually. The last survey was conducted in early June of this year. The estimate was 30,000 geese, which is a bit lower than last year's estimate of 34,000 , which was set as the population objective.

We're still above any of the population harvest thresholds that were set in place, the 28,000 and 23,000. As I mentioned yesterday actually during the work meeting the regulations will be unchanged for the spring/summer and fall/winter harvest in 2018. So the regulations will be the same as they were this year.

As many of you know there was a nonresident hunt that was approved by the Board of Game earlier this year in January. That hunt will take place next year, so it's allowable in fall of 2018. It is a draw permit hunt. Non-resident hunters can apply for a draw permit starting November 1st of this year. That open period extends to December 15th.

The draw permits will be awarded next February for non-resident hunters to participate in the fall harvest of 2018. There will be 25 draw permits awarded and those are allowable for hunting in Units 8, 9, which includes the Izembek State Game Refuge, and Unit 10 .

This hunt will be administered as a single hunt area, which is a bit different than the resident hunt. The single hunt area will include these four resident hunt areas, but they will be considered zones within that single hunt area. This allows us to administer a single permit for the hunt area, but the non-resident hunters have the flexibility of hunting in each of the four zones provided they are still open and haven't been closed by emergency order.

By having four zones within a single hunt area it also allows those zones to have different hunt conditions. Those hunt conditions will be the same as the resident hunt areas. So, for example,

1 Kodiak will have the same season dates in the
2 non-resident zones as the resident hunt area of Kodiak. The same harvest quota as well. So once that quota is met we can close the hunt area, the resident hunt area and the non-resident zone by emergency order whether non-resident/resident reporting occurs or just from resident reporting.

I think that's all I had. You can advance it one more, the slide. That's just my information. If anybody has any questions or concerns to follow up after the meeting, they're more than welcome to give me a call at the office or email me and we can discuss it.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Okay. Good report. Thanks, Jason. Questions for Jason. Brandon.

MR. AHMASUK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Brandon Ahmasuk. I don't think it's any secret that the regions did not want the non-resident hunt. When this all came about, it was to open the subsistence harvest for Emperor Geese. My understanding when the Service was first considering this it was for basically Alaska residents only. Correct me if I'm wrong, but that was my understanding.

It's also my understanding that the State does have management authority but they have not been given the authority to make it more liberal. They can only make it more restrictive. So the point I'm trying to make is you're giving out these -- yeah, it's only 25 , but in a perfect storm if all 25 went to just say Kodiak, that takes away from their quota. So in my mind you've essentially -- the State of Alaska has liberalized it, but it's my understanding that the State has the authority to only make it more restrictive.

The point I'm trying to argue is the State has liberalized it when it's my understanding they only have the authority to make it more restrictive.

MR. SCHAMBER: This is Jason Schamber. The decision to add in the 25 non-resident permits was made by the Board of Game at their meeting in January.

1 It is contained within the 1,000 bird allotment that's in the Federal framework. So it's neither more liberal or restrictive, I guess. It's just part of the 1,000 bird quota that the State has allotted.

MR. AHMASUK: Again the part that you're giving away birds to non-residents when this is already a species of concern. That's kind of where I'm coming from. So, in essence, you are -- in my mind, my opinion, the State is making it more liberal when I guess they only have the authority in my mind to make it more restrictive.

Anyway, thank you.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Gayla.
MS. HOSETH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. We talked about this yesterday in our work session. The Native Caucus feels -- you know, we waited 30 -plus years to harvest Emperor Geese and since we're in a three-year trial period and we have basically -- you know, this is our first year, so we have two more years.

We didn't feel that it was good for non-residents to come up and harvest the first year that -- or the second year that it would be open. In our discussions yesterday, it was talked that we could do a resolution to not give any permits to any non-resident hunters or that we cannot give permits or reduce the number.

How would we go about doing that, Mr. Chair, because it's a really big concern that we have? We don't want to open it up to non-residents yet and see how that population does during the first three years. You know, it's an Alaskan bird and also migrates to Russia, but tell all non-residents and it's -- we weren't very happy with that and we know that it was a Board of Game decision. And if you could explain the resolution portion to us and what we could do about that.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Sure, I'll give it a try. First I'll speak to Brandon's issue about them being more restrictive or less restrictive. It's true they can't be any more liberal than the Federal framework which allowed 1,000 birds, but under the

1 authority of the Board of Game they do have the authority to allocate amongst residents and nonresidents. So they allocated those 25 within that framework to non-residents and that's sort of the way it was done.

The rationale for it was that -- well, there was many points given, but one is that the nonresidents pay for the lion's share of migratory bird management and game management in Alaska through license and tag fees. So that was one consideration. The other was that there would be stronger support for the conservation of the species if you had the nationwide hunters behind it and things like that.

It was the Board decision that of course the Department was neutral on, but they did make that decision and there's some other things that I alluded to yesterday that possibly you might consider them possible benefits. That's not for me to judge how you feel.

And then in terms of how you could get the Board to give us guidance under our discretionary authority to not issue any permits for a year or two or whatever, the route is a little bit unclear. I did a little bit of homework last night. What is clear is that probably the Native Caucus, but the recommendation to the Board should be done by September 29th. That is the deadline for comments on the ACR meeting that's coming up on October 4th. I'll double check that date, but I think that's when they look at the single agenda change request they have.

As we said yesterday, this would have been done by agenda change request except the deadline was September 11th. That was when you would have had to submit the agenda change request. But we do have the ability to issue less permits or no permits under discretionary authority. Because it's allocation the Department won't do that on our own. If the Board directs us to do it, we will do it because we don't make allocation decisions.

So if the Board reaches a letter by September 29th, it's not clear, they won't have to take it up, but they will have the ability, I think, I haven't checked with the Department of Law, to take this issue up and discuss it at the work session or the

1 ACR Board of Game meeting, it's just a teleconference, on October 4th.

The reason it's important to get it at that timeframe is because it's a drawing hunt and people will be able to start applying for the drawing hunt on November 1 st and the actual drawing hunt supplement that goes out that says there will be a hunt or there will be 25 permits issued or whatever it says has to go to the printer in the first week of October.

It's kind of tight to do it that way, but that would be the recommendation to have it in by September 29th. Then the Board may not take it up until the work session before the statewide meeting, which is November 10th. That work session will be on the \(9 t h\) or the \(10 t h\) and they could take it up there. At that point they would have to go back and stop the application period and refund the money for the people that already put in for it.

Taqulik.
MS. HEPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Gayla and Brandon for your comments. With that being said and the short timeframe, would there be consensus or a consideration from the AMBCC because we have a strong voice, a co-management of migratory birds, would there be consensus to write a letter on behalf of the \(A M B C C\) requesting that zero permits be given out until the three-year trial period has concluded to see how the population reacts to an opportunity for a 1,000 bird hunt?

CHAIRMAN DALE: Thank you. That's a good question. It is an allocation issue, so I'm kind of conflicted and I don't know exactly -- I imagine Eric is in sort of the same boat where we wouldn't weigh in. It would be much quicker and probably carry more force or just as much if the Native Caucus so chooses to make that petition to the Board of Game. Otherwise we'd have to go do a lot of -- well, we'd be talking to solicitors and nothing happens by September 29th when you get them involved.

MS. HEPA: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Did you have something, Gayla, next.

1

MS. HOSETH: Taqulik asked the same question that \(I\) was going to ask. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Okay. Peter.
MR. DEVINE: I have a concern. There's public service announcements gone out to Kodiak and then the place up north. Why not one to Izembek, which is the trophy bird hunting capital of America? I think we could get a public service announcement out for this area.

We have a radio station in Sand Point and it covers the whole Aleutians East Borough, so everybody in the Izembek area would get the announcement. The phone number over there is (907)3835737 and the radio station is KSDP in Sand Point. You could also reach them on www.apradio.org and the manager is Austin Roof. Just shoot them a copy of the message and he'd be happy to put it on.

MR. SCHAMBER: That's a great suggestion, Peter. Thank you. We'll definitely do that.

CHAIRMAN DALE: And in terms of outreach we've all got to do what Peter just did. If you have an outlet you think it should be at, make sure you get it to Jason and we'll get it on the air. I was pretty impressed by the amount of outreach that has occurred and was planned, but we'll always do better and just let us know.

Go ahead, Peter.
MR. DEVINE: It's just we got the message, but the hunters that are going to be coming in, you know, if they could hear it on the radio. I'm sure they're going to see the flyers when they fly in there too. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Taqulik.
MS. HEPA: Just to follow up on the comment we made earlier about sending a letter requesting for zero permits and I just want to ask Patty real quick are we going to have a chance for another Native Caucus or can we do a consensus to give you direction to help write a letter before the

September 29th deadline?
MS. SCHWALENBERG: Yeah, I think that we could probably have -- if we break a little early today, the Native Caucus can meet if the Council agrees to that and I'd be certainly willing to discuss that with you about writing a letter, yeah.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Okay. That was my concern. I didn't want to leave that open-ended there. You're going to do it in Caucus?

MS. SCHWALENBERG: Yes.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Okay. That's fine. We could do it here too if you needed to. Taqulik.

MS. HEPA: So if I may, Mr. Chair, and Executive Director Schwalenberg, I'd like to just make a recommendation on behalf of the Native Caucus to ask our Executive Director to write a letter to the Alaska Board of Game to request that no permits for that particular hunt we were referring to until the threeyear trial period has been complete and we could provide our details to fill in the letter. Is there any objection to that, Native Caucus members?
(No comments)
MS. HEPA: Are you good, Patty? Was that good enough?

MS. SCHWALENBERG: Yeah.
MS. HEPA: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Just to be clear, what she'll be asking for is that the Board direct the Department to use their discretionary authority to issue zero permits. I can work with you on it later.

MS. HEPA: Yeah, thank you. You learn something new every day.

CHAIRMAN DALE: And I forget something old every day too.
(Laughter)
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CHAIRMAN DALE: Peter.
MR. DEVINE: I forgot to mention thank you, Jason, for taking the ball and fricken slamdunking it. I mean this is -- you've just come on board and I mean you took it and went running, so thank you.
CHAIRMAN DALE: All right. Any more for Jason. Any more Emperor Geese.
MR. WHITE: I have a question. With this permit system for each region they're allocated a certain amount and with the permits anyone with a permit is allowed to catch one bird. Thinking back several years there was an incident with a protected bird up north where a hunter mistakenly shot this bird and it was protected. Once he found out that was a protected bird he reported it to Board of Game and he ended up going to court and paying for the fine.
My question is if by any chance, let's say me and my son go out hunting, he's 12 years old and I tell him, okay, you can't shoot birds that are packed together. Just shoot one bird that is passing by, but then out of excitement he shoots a flock and two, three birds fall and the enforcement officer comes by and sees that we have three birds with only two permits, what's going to happen there?
CHAIRMAN DALE: Well, the regulation says the bag limit is one bird and so there's a violation there. From that you have to go to law enforcement and ask how they're going to treat it. That would be the Alaska State Troopers and Ryan will be here tomorrow, so that would be a good question for Ryan. It's no different than anything else with a bag limit and it does happen. It doesn't really answer your question, I know, Roland, but that's all I can do.
MS. HEPA: I just want to make a point. I think that's a really good point and thanks, Roland, for asking that question. Because when people call and ask should we report it, \(I\) just say, well, it's up to you. But, you know, when we do harvest surveys and reporting and trying to understand what is actually happening out there, we know that it's good for them to report it, but at the end of the day that there's a potential.

And good examples of people receiving citations because they reported taking a threatened or endangered bird, even picking it up off the -- when they find them, they could get -- I mean they could get into trouble. So there's that gray line, but I don't think people should be punished for reporting something that was incidently taken or picked up when they find them.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Brandon.
MR. AHMASUK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The more and more the Emperor Goose stuff goes on, sometimes more and more confused I get. So my understanding with this sport hunt because this is basically the first year that topic did get brought up, you know, what if \(I\) accidentally shoot an extra bird. My understanding at the time was no citations will be given. Again, correct me if I'm wrong, but when we discussed this prior no citations were going to be given. It was to encourage people to report. But now if I go back home and tell people this, they're not going to want to report anything.

So anyway again that was my understanding that if an additional bird was accidentally shot that no citations were going to be given. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Well, all I know,
Brandon, is that probably wasn't something that was said by any of the biologists such as myself because we can't speak for what law enforcement will do. I've been involved in many of these discussions before the Board of Game and usually the Department of Public Safety says things like they take in the totality of circumstances. So if there's other violations going on or something like that, they may charge in a situation like that.

If it's a self turn in and obviously somebody just made a mistake, they have the discretionary authority -- that's not the term they use, but they do have the ability to write a warning instead or give a verbal warning and they do that all the time. But we can't -- law enforcement will rarely say -- I don't think I've ever heard them say, no, we will not enforce something.

MS. HEPA: Their phrase is it's at the discretion of the officer at the time and it really is at the individual officer.

CHAIRMAN DALE: That's very true.
MR. SCHAMBER: Somebody correct me if I'm wrong, but I think it was said earlier that there will likely not be a penalty for not reporting in the first couple years. Maybe that's where some of the confusion lies.

CHAIRMAN DALE: That was discussed and that frequently is the case when there's new reporting requirements such as with caribou in Unit 23 and 26A, that there's obviously -- when a new traffic pattern, you know, suddenly erupts and we have difficulty with outreach, there's consideration given for that certainly.

Brandon.

MR. AHMASUK: Yeah, Mr. Chair. I do recall that, but also remembering past meetings that it was stated, again in my memory, that no citations will be given for an incidental bird that was taken.

CHAIRMAN DALE: If you could search your memory and find out who said that, that would probably help and it would be a good question for law enforcement tomorrow.

Okay. Anything else on Emperor Geese.
(No comments)

CHAIRMAN DALE: All right. Thank you very much, Jason. So we're going to delay the Humboldt Bay Aquaculture update until tomorrow. Which brings us to new business.

MS. HEPA: Can we take a five-minute restroom break? That's going to be a long one.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Absolutely. Great
idea. Thanks.
(Off record)
(On record)
CHAIRMAN DALE: Next up is Julian to give the status and trends of migratory birds and we're going to challenge him to see if he can keep them as short as Eric always did.
(Laughter)
MR. FISCHER: Is this the hot seat right here? Good afternoon. My name is Julian Fischer. I work for Fish and Wildlife Service, the Migratory Bird Program. Today I'm going to talk about the abundance of migratory birds that are commonly harvested by subsistence hunters in Alaska.
Thanks for the opportunity. Jason is going to help me out by being my slide advancer. Tab 6 in your book has three items. It has the note pages for this presentation, but it's also got a one-page overview of the status of Emperor Geese. There's also some text in there. It's a memorandum to the Pacific Flyway that provides some very basic and kind of stark details about each species with regards to their growth rate and their currently population size. So you'll find some details in there, but the presentation is also found under Tab 6.
So what I'm going to talk about today is just a very brief overview of what we do in the Waterfowl Survey Program. I'll follow up with actual abundance estimates and trends for some of the most commonly harvested species here. At the end I'm going to talk about an idea that has been brought up several times, but most recently at the spring meeting Gayla and Patty approached Dave Safine and I about putting together a workshop to provide information about how these surveys are done, what the statistics mean, some of the basic definitions that will help communicate better the information we're providing in these presentations.
So I'll talk about that real briefly and then, of course, open it up to discussion and questions. I'd invite all of you to stop me at any point if something is unclear. I'd be happy to try to explain what we're looking at on each of these slides.
This is the crowd that \(I\) have the

1 pleasure to work with in Migratory Bird Management.
2 It's comprised of a number of individuals with
3 different expertise. There's two wildlife
4 biologist/pilots that fly aircraft for the purpose of aerial surveys of migratory birds. There's two quantitative ecologists, Erik Osnas and Chuck Frost, who participated in the meetings this week. They have advanced skills in statistics, modeling and decisionmaking.

There's also a number of trained aerial observers. They're waterfowl biologists that have been trained in estimating flock sizes, species identification and lots of training in safety, particularly in airplanes. We also work closely with a GIS specialist, a geographic information specialist, who can put the data that we collect in the form of maps for various uses.

So together this team of biologists works on wildlife surveys for lots of purposes, but one of the main reasons we do what we do is to provide information to managers like yourselves to make decisions about what should happen with regards to harvest. Whether it should be increased or pulled back or kept at the status quo.

So much of the work that we do is from aircraft. The airplanes that you're looking at here are all Cessna 206 planes on amphibius floats. There's three aircraft. The two on the left and the upper one that we've been using for the last few years, the upper right aircraft is going to get phased out and replaced with November 721, November Romeo in the bottom right there.

These aircraft are all from the 1980s. We've chose this aerial platform because they are lighter than the new planes, they're less expensive to operate and they allow us to put a fair amount of fuel onboard so we can have long transits between different places that we survey.

So just a brief background around the general approach that we use for aerial surveys. There's lots of different types of aerial surveys, but most of what I'm going to be talking about today are called transect surveys conducted during the breeding period.

So, in essence, the aircraft flies along a straight line. Lines that have been mapped out in the office prior to going out into the field. An observer on either side of the aircraft looks out to 200 meters on either side of the plane and records all the birds that they see. When they see a bird, they have a laptop computer or a pad that's connected to a GPS and a microphone.

So when they click their microphone, they say what they're seeing and it grabs the physical location on the computer and it maps it out. So they record all their observations through time and space. At the end of that we're able to come up with a data form that shows all the birds and the precise locations where they were observed and the flock sizes and the species.

Next slide, please. So afterwards we spend quite a bit of time on the ground going over all the data that's collected checking it for errors, making sure that we covered all the transects that we were slated to do. After that we run the data through various computers to check for further errors and then through analysis programs that produce population estimates for each species in each location.

On the North Slope breeding pair survey we station out of Prudhoe Bay and Atqasuk and sometimes Barrow. Each day after each flight we sit down and we go through each of those recordings and make sure that it's transcribed correctly and get ready for the next day. So there's an initial data checking period immediately after the data is collected and then when we get back to Anchorage or wherever we get further into it.

Next slide. Okay, so I'm going to get into some results here. I'm going to start off with three of the geese that occur on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta. The YK Delta is home to huge numbers of migratory birds and of course many subsistence hunters that rely on these birds. For these three particular species the vast majority of those birds occur there on the coastal zone of the YK Delta.

In the mid 1980s an aerial survey was designed specifically to monitor first Cackling and Pacific Greater White-fronted Geese, but also Emperor

1 Geese were recorded during the survey at that time. 2 Within the last couple years we've transitioned to 3 using this particular survey to be the primary 4 monitoring tool for Emperor Geese as well.
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MR. FISCHER: I'll try to address those. For this type of survey, a breeding pair survey, the altitude that we fly out is at 150 feet and that's maintained by the pilot focusing on a radar altimeter that shows precisely how far off the ground they are. There are other surveys where we fly higher. We have a photographic survey of Brant colonies which I'll mention briefly further in the presentation where we do fly higher and we're photographing birds. It's at 400 feet.

With regards to the windows, the observers are placed forward in the aircraft and they are looking out the front window and they have a full view and they will be constantly looking back and forth, in front of them and to the side. They cannot see directly below themselves, but they have markers on the wing struts which shows them how far out to look on the ground. We take care of that type of potential bias by having those standard operating procedures for this type of survey.

Next. Okay, I'm going to get into some results here. I'm going to start off with Cackling Canada Geese. Cacklers, pretty much all of them, are nesting there on the coastal zone of the YukonKuskokwim Delta. Up here is the Yukon Delta, this is the breeding area. After they breed the birds head down to the Alaska Peninsula and head down to the coast ultimately to get down to -- most of them winter in Northwest Oregon and Southwestern Washington. Upon return they head back. Basically reverse their flight, but take a little bit longer following the coastline back. We monitor this population on the breeding grounds in late May to early June each year.

Next slide. Okay, here's some results for Cackling Geese. Many of you have seen a similar figure like this in years past. This is with one extra data point with a 2017 numbers. Along here is the population size. Along the X -axis is the year. For example in 1985 we estimated about 50,000 Cackling Canada Geese. This was at an all-time low. This is when there was basically a goose catastrophe going on.

Working with the states and the Native community particularly on the Yukon Delta, a Goose Management Plan was developed and adopted by the Pacific Flyway and hunting was closed for several years

1 in the wintering grounds and on the breeding grounds as well. It was reinstituted. Just a few years later through the conservation efforts there was almost an immediate recovery of the population. You can see over the subsequent 10 or 15 years there was a huge response in the goose population.

An objective was set in the Yukon Delta Goose Management Plan of 250,000 and that objective remains today. There have been efforts to lower that population objective, but it has been maintained at that level. Since the growth of that population it been maintained generally around this population objective. As Jason described yesterday, the new management plan calls for action to be taken when the number of Cacklers is more than 10 percent above the objective or more than 10 percent below the objective.

There some other cut points that were determined here on the Goose Management Plan. When you can open harvest after it's been closed and that's at 110,000 and when it should be closed if it were to drop down to this level then hunting would close again. So Cacklers are healthy, above objective, and this is a good thing for hunters.

MS. HEPA: I have a question.
MR. FISCHER: Yes.
MS. HEPA: On your open harvest and closed harvest and population objective, has that been modified over the years or was that set in 1985 or '84?

MR. FISCHER: I believe those numbers have been consistent since the first iteration of the Goose Management Plan, so those are in place.

Next slide, please. Okay, next species also occurring on the YK Delta in great numbers are the Pacific Greater White-fronted Geese. White-fronted Geese as a species occur throughout Alaska and across most of Canada, but the population I'm going to describe now are the Pacific population that occur primarily on the Yukon Delta. There's some that breed down in Bristol Bay, but primarily they're occurring on the Yukon Delta during breeding. They winter in central California. The birds in Canada end up in Mexico. Primarily, most of the birds that we see on

1 the YK Delta overwinter in California.

1

Next slide. So the management plans for Emperor Geese were revised just last year. Two plans were developed and many folks in this room were involved in these processes. One for the Pacific Flyway that covers the fall/winter harvest, which Jason just described, and then the AMBCC plan for Emperor Geese that deals with the subsistence harvest in spring/summer.

In both of these they follow very similar patterns in that there's population thresholds that govern when the population can withstand a traditional harvest and when we need to be more cautious and start thinking about curtailing the harvest and when it should be closed. So they're very specific to that.

Next slide. So this is what that plan looks like and it's based originally on an idea that Cyrus brought that's based on the caribou model where we have a red, yellow and green kind of signal here. When the population is below 23,000 birds as measured by the breeding ground survey on the Yukon Delta, then harvest will be closed.

When it's in that yellow zone between 23,000 and 28,000 , then that's an area where there can be hunting, but there might need to be restrictions. The restrictions are to be developed by the AMBCC. There's all sorts of things that can be done and they would be developed at a time when we're in that yellow zone and those people who are on the Emperor Goose Committee can develop those ideas together. We would be looking to the subsistence hunters to advise how a reduced harvest could occur in a traditional and sensitive way.

Where we are right now is in the green zone. The population has been growing since the '80s and we hope it continues to, but the population is above 28,000 so for spring and summer a traditional hunt is in effect.

MS. HEPA: Are you going to talk about the other plan and what their thresholds are? Are they the same thresholds?

MR. FISCHER: Yeah.

MS. HEPA: Okay, good. Thanks.
MR. FISCHER: I wasn't going to talk about that because I think Jason covered it, but I'll just go over it again quickly.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Can you repeat the question.

MR. FISCHER: The question was does the other plan, the Pacific Flyway Management Plan that covers the fall and winter hunt, does it have the same thresholds. The answer is yes. If the population is above 28,000 , then there is a 1,000 bird quota. If it's in the yellow zone -- Jason.

MR. SCHAMBER: There's just
restrictions. There's nothing specified.
MR. FISCHER: There will be restrictions, but they're unspecified at this point. And then if it's below 23,000, then the fall/winter hunt would be closed. So the same population thresholds for all periods of year.

Let's move on. Just to summarize here, the current spring/summer subsistence hunt is from the 2nd of April through August. There is a 30-day closure as with all migratory birds harvested in the spring/summer. Currently it's open to customary and traditional hunting practices.

As emphasized in all the outreach materials, Emperor Geese are just above that threshold now. So there is a possibility that overharvest could occur and the population would go down below that threshold. By having those plans developed there are protections in place that everyone would expect to happen if the population drops, so harvest restrictions could be implemented.

Just the general message that all of you know that encouraging hunters to hunt
conservatively now will hopefully allow that population to continue to grow and allow this hunt to continue in the future.

MS. HEPA: Just one follow-up question
before you move on this. Did we have a historical like

1 normal population before the rapid decline? Like what 2 was the healthy population prior to when it became a 3 conservation concern?

Arctic area.

Pacific Brant breed in many locations. Traditionally we believe that most of them nest on the Yukon Delta. We used to think that there was about 80 or 90 percent of them breeding just on the Yukon Delta and the scattered colonies on the North Slope and in Canada and some in Russia. There is evidence to suggest the proportion of birds on the Yukon Delta is declining relative to the North Slope and I'll get to that in a few minutes.

In general, this group of birds, the Pacific Brant population breeds on the North Slope and the Yukon Delta and in some other locations in western Alaska. In fall goes down to the Alaska Peninsula. They stage in Izembek Lagoon where they are concentrated into one mass of birds for approximately a month before proceeding further south down to Baja Mexico and various locations along the West Coast. In some years, up to 30 percent of them however remain in Alaska at the Izembek Lagoon area.

Next slide. So this population is not tracked using the Yukon Delta breeding pair survey. Instead a winter survey has been completed every year since the '60s throughout its winter range. So from Mexico to Alaska in certain areas crews from various different states go out and they monitor the population size sometime between January and February.

The Goose Management Plan in the '80s used that survey as well and defined a closure threshold and different levels of restriction and also identified a population objective. So through time Brant have bumped around a fair bit, but in general they're been relatively flat if you look over the long term. Currently they're doing well. Our count this year was -- over the last three years was about 144,000 Brant, just below the population objective, but Brant are doing well population wide.

Next slide. Now I mentioned that that is based on a winter survey. The winter survey monitors all Brant from all locations in the Pacific population. But what about what's going on in the Yukon Delta specifically. I mentioned before that we used to believe that most of the birds in the Pacific population bred on the Yukon Delta. Specifically we

1 thought they were all these five colonies on the coast 2 depicted here in these black blobs on this map.

What we do know though is despite egging that occurs there are some nests that are visited based on footprints leading to them that are still active. This may reflect the traditional practice of not taking all eggs from nests. We also know that many nests, if they're visited by people, they do not necessarily fail. If all eggs are removed from a nest, obviously that nesting effort is going to be over for the year.

Anyway, the number of colonies that are visited by individuals is relatively low. It jumps around from year to year. That's one possibility for why the colonies are declining, is the presence of people. There's many other possibilities that could be going on out there including fox predation on nests or other predators. It also could be that the birds are simply not as successful on the Yukon Delta as they used to be and they're being attracted to different breeding grounds.

Go to the next slide, please.
MR. FAGERSTROM: Is that normal, the tide level, the water level in those pictures?

MR. FISCHER: Great question. So these colonies nest right on the coast. So on the Yukon Delta the tide comes and goes and the Brant are actually nesting on islands surrounded by mud at all times. So when the tide comes up, this would look very different. When the tide goes out, it looks like this. So this is a low tide photograph.

I'll just point out that in some years these birds experience tidal extremes with storms that are occurring and they get entirely flooded out. It's a big concern. Any bird that's nesting in one very specific habitat, especially right on the coast, is threatened by sea level rise obviously and by -- if there's an increase in storms, they could experience a lot of loss of habitat or just failed nesting attempts.

MR. FAGERSTROM: We noticed blackberry patches getting inundated over the years and gradually disappearing.

MR. FISCHER: Yeah, change is upon us on the coast, that's for sure.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Roland.
MR. WHITE: Thank you. You mentioned that based on the picture up there and based on the footprints going back and forth to the nest you mentioned that the possibility for the decline is presence of people, is that correct?

MR. FISCHER: I said one possibility for the numbers of Brant declining in colonies is people. That is one possibility.

MR. WHITE: Okay. Does that reflect any other birds besides the Brants?

MR. FISCHER: So Brant are unique from other geese in that they nest in colonies. They're closely clustered together. Other geese are more spread out across the landscape. So there's possibilities if a few people enter a colony, they can be very effective at removing a lot of eggs in a fast, efficient manner. So there might be a higher probability that nesting could be affected by people for Brant than for say White-fronted Geese that are more spread out over the landscape.

MR. WHITE: The point that I'm trying to get into is if these birds -- thinking of the possibility, if these birds are affected in general by the presence of people, is it possible that all the other birds can be affected with the presence of people and equipment to move somewhere else? That's my question.

MR. FISCHER: Sure. The numbers of birds at all of the five colonies on the Yukon Delta have been going down. There's one research camp at one of the colonies, it's a Tutakoke camp and there's been a number of researchers there for many years. The numbers of nests there are going down and the numbers of nests at Kokechik Bay are going down and the numbers of nests at Baird Inlet are going down and at Baird Island.

So some of those colonies -- this one here is from Baird Island or Baird Inlet Island. Some have no presence of footprints. Some have some presence of footprints. But the fact that the numbers are declining in all colonies tells me that the

1 presence of people may be one factor, but maybe not 2 controlling the change. I think habitat change is

1 for a particular year. The shaded area around it is the confidence interval. So that's a 95 percent confidence interval. You notice that it's quite wide for Brant. The reason for that is Brant are a colonial nesting bird, which means they're very clustered in close areas.

So if you imagine we were all Brant and we were spread out across this room, which is like we are, so we're more like White-fronted Geese in here, and we were to fly transects across this room, on each transect we'd see a similar number of us, okay. If we all gather down at this end of the room and an airplane came through and counted five transects through, most of those transects would have zero birds on them and one of them would have a whole bunch on it.

What that leads to is a highly variable number out there with a low level of confidence around what that population really is at. That's why you have a wide confidence interval there. To answer your question, the cloud around it is the range of numbers that the population could be at.

MS. HEPA: That answers my question. I just wanted to make sure I understood that. Thank you.

MR. FISCHER: I'm going to talk briefly about the Mid-Continent population of White-fronted Geese. We talked about the Pacific population that migrates down the Pacific Flyway. There's many Whitefronted Geese that breed in Canada and the North Slope of Alaska and in the Interior. These birds migrate down through what's called the Mid-Continent Flyway. The Mississippi and the Central Flyways. Many of them winter in Texas, Arkansas and parts of Mexico.

Next slide, please. So there's two ways that we've monitored this population. What you see here is a highly variable number of White-fronts. These counts are made from a survey that is not designed for this species, but it provides an estimate of how many birds there might be out there.

In the upper left here you see a figure of the State of Alaska and in it there's these red marks. These are primary breeding areas of waterfowl. In the center, in the circle, are the areas that this population breeds south of the North Slope. These areas

1 are all sampled by an aircraft throughout the summer and they have -- they count the number of Mid-Continent Greater White-fronted Geese along with all the other ducks and geese that they encounter.

So we know that there's birds breeding there. The numbers are highly variable and I believe this is because many of the birds that are counted are actually still migrating north. So if the survey is conducted on one day, there might have been a huge flock that was moving through the Interior on the way to the North Slope. I am just showing this figure because we are not using this to track the population but it is an indication that there are Mid-Continent White-fronted Geese that are present in the Interior and are harvested there each year.

The next slide shows what we found on the North Slope. So this is a survey that's similar to the Yukon Delta where we have a high-intensity number of transects. Mid-Continent White-fronted Geese have been increasing on the North Slope. They were pretty stable for quite a while and then kind of took off in the 2000's.

I've heard from Taqulik and others that the number of white-fronts observed and harvested on the North Slope have increased over time as well and that the data from our survey shows that. So there's a lot of white-fronts both in the Mid-Continent population and on the Yukon Delta.

Next slide. So this population of white-fronts has a population objective of 650,000. That number is determined based on not just what's in Alaska, but what's in Canada as well. So there is a survey that is done by the Canadian Wildlife Service and the Fish and Wildlife Service in September. It's probably occurring right around now in Saskatchewan when the North Slope breeders, those that bred in the Interior of Alaska and those that bred in Canada all converge in this one area of Saskatchewan and there they're counted. There's almost a million birds there, so we're well over the population objective for that species as well.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Taqulik.
MS. HEPA: You said it's happening now,

1 but yet there's still quite a few white-fronts that are still in Barrow.

MR. FISCHER: Yeah.

MS. HEPA: Like I said, they're staying later and arriving earlier.

MR. FISCHER: Yes.

MS. HEPA: That's like the timeframe. So how does that -- will that affect what you guys are doing here?

MR. FISCHER: Yeah. So there's a close analogy between that fall survey in Saskatchewan and the spring survey of Emperor Geese. It's a survey that's done during a certain time when most birds are congregating in an area, but not all of them are there. There's some late arrivers and there's some that have probably already passed through that area. But it's a period of time in a certain place where there's a large congregation of birds.

There's a lot of concern about this approach. So right now the Mid-Continent Plan is looking at two different ways to monitor this population. One is this historical fall survey, but it's also using a different method to monitor the size of the population that involves banding birds every year and calculating harvest rate. It's something I can talk about at a different time because it's kind of a long conversation, but it's a way of measuring whether the number of birds that are harvested out of the overall population is at a level that the population can sustain.

So we're trying to shift away from these surveys that are really difficult to understand what portion of the birds are actually there. Like you mentioned before, if birds are changing their timing of migration, it can really throw something like this off. If they're getting close to a million birds now, but you actually have a bunch of birds that are still on the breeding ground, then it might be far higher than a million birds out there.

Okay. Another North Slope goose that we've talked about a little bit this week, the Snow

1 Geese. Snow Geese breed in Alaska. Most of the Lesser 2 Snow Geese are breeding in Canada and in Russia. We've 3 talked a little bit about Wrangell Island Snow Geese. 4 Those birds pass through the Yukon Delta and Northwest 5 Alaska and then breed in Russia. There are Snow Geese

1 increasing. Snow Geese are quick to adapt. They 2 migrate early, produce many young, they nest early. 3 . Once they take hold they can succeed very well. I 4 don't know what the trigger was right around 2000, but reach a threshold too they can overcome the ability of predators to knock out the little ones.

MR. FISCHER: Mr. Rothe just pointed out many birds -- the predators can keep them in check, but once there's too many of them out there the predators really can't keep them in check, so then they can take off.

Next slide, please. Just a little bit of information. Our colleagues at USGS have been studying Snow Goose population on the North Slope and they've been looking at their breeding success and found that Snow Geese are kind of out-competing other geese up there. They have not found that they are -that that's leading to pushing other goose populations downward. But compared to Brant and white-fronts and Canada Geese they produce more young, they breed at an earlier age and earlier in the year and they bring back more young.

Despite that success we're seeing such an increase that we believe that there must be some Snow Geese that are actually immigrating into the North Slope from Canada and possibly from Wrangell Island as well. So those habitats might be becoming overpopulated and the North Slope is kind of situated right in the middle. So we might see more immigrants from other countries showing up on the North Slope in the form of Snow Geese. So we're watching that.

Next slide, please. Okay. The last large bird I'm going to talk about is a favorite of Jack's, the Tundra Swan. This is a figure that shows the North American distribution. There's two main populations of Tundra Swans on the North Slope. These birds migrate down to the East Coast of the U.S. in winter as do those that are breeding in Canada.

The western population that breeds

1 basically from Point Hope south either overwinters in 2 Alaska, in the case of the Alaska Peninsula, but most 3 of them really are wintering down along the Pacific 4 Coast and in the Rocky Mountains. So two separate 5 populations that migrate to two different places. We

1 long-term average. Mallards are about 40 percent above 2 the long-term average. Wigeon, 15 percent above and 3 Green-winged Teal about 50 percent above the long-term 4 average.

We don't have precise estimates for these species in small locations around the state, but I just wanted to show this that gives a kind of a general picture of what's going on continent-wide for these common duck species.

Are there any questions about any of the data I talked about just now or we can talk about that afterwards because I just have one other thing that I'd like to discuss in this presentation before we go on?

Brandon.
MR. AHMASUK: Yeah, you mentioned for Tundra Swans, let me back up just briefly, you had a breakdown -- do you have breakdowns for population numbers per region? Like in the past we've asked for the number specifically for the Seward Peninsula.

MR. FISCHER: I do.
MR. AHMASUK: Could we get that?
MR. FISCHER: Yes. I can show that right now. I'm going to pull up a different presentation if you want to hold on for one sec. I'm going to answer Brandon's question, but I want to finish this up because I'm afraid that I'll crash the computer in switching over presentations midway. I have one more slide here and I'll jump right to that. The short answer is yes and I'll show you the numbers shortly.

So I presented a lot of numbers here. There's lots of numbers that were collected in different ways by different individuals. There were indices, there were estimates, there were confidence intervals, there was mention of significant change, no significant change. What does all that mean. There's a huge discipline of statistics that people go through rigorous training in, but if you don't know any of it, then it makes no sense at all.

To communicate better between the survey group and this management body and the subsistence hunters that rely on these resources, we would like to develop a workshop to present at this AMBCC meeting and also at regional meetings where we can explain more clearly and with more time the meaning of some of these numbers and where they come from. It would also be an opportunity to learn more about the traditional ecological knowledge and perhaps incorporate those methodologies into the way we survey birds.

So at the last AMBCC meeting there was a request to develop a workshop of this type. So there was a small amount of money that David Safine, who works in our office, heard about to get some seed money to start developing a workshop of this type. So he's working with Erik Osnas and Liliana and Jason and together they're going to put together a workshop to try to explain better where these survey estimates come from, how they're derived and what they mean.

To make that successful we want to know what you want to know. I'm not going to ask you to say what does this mean right now, but I want you to think about what would be most helpful to you in terms of understanding better why we survey the way we do and what do these results mean. So I encourage you to come to me with those requests or to Patty. Maybe perhaps -you have monthly Native Caucus meetings. That might be an agenda item you want to discuss is coming up with ideas.

As that group develops a workshop, before we go out and try to present it to anyone, we'd love input from the Native Caucus about whether it makes any sense or if there's other things they could do to communicate some of these ideas better. So I invite that input.

Next slide.
MS. HOSETH: I have one question.
MR. FISCHER: Yeah.
MS. HOSETH: Thank you. Just where it
says the grant is strengthening partnerships with Alaska Natives and the State of Alaska, would that be

1 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? Because you guys are 2 the ones that do the population trends.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. So that title, that may have been the title of the proposal that was submitted. It's Fish and Wildlife Service money and it was literally a couple thousand dollars for some travel, but it's a seed that we want to use to expand this over time. The partnership would be between Fish and Wildlife Service, Alaska Natives and the State of Alaska. Together come up with ways to communicate better. In this case it's simply for the focus on statistics and the methods of wildlife surveys.

Did I answer your question?
MS. HOSETH: Kinda. I mean it was just -- if this is overall with both U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, State of Alaska, I mean we need to strengthen our partnership with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as well.

MR. FISCHER: Yes. That's what it's about.

MS. HOSETH: Okay. Well, that's what I figured, but it says strengthening partnerships with Alaska Natives and the State of Alaska.

MR. FISCHER: And the Fish and Wildlife Service collectively. Okay. What do I have on the next slide, Jason, before \(I\) go to Tundra Swans again. Okay. Just a little bit more details on that. For certain we're going to have this together for the spring \(A M B C C\) meeting. We just have a few placeholders. We put Bethel and Kotzebue down there as placeholders.

We don't want to go anywhere unless we're invited and if there's interest for this to happen there. We hope to visit regional meetings in two places. It could be Bethel, Kotzebue, it could be Dillingham. It could be whoever is interested in having us. After the spring meeting we will learn about how well this is working and go out to other sites.

A few topics were listed here.

1 Interpretation of aerial surveys, harvest surveys, 2 waterfowl banding, but really we want to address the questions that you have. So that's all I've got on that. Are there any questions on that before I jump back to Tundra Swans.

Peter.
MR. DEVINE: Not on that specifically. Taqulik has been over there reading my thoughts and asking my questions.
(Laughter)
MR. DEVINE: Okay. With how much habitat, okay, Patty, you get on the computer and just in Oregon alone and Washington there was 940,000 acres lost. Just in Idaho there was 400,000 acres lost. I think it's going to be pretty interesting to see where these birds go. But back to your Mid-Continent Geese, I mean all of them are headed to Hurricane Alley. I mean it's going to be pretty interesting. I think there's going to be some major die-offs with no food, nowhere to go. Surveys are going to look pretty bad next year.

MR. FISCHER: Yeah, it's a good comment. We will see what happens when we do the surveys next year. It might really pan out that way. We're keeping our fingers crossed that those birds are able to tolerate those major storms that they deal with.

Let's see here. Okay. Brandon, I have the information. I have one of the figures, but \(I\) just noticed that \(I\) have two figures and they have the same data on them. Just before this meeting I thought, you know, I bet someone is going to say can you have that breakdown by region, so \(I\) rapidly put it together, which is something that is really dangerous to do with data.

So I have it on my computer there and I will share that with you immediately after. I can describe -- I don't even want to tell you what that figure is that \(I\) have up there, which is the next one because I want to make sure that it's accurate. But I can tell you that \(I\) did look at it this morning and both for the Kotzebue Sound region, which is there in

1 purple, the numbers of Tundra Swans have been 2 relatively flat from our breeding pair surveys since 3 the 80 s and in the Seward Peninsula it's a pretty 4 similar picture.

Our breeding surveys are not picking up a major increase in swans. The main increase that we've seen during breeding has been on the Yukon Delta. Now that is not to say that there's not more numbers or higher numbers of swans during fall, which I believe is what you guys are seeing, is that correct?

MR. FAGERSTROM: Fall and spring.
MR. FISCHER: Fall and spring. So migrating through. So we don't do swan surveys in spring before they breed and we don't do surveys in fall in those regions. I don't doubt at all that there's more swans. Where they're coming from, it could be that some of them are breeding in Siberia and crossing over and then heading down the Western Coast to the Pacific Flyway. It could be that they're breeding in areas outside of our survey corridor. But all reports are from you over the years has been clear and consistent message that there's more swans there than you've seen before and I don't doubt that.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Brandon.
MR. AHMASUK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So just for our area it's kind of showing the northern portion of the Seward Peninsula and then inside the Imuruk Basin area. I've been fortunate to go on flights around the Seward Peninsula, low flying. We've got lots of lakes. I could tell you right now even just right outside the Nome area, even outside Jack's area, lots of lakes and when you're flying over them there's just swans. I guess just a heads up, I guess, that there's a lot of swans that are being missed, I guess.

MR. FAGERSTROM: Right there is Golovin and we represent an area from here to approximately there with the items included. Right now in the spring this is a staging area for Brant that are on their way to wherever, North Slope, somewhere. Over the years we've noticed a lot more swans. Growing up it was a \(\$ 1,000\) fine if you shot one. It used to be cheaper to shoot a swan than buy a turkey, so everybody was

1 breaking the law, but that was before Food Stamps.

Anyway, we get a lot of swans there and they come from this area. We could see them flying in. They come from down here. We're worried about our eelgrass beds. This area here they get a lot of Snow Geese and I believe they're going to Wrangell Island. These geese go from here pretty much to there in a straight shot.

But the Brant would go pile up here for about a week, then they take off. There'd be 20,000 of them going in a circle and blasting off somewhere. But we're getting more and more swans and they're an aggressive bird. Somebody else has stated that they'll chase other birds away from their food. I worry about the health of our forage for the Brant and also got a little concern about how the environment, the eelgrass, in Izembek is.
Are they going to be able to handle all the increase in overwintering birds of whatever species there are?

MR. FISCHER: That's great information and it's from times of year when we don't have any data, so that's really helpful. The birds that we're talking about are probably not using Izembek, which is good. We want to make sure those habitats are secure for Brant. If there's impacts to the eelgrass in your neck of the woods where the Brant are using those beds, that could be a concern.

So Brandon I'm just going to email you the whole swan report that I've got on my computer right after this presentation so you'll have it on yours. I'll email it to you, Patty, and you can distribute it to the Council. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Gayla.
MS. HOSETH: Thank you. Thanks for emailing it to Patty. I was going to tease and say Brandon could then send it to us. I just had a quick question. I know we have to get out of the room here in just a few minutes. Can somebody tell us why Tundra Swans are closed in Game Management Units 90 and 10?

MR. DEVINE: I could answer because I was the one that said yeah. We were told they were specifically a whole new species that they found just

1 unique to our area, so that was it.

MR. FISCHER: I believe you're talking about the -- is it a portion of the Alaska Peninsula?

CHAIRMAN DALE: Yeah, it's the Alaska Peninsula below Port Moller, I guess, and any Aleutians.

MR. FISCHER: Yeah. So my understanding is that group of birds is a population that basically does not migrate anywhere. Those breeders winter there and it's a small group of birds that's not associated with the rest of the Western population. The results of that were published in a paper by Chris Dau about 12,15 years ago now.

If I remember correctly, this issue was debated and considered through the AMBCC a while back. Well, Peter just mentioned that.

MS. HOSETH: Is that still the case today, 15, 16 years later that they're still staying there and not migrating? Do you guys have data to show that?

MR. FISCHER: I think it's fair to say that we don't have data that would show that they are still doing the same thing that they did 15 years ago, but the numbers of swans in that particular region have not changed.

CHAIRMAN DALE: Todd.
MR. SFORMO: Just a quick question on the Brant. Twice during the discussion you mentioned change in habitat on the North Slope and specifically change in temperature that seems to be maybe inviting more of these birds. Has that been tested? I mean is there references to this or can you specify specific change or is that more of a surmising that that's possibly the case?

MR. FISCHER: So what \(I\) was referring to with the habitat was based on a publication by USGS Ken Tape and Paul Flynn and others that documented a large increase in the size of the grazing lawn habitat in the Teshekpuk region.

MR. SFORMO: Thanks.
CHAIRMAN DALE: Okay. Before we find out if there's any other questions we have a couple of things to wrap up. First off there's a coffee jar in the break room for donations.

MS. SCHWALENBERG: It's back here now.

CHAIRMAN DALE: It's back here. Please don't put all the burden of that on Patty. And if you've been using the snacks or if you're going to, please contribute. We start at 9:00 tomorrow, is that correct?

MS. SCHWALENBERG: Yes, it is.
CHAIRMAN DALE: All right. At 4:30 promptly we're going to vacate this room if not a couple minutes before. So we can take a couple more questions for Julian before we adjourn.

Roland.
MR. WHITE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just have a couple sort of questions. After your presentation and doing all the counts everywhere within the small state of Alaska I was wondering if you guys use nothing but aerial surveys for all these different counts that you guys are conducting.

MR. FISCHER: Thanks for that question. Our program primarily uses aerial surveys. There's a few things that we do that differ. One is a nesting survey in one portion of the Yukon Kuskokwim Delta. I'm just speaking here about waterfowl surveys. There's many other types of things that other biologists in the Migratory Bird Division do.

In that a study was actually started back in the mid '80s during the goose crisis to try to understand what was happening, why the numbers of geese had gone down so far. So there's a portion of the Delta that's visited by crews that we've talked about before where we calculate the number of nests that are active for each species out there. That's one thing.

We're also transitioning a lot of our
surveys towards photographic methods. I believe it's

1 wise and prudent to try to always increase the safety of the biologists that are collecting the data. So by increasing the altitude of the planes, keeping them further from the ground and with the new types of cameras that can get high resolution images we can also overcome biases associated with the numbers of birds that you count with your eye looking outside of an airplane versus a photograph. So we're doing more photographic surveys now.

MR. WHITE: Thank you. And the other question is -- it doesn't necessarily pertain to geese, swans or ducks. Several years back I asked this question to some biologist about those little -- I don't know what their real names are, those little black birds. They're a miniature size of ravens. They're really tiny. When I was growing up I used to see lots, millions, and then suddenly they disappeared.

After so many years they just reappeared out of nowhere. I asked this biologist, you guys keep bringing scientific methodologist up to the surface and I'm just curious out of your professional scientific hypothesis where do you think or what do you think happened to these birds. Since you guys study all these, do you guys know where they disappeared to. I had the answer that they didn't know or had any hypothesis or theories for the disappearance and reappearance of these birds. So I was hoping that someone can answer that question in this room today.

MR. FISCHER: Eric has an answer to
that one.
(Laughter)
DR. TAYLOR: Roland, would you describe the bird as a shorebird, as a bird with kind of a longer bill?

MR. WHITE: No. They're actually all black, they stay on trees. I don't know what their names are. I asked James Charles who works with Board of Game management and I was hoping that he would know the names, but he told me that they just call them little black birds.

DR. TAYLOR: Well, I'm stumped. I'm
going to have to call my lifeline back to the real biologists back in the regional office.
(Laughter)
DR. TAYLOR: I was going to say Black
Oystercatcher because that's a shorebird that has declined and is somewhat making its way back, but if it's in the trees, I'm stumped.

MS. NAVES: Is this on the YK Delta?
MR. WHITE: Yes, it's on the YK Delta.
CHAIRMAN DALE: All right. There's our homework for tonight, the mystery bird. With that we'll adjourn and come back tomorrow at 9:00 o'clock. Like requested, please visit the snack jar and also we've got to vacate this room right now, so take your conversations downstairs, please.
(Off record)
(PROCEEDINGS TO BE CONTINUED)
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